

204/16/0

Thomas F. Tonance.

SCS. #1620



ANEXPOSITION

VPONTHE THREE FIRST

Chapters of the Epistle of Saint Paul to the Romanes.

The Occasion and Scope of the Epistle.



HE occasion of this Epistle seemes this: Report of manifold disagreements, both in judgement and affection, risen in the Church of Rome; consisting partly of Iewes, partly of Gentiles. The Iewes some of them wholy oppugning the Gospell, others mingling Law and Gospell together, in the

case of Iustification; and ioyntly all excluding Gentiles from fellowship in Christ. The Gentiles againe, proudly insulting ouer the Iewes; because God, having reicted the body of the Iewes from being his people, had grafted them into the body of Christ. Now to allay all these controverses and to settle them in truth, and vnitie of judgement and affections, the Apostle, being hindered from comming to them, deales by letters.

CHAP.

of God.



HE parts of the Epistle are principally three. First, a Preface or Introduction, from verse 1. to 16. Secondly, a Treatise of Christian doctrine, of three branches, Iustification, Sanctification, Predestination, to Chapter 12. Third-

ly a Conclusion; wherein is an Exhortation to sundry Christian duties, and a Valediction, or bidding farewell, with sundry salutations, and greetings, according to the custome of Epistles, from Chapter 12. to the end.

The Introduction hath three members: first, an Infeription: secondly, a Salutation: thirdly, an Exordium, or entrance into the matter it selfe, by infinuation.

The Inscription contayneth a Description: first, of the writer: secondly, of them to whom the Epistle is directed.

The writer is set forth, first, by his proper name, Paul: secondly, by his titles of Office, one generall, a seruant of Christ; another more speciall, an Apostle; that illustrated by the causes efficient: first, principall, Christ, verse; lesse principall, calling, and seperation. Finally subordinate, preaching of the Gospell; obedience of faith; supreame, the glory of God.

The Gospell it selfe illustrated, first, by the Author God; secondly, the subject matter, Christ; described by his double Sonship, Humane, of David; Divine of God;

fet

fet forth by the adioyned declaration and mediation on of it; that also amplyfied, first, by the manner, mightely; secondly, by the meane, resurrection; whole Christ by his dominion

by his dominion.

Persons to whom hee writes described; first, by their place of abode, Rome; secondly, certaine adjuncts, first, Gods love, secondly, vocation; amplified by the Author, Iesus Christ; and holinesse: so that in the inscription are source principall things; first, a description of the person writing; secondly, of the Gospell; thirdly, of Christs person; sourthly, of the Romanes, to whom hee writes.

Touching the name of Paul and alteration thereof many opinions might be rehearfed; for my part, the most probable I take that of Hierome, coniecturing it to have begunne upon occasion of Paulus the Proconsult his conversion: the reason of his coniecture, because hee then first tooke the name of Paul.

Theophilast inquiring the reason of this change, thus answeres; heewas therefore of Saul called Paul; that he might not seeme inferiour to Peter, the chiefe of the Apostles; no not in this, that his name was changed. For manner of calling equall, if not superiour; for reuelations, persecutions, paines in the Ministerie, blessing on his labours, feruencie of zeale, integritie of life, no way inferiour. Nay not in this, that hee had his name altered vpon special occasion.

Let Papilts now goe and boast of *Peters* primacie; if any way a primate to the rest, in order of calling, speciall fauour with Christ, measure of grace; (for as for superioritie in gouernment they are too shameles in clayming it) yet in all these *Paul* was his equall, if not in most

of them, his superiour.

Paul: Reasons for prefixing his name; first, to shew his readines to instiffe and maintaine the doctrine here B 2 deliuered,

In epift. ad Thilem: Act. 13. 12.

Theoph. in Rom. 1.

Use.

regreater credit to his doctrine, in the mindes of the Readers. Things couertly conuayed into the Church vnder title incerts Authoris, breede iust iealousie in the Reader, that things so deliuered are scarce iustifiable by the Authour: for straight occurreth the Prouerbe, Truth seekes not corners. Secondly, that the very name of Paul, so worthie an Apostle, might adde some authoritie to the writing.

Learne hence, with reverence to entertaine this Scripture. Woe vnto him that despiteth the truth, though taught by the meanest of Gods Ministers: but heavier the condemnation of such as neglect, or contemne the doctrine of Salvation, taught by so excellent an Appellant Christian lower.

postle as Paul, the Apostle of Christs glory.

Papists make another vse; where they finde Paules name prefixed, heere especially, they cry out, manum de Tabulà, vnto the people. Why? for sooth in Paules writings a many things are full of difficultie, which the vnlearned peruert to their owne destruction. Ans. First not the letter, but the matters are obscure to flesh and blood. Secondly, not all, nor many, but some things are hard; loquitur qua fieri potest parcissime. Thirdly, to whom hard? viz. to the vnlearned and the vnstable; tobthem that perish; and to them onely: the Elect are al taught of God, so much as is necessarie for their saluation: yea, the d least as well as the greatest. Fourthly, why not other Scriptures detained from them, seeing these also are peruerted by them that perish? Ibid. Fiftly, Peter commends them for eattending to the Propheticall Scriptures, and cals them light; wherein notwithstanding the mysteries of faluation are more darkly propounded then in any the writings of the Apostles. Read 10h.5 39. Rom. 19.4. Acts. 17.11. 2 Tim. 3.19. The better vse of such difficulties is this; therefore be more paineful

Ve.

a 2 Pet. 3. 16.

b 2 Cor. 4. 3.

c Iohn. 6.45.

d Ier. 31. 34.

e 2 Pet. 1.19.

first Chapters of the Romanes.

insearching, more earnest in praying God to open thine eyes, that thou may state the wondrous things of the law: lesse trusting to thine owne acuitie; for sure to flesh and bloud these things are mysteries, the naturals man strongers them not, but being illightened by the spirit of God. Now follow the Titles.

Asernant of Lesus Christ.] Service of Christ is threefold. First, of his power, and providence, from which no creature is excluded: for all things serve him. Yea, the very Divels themselves in those things they doe against his will, yet vnwittingly sulfill his will, and serve vnto

the purposes of his secret prouidence.

Secondly, the service of Faith, as Theophilast calles it, common to all Christians after conversion; who having received assurance of their particular interest in Christs bloud, resigne themselves to his will and good

pleasure.

Thirdly, the service of particular office; whereby in the workes of some special calling, we doe service vnto Christ. Thus Maiestrates are called he servants of God, because in government they doe, or should doe, him service. Thus Ministers of the Word are called servants, because they serve him in preaching the Gospell. In these two last senses Paul subscribes himselfe a servant of Iesus Christ.

First, in regard that hee now was become a worshipper of that Christ whom before hee did persecute.

So powerfull is God in the workes of his grace, hee can make of k blasphemers, persecutors, oppressors of the truth, saithfull servants vnto Christ. Confer Mat. 21. 31. 32.

Hope of mercifull and gracious acceptance with God, is here opened vnto all now penitent, though before neuer so desperate offenders. Paul a persecutor, yet received to mercie, counted faithfull, and put in Christs

f 1 Cor. 2. 14.

8 Icr. 27. 6.

h Rom. 13.6.

i I Cor. 4. I.

Obser. k1Tim.1.12.13

Ule. I.

B 3

feruice

was peculiar to Paul, hee sheweth it is exemplary. God in Paul, as 1 in a patterne, shewing how hee would deale with all men, that should after his example, for sake their sinnes, and embrace the Gospell.

Rash iudgements of mens suture state are here restrayned, how desperates o euer their present condition seemeth: for m God is able to graffe them in. As hee tooke Paul out of the heate of persecution, and placed him in his service: so is hee able to turne the heart of the most desperate, hopelesse, and abhominable transgressour: too ordinarie are rash censures in this kinde.

Secondly, a feruant, in regard he was a Preacher of the Gospel; this mentioned, sirst to procure in the Readers a reuerent regard to his doctrine, and patient submission to what he taught them: secondly, to aduste them, whom they should especially haueregard vnto in the doctrines

here deliuered.

The people are here taught, first, to n esteeme vs as Ministers of Christ. Secondly, not to be discontented at our doctrines, howsoever applyed, whether by threatning, comfort, exhortation, reproofe. In other cases mens boldnesse is excused by this; they are but servants, and must doe their Maisters message: in this calling, me thinkes, the excuse should be rather admitted, considering the woe that waites for vs. if wee hold backe any thing of the message delivered vnto vs. Confers (or. 9. 16. Ezech. 3. 18. Thirdly, not to ascribe any thing to vs, more then as to Ministers, & instruments of God. For what is Paul, or Cephas, or Apollos? what are wee but Ministers by whom yee have believed? and that as our Lord and Maister gave to every man.

Wee also are taught, first, p fidelitie in the worke of our Ministerie, considering we are q countable to our Maister. Secondly, not to reeke our selues, but the glo-

Use. 1.
n i Cor.4.1.

£1.23.

o 1 Cor.3.5. V/e.2. P 1 Cor.4.2. q Heb.13.17. r Mat.10.24. rie of our Maister. Thirdly, not to haunt after the praise and applause of men, but to approue our selues to Christ Gal. 1. 10. both in our doctrines and manner of our deliuery. Fourthly, not to thinke much of our afflictions, which wee meete withall in discharge of our Ministrie. Mee thinkes it should seeme inough to the seruant to be as his Lord.

Called to be an Apostle.] Now followeth the speciall office, and kinde of Ministrie, wherein hee served Christ; together with his warrant for exercise thereof:

Calling and Separation.

An Apostle.] The word in generall signifies any Ambassadour or messenger sent in common affaires, whether of Church, or common-wealth: sometimes applyed vnto Ministers in generall. But most properly, notes those extraordinarie Ministers immediately called by Christ himselfe, and by himsent out to plant the Church amongst the Nations. Some things were common to them with ordinary Ministers, as preaching of the Word, administration of the Sacraments, vse of the Keyes. Read Mat. 28.19.20. Mat. 16.19. Ioh. 20.23. Mat. 18.17.18.

Some things were proper and peculiar to them as Apostles. First, calling by lively voyce, or such like immediate meanes from Christ. Secondly, immediate Instruction in the mysterie of Christ, by Christ himselfe. Thirdly, a power to give the y visible gifts of the holy Ghost. Fourthly, power to doe miracles. Fiftly, generalitie of commission, extended to all nations, for that Peter took the circumcision, Paul the vncircumcision, it was by free accord betwixt them. Sixtly, Infallible assistence of the Spirit in all doctrines delivered to the Church, either by word, or writing. For Peters error was an errour of fact not of faith, in practise, not in doctrine

This office, by confent of all Diuines, begunne and

s Rom. 16.7.

t Gal. r. r.

Gal. 1. 12.

× A &. 8.18.

y 2 Cor. 12.12.

z Gal. 2.7.

a Gal:2.11.14.

b Gal.2.11.14.

ended in their persons, to whom at first it was committed. And except that man of linne, that hath entred by intrusion into the prerogatives royall of Christ, no man would dare to arrogate the priviledges of this calling. Hee forfooth chalengeth, as in the right of Peter, vniuerfall power ouer the whole Church in earth; not only to teach it, but to rule it as a God vpon earth. Hee hath glorie of miracles, but call lying in forme, or end, and if wee were so mad as to beleeve, infallible assistence of the spirit in all things, that hee shall sententiouslie deliuer to the Church out of his chaire of pestilence: Sapientam octanus. Apostolorum 14.

No lesse absurd are our vagrant curriers, our rouing Ministers: that wander about the countrey, with a paile in their boxe; as if they were some new Apostles, fent by Christ, without limitation to any particular congregation. Abfurdly, yea, which patieth all measure of vnfaithfulnes, hauing d flocks of their owne depending on them, leave them destitute on the dayes of assembling, pretending to feede others, but indeede to fill

their owne purses.

Now followeth his warrant for alluming and exercifing the function of an Apostle; his calling, and se-

paration.

His calling alledged, to preuent suspicion of vsurpation, as in other his Epistles, and in other the e Prophets of God, whom we see all desirous to shew their commission for adventuring vpon ministerials office.

No man ought to vsurpe ministerials function in the Church, f without affurance of calling from God. See Heb. 5.4. Reasons. First, the danger of intrusion. Exempl.2 Sam. 6.6.7. Bethshemites, I Sam. 6. 19. Vziah 2 Sam. 26. 18.19 Secondly, Christ tooke not to himselfe this honour but with warrant of his fathers calling.

Heb.

c Thef. 2. 9.

Vse.

d 1. Pet. 5. 2.

c Isay 6. Icr. 1.

Obser. f Num 3. 10. Heb. 5 5. No bleffing can be expected on our labours

except God hath called vs.

Calling, is of two forts; Immediate, and extraordinarie, where God calleth immediately, without the ministerie of man: so were Prophets and Apostles called: secondly, mediate, wherein God vseth the ministerie of man, as at this day, in delignement of euery minister vnto his function.

Reproued here are all those fanaticall spirits that grunne without calling, and preach vnsent: Contra.

Rom. 10. 25. as the falle Prophets.

Secondly, all those lay people, whether men, or women, that in the case of supposed necessitie, aduenture the ministration of h Baptisme: which together with the preaching of the word, the Lord hath inuested in the persons of called Ministers. And as from preaching he hath excluded women; so also from the other parts of our ministrie. Ob. They may teach their families: therefore also Baptise. And. It followes not, teach they may as private Christians, but not as Ministers; baptise they cannot, but as ministers; this being every way, in every respect and manner, proper to a minister.

Ob. Ziphorab circumcifed her son. Exod. 4.25. Ans. The question is not what she did, but how well she did. Institute wrath of God ceased vpon the fact. Ans. it followes not therefore she sinned not in doing it. Read Exod. 1.

1920 Againe, we finde not, that circumcission was so appropriated to the Leuites, as Baptisme now is to the ministers of the Gospell. Thirdly, it may be the fact was extraordinarie, & therefore not to be imitated without like dispensation. Fourthly, some thinke her herein to have bin but the hand of her husband in his weaknes.

Labor first for assurance of calling before thou aduerture the exercise of the sunction. Notes to discern it: first, abilitie Use. 2.

F Ier. 2 3.21.

h Mar. 28.19.

i 2 Cor. 14.34:

Obser.

Use. 3.

secondly, testimonie of conscience that thou enterest not for any other respect, then Gods glorie. Thirdly, the approbation of the church. Fourthly, apropense inclination to exercise the workes thereof. See a true minister out of his element, till hee be doing some k worke of his ministerie.

k John 4.34.

Verse 2. Which hee had promised afore by his Prophets in the holy Scriptures.

Observation.

Et apart.] This separation is two sould. First in Gods counsell and decree. Reade Gal 1. 15. Whence we note, that God hath from eternall, designed those whom in time he cals to those particular callings, wherein they

lawfully vndertaken.

It should teach vs contentment, in the stations wherin God hath placed vs, though neuer so base, and full of trouble: wee should, euerie of vs thinke our owne callings best for vs: often repinings arise in the heart, and discontented inquiries, why had not God made me a Gentleman, a Scholler, a Marchant? as if the pot should say to the Potter, why hast thou made mee on this fashion? Rom. 2.20.

are placed. See Ier. 1.5. vnderstand it of lawful callings,

Esteeme that the calling to which God hath designed thee, for which hee best enableth thee by gifts, and to which the meanes of education haue led thee.

Now as Paul was separate, and set apart to this function in Gods secret decree: so also, by ² Gods appointment, was hee separated to the same by the Church.

The Lord would teach vs hereby not to despise the Office

Vse.

a Act. 13. 2.

Obser.

office of the Church, where it may be had, though privie to our selves, of our competencie for the worke of the ministerie.

Quest. How if the Church neglect her duerie in calling after gifts, and fitnesse obtained?

Ans. Modestly tender thy selfe and thy paines,

and if thou be b approved thou mailt minister.

Quest. What if after such offer the Church admit not?

Ans. Thus thinke the time is not come which God hath deligned for thine imployment.

Anabaptists reproued, that despise the Churches cal-

ling, gifts once supposed to be obtained.

To the Gospell. The matter subject of Paules office

is here noted.

The Gospell. The word in generall signifies any tidings of good things. In Scripture it is sometimes put for the historie of the c birth, life, death, &c. of Christ. In the olde Testament the joyfull tidings of redemption, promifed to be wrought by Christ, when the fulnesse of time should be expired: in this place and almost generallie in the new Testament, it imports the glad tidings of reconciliation, really wrought by Christ exhibited in the flesh: this also the principall matter of our ministerie. See Mat. 16. 15. Luke 14 47. As the d Doue with the Olive branch in her mouth: so ought a minister to be to his people, bringing tidings of good things.

Quest. May not a Minister preach the law?

Ans. Yes; as a doctrine subordinate to the Gospell, and a meanes to prepare to it. See Peter, Acts 2, as a good Surgeon applies Corraliues to a feltred fore, and fometimes vseth his lancing knife to cut away the dead flesh, and to let out the putrified matter, that he may make way for his healing plaisters, though his pro6 1. Tim. 3.

Use.

c Mar. 1.1.

d Gen. 8, 11.

per

Mat. 5.

f Gal.3. 10. g Tit.2.11.12. per worke be to heale: so &c. In the law three things considered: First, a doctrine of perfect righteousnesse; thus taught by Christ, that we might in it behold our manifold wants. Secondly, the Curse; thus taught by f Paul, to breake the heart, and to drive to Christ: Thirdly a rule of obedience; so taught g generally in the Gospell. In the two first respects necessarie to be taught as a preparative to the Gospell. In the third, as a part of the Gospell, which hath renewed the doctrine of the law. t Ioh. 2. 8. yet this true with all: the proper, and principal office of our ministerie, is to preach vnto Gods people the glad tidings of reconciliation with God, remission of sinnes, entrance into heaven, procured by Christ Iesus.

Methinkes therefore, that if not for our personall gists, if not for preeminence of calling, if not for the person of Christ wee sustaine; yet for our message sake, we should find emore louing entertainment, then the world commonly affords vs. Isaiah prophecying of deliuerance from captiuitie vnder Assur, thus expressed their entertainment of the messengers thereof amongst the people, Isay 52. 7. How beautifull upon the mountaines are the feete of him that declareth and publisheth peace? &c. were the feete of those messengers so beautifull? how much more amiable are the feete of them that preach deliuerance from spirituall bondage. See Isay 61.1.2.3.

And yet true it is in experience, that Paul speakes of the Apostles of the last times; they are counted the skumme, and off-scowring of the world; their persons and message a like spitefully rejected. Cause: that they never felt their spirituals miserie. See Ast. 2.37.

Att. 16.30.

Now followeth the description of the Gospel:first, by the Authour God: secondly, by the adjunct, it was promised mised, the promises by the way amplified. First, by the instruments by whom they were delivered, the Prophets. Secondly, the records, wherein they were registred, the scriptures. Thirdly, the Gospell, further described by the object or subject matter, Christ Iesus.

The Gospell of God.] So called because God is the Authour, deuiser, reuealer, sender of this glad tidings vnto the world. So then though men be ministers, yet is the doctrine Gods, though men the messengers, yet is the message Gods; See 2. Cor. 5.18.19.20. It will appeare if weeshall consider, eyther the matter, essigned as none but an infinite wisedome could deuise, such as none but an infinite wisedome could deuise, (viz.) the true meanes of reconcilement with God, by composition of infinite instice, with endlesse mercie in the worke of our redemption, The h Angels knew it not till reuealed by Christ vnto the Church.

The efficacie diuine, the railing of a conscience cast downe, giving hope to the hopeletse, chaunging the whole man. Confirmation by k signes and wonders such as none but a diuine power could

worke.

Take heede, how we neglect to great faluation. Heb. 2.4. Reasons there given. First from the danger, made more probable and grieuous by a comparison of instruments delivering it. Secondly, the evident confirmation of it by testimonies, Humane, verse 3. Divine, verse 4. yet contempt common.

One special cause, the infirmitie, and weaknesse of men, whose ministerie God vseth. Although the Lord hath told vsthat hee that despiseth the messenger in respect of his message, or the message for the messenger, despiseth not men but God. And hath for good purposes committed to men the word of reconciliation. First, because our infirmitie is not able to indure

h Ephel.3.10. 11. 1. Pet.1.12.

. .

ⁱ 2.Cor.3.18. ^k Heb.2.4.

Use.

1118

An Exposition upon the three

12. Cor.4.7.

his maiestie. Deut. 5.24.25.26. 27.28. Secondly, that the excellencie of the 1 power might be acknowledged to be of God. Thirdly, to proue our obedience.

The adunct of the Gospell followeth, before promi-

(edby the Prophets.)

Before promised.] Note here the Antiquitie of the Gospell and doctrine of saluation by Christ. See Tit. 1. 2, promised first in Paradice, renewed, to m Abrabam, pointed at in the law, reuiued by the "Prophets

in all ages.

And yet our great Antiquaries of Rome, when they heare of the doctrine of remission of sinnes, and justification by Christs bloud, cry out of noueltie with the people, Mar. 1.27 what new doctrine is this, neuer heard of till Luthers time? Yes, taught by the Fathers, by Apostles, o Prophets, by God himselfe from the beginning. Let them as P I eremie speakes stand on the wayes and aske for the old way, and then tell me whether all true Antiquitie point not vnto Christ, as the onely true q way to eternall life: they talke of satisfactions and merits, of pardon, and reward, by penance, pilgrimages, fastings, voluntarie pouertie, &c. but let them shew in any true Antiquitie, where all or any of these things are commended, farther then as necessarie conditions and dispositions as it were for attainment of faluation; or as ducties of thankfulnetse for faluation purchased by Christ?

Promised.] Observe against the dignitie of the Church of the new Testament, from that of the old. Christ promised to them, exhibited vnto vs, a benesit often commended to our diligent consideration. In this regard Ministers of the new Testament called by t Christ, greater then John Baptist, not only in respect of a greater measure of reuelation vouchfafed vnto them then vnto him, but also in regard of

Obser.

m Gen.3.15. n Act. 10.43.

Use.

o Gen. 2.15. P.Icr.6.16.

9 Ioh.14.6.

Obser. r Luke 10.22. s Heb 11.39. 40.

t Mat. 11.11.

the matter of their ministerie. The Prophets taught Christ to come: Iohn Bapust pointed at Christ alreadie come: wee preach Christ incarnate, crucified, risen, ascended, raigning; finally, having performed all things that were spoken of him by the Prophets.

Bleffe God for this, as not the least grace, that God hath reserved vs for these last times, wherein our eares heare that which many Prophets, and righteous men have defired to heare, but could not heare. See Mat.

13.17.

Take we heed especially how we contemne so great grace as is published vnto vs in the Gospell, At. 13. 32.33.40.41. Surely where grace is greatest there is contempt most feareful. The records wherin these promises were registred are the scriptures; so are the writings of the old & new testament called by excellencie.

The reasons why God would have these promises committed to writing are these. First, the better to preserve them from oblivion and abolishment. Secondly, to prevent corruption in doctrine by Heretiques. Thirdly, their better propagation to the knowledge,

and vse of all men by translations, &c.

Great therefore shall be our ynthankfulnesse; and most just our condemnation, if when the Lord hath so much endeuoured to make the scriptures samiliar vnto vs, wee shall be found ignorant of the meanes of saluation: vnable to discerne spirits, to put difference betwixt truth, and salshood; good and cuill.

The Epithite of the Scriptures; Holy: so are they in respect, first of their Authour, and Inditer. 2. Time. 3. 16. Secondly, of the penners, wholy men of God. Thirdly, of the matter, the holy and eternal truth of God. Fourthly, of their effect and end, which is our and endification.

Papists hence inferre therefore not to be permitted

Use 1.

Vse 2.

u 2. Pet. 1. 21.

x Ioh.17.17.

to lay-people, in their mother tongue: abusing to this purpole the saying of Christ. Mat. 7.6. as who say all Gods people were dogges. And not onely men that by their impudent contempt of wholesome admonitions (whereof alone Christ there speakes) bewray themselues to be vncorrigible, and past cure.

Rather learne we with what affections we must addresse our selves to the reading of the Scriptures; holy doctrines enter not but into holy mindes, neither are they admitted but by fanctified affections, See Iob.7.17. Prophanenesse is as the vaile ouer the heart. 2. Cor. 3. 15. and Gods y secret is with them onely

which feare him.

Verse 3. Concerning his Sonne lesus Christ our Lord, which was made of the seede of David according to the flesh.



He object, or matter subject, of the Gospell followeth, that is, Christ Iefus: about whose eyther person, or natures, or offices, or workes, or benefits with the meanes to enjoy them, the whole Gospell is occupied.

And all doctrines propounded as Euangelicall not reduced vnto Christ, are to be rejected as hellish heresies. Yea, examine all heresies contrarie to Euangelicall doctrine you shall finde that they ouer-turne some truth which the Gospell teacheth concerning Christ. So then from this description of the Gospell taken from the Authour, God, the instruments first reuealing, the Prophers; the records, Scripture; the obiect. Christ; may we learne to judge of all doctrines thrust vpon vs as Euangelicall. Paul, Gal. 1.6. com-

plaines

y Pfal.25.14.

plaines of some, that had obtruded to the people another Gospell, then that he had preached. And surely many such other Gospels, or Euangelical doctrines, hath the Church of Romevrged vpon the people of God. Other meanes of reconcilement then the merit of Christ, inherent in his owne person: other mediatours of intercession then the man Christ Iesus: another propitiatorie and purging sacrifice, then that which Christ once offered on the crosse by himselfe. And a thousand such like doctrines of the Popish Ghost-spill: but examine them at this touch-stone, see if they be not descried to be the inuentions and fancies of man; where finde wee these things taught by the Prophets, registred in the scriptures? and how I pray you, leade they to Christ?

Now followeth the description of Christs person: First, by his double Sonne-ship: Secondly, by his dominion, His Sonne-ship, first Diuine, of God: secondly,

Humane, of Danid. See the Analysis.

The Sonne of God.] Not by creation as Adam. Luke 3. 38. nor by adoption as all a beleeuers; but by eternall generation: Pro. 8, 24. Ioh. 1. 18. the manner whereof, who can so expresse, as to satisfie the inquiries of fleshly reason? And yet mine care hath received a little thereof, as bEliphaz in an other case speaketh. For the better explanation of this mysterie, two questions shall be briefly handled. First, what that is that Christ receives from his father. Secondly, how hee receives it. In Christs divine person, two things are to be considered: first, the divine essence: secondly, the manner of exfiftence. The effence he hath of himselfe, not of his father. Reasons. First, because this is essentiall to him that is God to have his essence of himselfe. Secondly, otherwise Christ must be a distinct God from the Father, as he is a distinct person. Thirdly, if the essence beget

a Ioh. 1.12.

b Iob. 4.12.

Lib.deChrsto 2.cap.7.

Obser.

beget, and be begotten, then must there be two essences in the Deitie, one begetting, the other begotten; but this is absurd, Bellarmine himselfe being witnesse. Ergo.

Ob. Now if any shall object that hee receives his whole person from his father, therfore also his essence, that is, persona substrata. Ans. It followeth not: for though he receive his whole person from the father, as it is the person, yet receives he not all that is in the person. And to speake properly, that which the father gives to the Sonne, is not the divine essence, but the personall existence, or manner of being in the Deitie: neither hath Christ from his father, this to be God:

but this onely, to be the Sonne.

For the manner or meanes how he receives his person, or personall being in the God-head, it is by generation, or begetting; the manner whereof as it is, is ineffable. Yet something may be conceived and spoken whereby it may be somewhat shadowed out to our vnderstanding. Some say he is so begotten of the Father, as light of the Sun, by a simple emanation. The fathers make choise of the metaphor vsed by the holy Ghost to explane this mysterie: Ioh. 1. 1. as speech is conceiued and begotten of the minde without any passion, alteration, fluxe, or decision; so as the speech is in the minde, and the minde in the speech : so Christ of God the Father. Augustine. The minde is as it were the bringer forth, knowledge as it were the off-spring, or child of the minde: for the minde while it viewes it selfe, begets the knowledge of itselfe, which is the image of it felfe. By these and such like resemblances may this mysterie of Christs eternall generation be in some sort conceaued, though as it is in it selfe, it cannot be explaned.

But hence I take it the collection is found, that

Christ

Christ is God equall with the Father. See this Iob. 1. 1. 2. 3. &c. euidenced, first, by attributes of eternitie, &c. secondly, by title of God: thirdly, workes of creation, and preservation: fourthly, by worship divine, given him by Angels. Heb. 1. 6. And what ever Arius saith, to impeach this truth, bringing testimonies to prove his inferioritie to the father, may almost all be answered thus, that they are spoken of Christ as he is Mediatour, and for the worke of mediation clothed with the shape and habit of a servant. See Phil. 2.6.7.

Made of the seed of Danid.] In respect of his divine subsistence he was begotten, not made: in regard of his humane nature d made, not begotten. This making was nothing but an action of the spirit, of GOD in Christs conception, framing his body of the substance of the Virgin Mary. See Luke 1. 35. Reason, why made not begotten after the ordinarie manner of men, beside the will of God, this: that so the spreading of originals sin might be stopped, by the worke of the spirit of God: it being a rule set downe as it seemes, by the wisedome of God, that who so is borne of man by ordinarie mixture of man and woman, should thereby receive the infection of originals corruption.

So that we neede not with the Franciscans, runne to the puritie of his mothers conception, and birth, to make him a pure sacrifice, considering he is made, not begotten after the manner of men. And as touching the Virgin Mary, though we acknowledge her blessed amongst women, and sanctified about the ordinarie degree of men and women; yet that she was tainted with sin originall, both the scriptures teach. Rom. 5. 12 and those fruits springing from this roote sufficiently testifie, Luke 2. 48. Ioh. 2. 4.

Made.] Not by change of the Deitie into the humanitie: for then how could he have borne Gods wrath,

c Ioh. 1.14. d Gal. 4. 4. natures to make one compound, as when water and wine are mingled; but by having the manhood vnited to his Deitie, and affuming the nature of man into the vnitie of his divine person. Heb. 2, 14, 16.

So was it necessarie, that God and man should be vnited in Christs person, that attonement might be made betwixt God and the seede of Abraham. First, otherwise how could hee haue borne Gods wrath? Secondly, satisfied his instice? Thirdly, personned due obedience? Fourthly, merited at Gods hand eyther

for himselfe or vs? See Heb. 9.14.

A greater matter therefore then Papists imagine to satisfie, merit, or supererogate: for none of these had beene possible, no not to the man Christ Iesus, except he had beene God also equal with the Father.

Of the seede of Danid; as appeares by the genealogies, Mat. 1. Luke 3. Where though the Euangelists differ in the order of his discent from Danid, the one intending to let downe the succession naturall, the other the legall succeeding into the kingdome; yet both agree in this, that they fetch his pedagree from Dauid. Where the question may be, how Christ being made of the seede of Danid, could escape the infection of originall sin? Ans. In originall sinne, are two things: First guilt; that by Adams transgression lay vpon allthose that were begotten of him: this removed from Christ, because he was not begotten of Adam; though he tooke his substance from a child of Adam. Secondly, the corruption; this stopped by the worke of the holy Ghost; stopping the propagation of that infection, or rather purging of that part of the Virgins substance assumed by Christ from that inclination and disposition naturall vnto cuill.

Obser.

U/c.

Of the seede of David. Therefore true man as Dawid was, Confer Heb. 2.14. 1. Tim. 2.5. For what Paul speakes: Rom. 8.3. of his sending in the similar of sinfull flesh, hee would not have so vnderstoode, as if he thought him to have had onely a bare spectrum, and shadow of man, as Manichees dreamt. See Luke 24. 39.43, but hath respect therein to that fraile, and outward miserable estate of Christ Iesus, wherein hee lived as if he had bin chiefe of sinners, it being imposfible that the lewdest sinner-should have endured more miserie, then what he in himself innocent, yet suffered, having our sinnes imputed vnto him. Sent therefore he was in truth of humane nature, and in habit, and appearance onely of a linner, having no linne of his owne, Heb. 7. 26. yet made sinne for vs by imputation. 2. Cor. 5. 21. Reasons, why made man. First, that the promise might be accomplished. Gen. 3.15. Secondly, because his brethren for whom he was to satisffie, both by active, and passive obedience, were partakers of flesh and bloud, Heb. 2. 14. Thirdly, that in our nature he might feele our frailties, and by experience learne commiseration. Heb.2.17.18.

First, apatterne of humilitie, and an instruction to demit our selues, that we may gaine our brethren. Secondly, meanes of comfort in miseries. Thirdly, incouragement to bold and confident presenting our prayers at

the throne of grace, Heb. 4.

According to the flesh.] That is, as he was man: so are the two natures though combined, yet not confounded; God and man are vnited in Christ: but God-head & manhood not confounded, as appeares by notes of distinction continually adioyned. I meane not here so much as to mention the heresie of Eutiches, or of the Acephiah his off spring; for I hold Hyperius his aduice good, that a minister in his popular Sermons should content

Use.
c Phil. 2.3.4.5.
f. 18etv. 11.21Hebr. X11.3-

Obser.

C s

him

An Exposition upon the three

himselfe to deale against the errours raigning for the present in the people, rather then by needlesse mention of buried heresies, give them occasion to inquire into them.

Onely so much as our vbiquitaries have of late recalled, of these grosse heresies, I will briefely propound and as briefely consute. Now from this ground, I thinke it will follow, that sith the natures are not consused, or trans-sused each into other, the properties also must needes remaine to eyther nature, without this supposed transsussion each into other: for that rule I thinke will neuer be disproued; consound the properties yee consound the natures: transsuse the properties yee take away the natures: transsuse the properties, yee transsuse the natures.

Secondly, if properties be thus transfused; I demand whether this transfusion be mutuall, or reciprocall or not that is, whether as divine properties are transfused into the humanitie; so humane also into the divinitie: and sure I see not, but that from the same ground of personall vnion, we may as well say, that mortalitie is really transfused into the Deitie; as vbiquitie into the humanitie: for whereas they say, the God-head is not capable of infirmities humane, &c. wee may as truely say, that the man-hood is not capable of divine excel-

lencies, as they are divine.

Secondly, if divine properties be so communicated vnto the humanitie of Christ, that therby the humane nature becomes omnipresent, &c. why not also other properties of eternitie, a parte ante; immortalitie, simplicitie, all being equally out of the same grounds deducible as any one?

Now shall we a little see their reasons? they may all be referred to these three. First, personall vnion. Secondly, that phrase vsed by the fathers, communica-

·tion

tion of properties. Thirdly, state of glorie. Now if it be proued vnto vs, that neither of these proue such a transfusion as they imagine, I hope our people will be armed sufficiently against the errour of vbiquitaries.

For the better discerning of the inconsequence of the first reason, it shall not be amisse a little to enquire. First, what personall vnion is: Secondly, what it is that

the humanitie of Christ thereby receives.

For the first, personall vnion, it is the vniting and knitting of God-head and manhood together: whereby is made one person of the mediatour: wherein are three things. First, that it is an vnion, or making of two one. Secondly, the things thus vnited; Godhead, as it is limited to the second person in Trinitie, and manhood. Thirdly, the terme of this vnion, they are made not one nature, but one person of the mediatour.

Secondly, weigh what it is, that the humane nature receives hereby. First, subsistance in the second person of the Trinitie, whereof itselfe is of it selfe destitute.

Secondly, extraordinarie dignitie, insomuch that it is a peculiar temple for the Deitie of Christ to dwell in, and wherein it shewes and manifests it selfe, more gloriouslie then in any creature. Col. 2.9.

Thirdly, more neere familiaritie with the Godhead then any other creature, Angels, or man, hath or

can haue.

Fourthly, an extraordinarie measure of habituall graces; of vnderstanding, wisedome, holinesse, &c. Such as in that measure dwels in no creature. Ioh. 1.14.15.16.

Fiftly, a partner agencie with the Godhead, according to its measure in the workes of redemption and mediation.

Now

Now the vbiquitaries adde hereto a communication of divine nature and properties to the manhood, so as to be informed and actuated thereby: insomuch that the humane nature receives into it selfe, from the Deitie, a power to be omnipotent, omniscient, omnipresent in it selfe: a thing that cannot agree to the humane nature of Christ, without being made God; for that which some object, that not with standing this kind of having divine properties communicated, yet the manhood is not Godhead, because it hath them not of it selfe, but by participation from another, is friuolous; for howfoeuer these things behad, if they be had, as they teach, so as to informe the subject wherein they dwell, they make it God: in as much as these things are divine properties, inseparable from the divine nature, nor can any thing be said to be properly omnipotent, omnipresent, &c. but it must be confessed withall to be God.

Qu. How then are these communicated vnto the manhood in Christs person? Ans. Euen as the divine nature it selfe and none otherwise, that is, so as they dwel and shew themselves in manhood; and so as that the manhood vnited vnto the Godhead, may say of them they are mine, not by way of information, but in respect of possession after a sort: Ratione suppositi, as divines speake.

So that this being all that by vertue of personall vnion acrewes vnto the manhood of Christ, it will be impossible from them to infer such a communication of diuine properties vnto the humanitie as vbiquita-

ries dreame of.

Their fecond ground is, that phrase of speech vsed by the Fathers for interpretation of sundry scriptures, which they call μοινωνιαν διωμάτων, or as some terme it μοινωνιαν δνομάτων, which indeede according to the sense

fense of the fathers, is nothing else but a manner of speech, whereby in respect of that vnion personall of the two natures in one suppositum, the properties of the seuerall natures are predicated eyther of the whole suppositum, or of the seuerall natures in the concrete; for by reason of the same personall vnion, it comes to passe, that the two natures interchangeably take the concrete names each of other in predication: so its said: Acts 20.2 8. God purchased the church with his bloud; not that the Godhead shed bloud, but because a perfon that was God shed bloud to procure redemption: not which it had as God; but which it had in the manhood vnited vnto it: so lohn 3.13. the Sonne of man talking with Nichodemus, is said to be in heauen: not that as he was man he was in heauen, while he was on earth; but because that person that was sonne of man, was by something in his person, that is, by his Deitie, in heauen: fo in this place, where wee haue a patterne giuen vs, to interpret all such alternate predications: the Sonne of God is said to be made of the seede of Danid, but how? according not to his divine Sonneship, but according to his humane nature, vnited to the Sonne of God: and how will it hence follow, that because the properties of the divine nature, may be enunciated of the person denominate, in the concrete of the humane nature, therefore the divine properties are communicated to the humane nature, so as to informe it. And as a learned Divine and Logitian faith well, if these men had learnt in this point to distinguish between predicata absoluta, and limitata, we should soonesee an end of all these vnseasonable and bitter contentions betwixt brethren.

Their third ground is, the exaltation of Christs humane nature to the state of glorie, and that which they call statum maiestatis, whereinto Christ entered at

his

so this power to be every where present.

Now that Christs manhood received not by that his exaltation to the state of maielty and glory, any such nature of being omnipresent, the other grounds for merly laid doe easily euince: for as personall vnion makes not the manhood God, so neither doth exaltation into state of glorie: for that is still manhood though exalted vnto glorie; and therefore though he received glorie more then any creature besides; yet not this glorie for his manhood to be God, or to haue any such propertie, vpon hauing whereof, it must needs follow that it is God: for it's still a creature finite, &c. though the most glorious of creatures, yet a creature; God having faid that he will not give his glorie vnto any creature; no not to the humane nature of Christ. Much more might be said to this purpose, but that I remember for whose sakes I pen these observations.

For vs let vs learne from the Apostle, how to vnderstand all these places of Scripture, where weakenesses are given to God, Christ, or divine excellencies; vnto man Christ, namely thus, that they must be taken as spoken, vas and and in divers respects, according to the diversitie of natures in Christs person, as when the Sonne of GOD is said to be made, thus must we vnderstand his making, as limited to his sless when to be eternally begotten, thus conceive it, as limited

to his Diuine person.

Verse 4. And declared mightily to be the Sonne of God, touching the Spirit of sanctification by the resurrection from the dead.

Ow followeth the declaration of his divine Son-ship, verse 4. The word signifies determined, and as it were by definitive sentence concluded to be the Son of God: to omit the resolutions of others, I take it that this determi-

nation is here set out. First, by the manner, mightily, Confer. Col. 1, 29. so as that no man could contradict it. Secondly, the matter that he was the Sonne of God, as the Centurion confesseth, Mat. 27.54. Thirdly, the meane or argument concluding it: his rising from the dead. Fourthly, the respect added for explanation, according to the spirit of sanctification, that is, according to his Diuine nature, which sanctified his humanitie, for so by spirit, I here vnderstand the Diuine nature of Christ. First, for the Antithelis. Secondly, comparing it with 1 Tim. 3.16. 1 Pet. 3.18.

Now fanctification is here ascribed to the Divine nature, as it is in Christs person, both because by it the humane nature was made partaker, of habitual created holinesse, whither immediately, or mediately by the work of the holy Ghost it matters not: as also because his Divine nature, is that that sanctified his obedience, and made all his actions and passions, vndergone for vs, of prise and value with God: in which sense the temple is said to sanctifie the gold; the altar the gift, awhersore he is said by the eternal spirit to offer himself vnto God without spot, that made him a spotlesse sacrifice, that gaue it power to purge, and expiate our sinnes.

a Mat.23.17.

^{29.}

b Heb.9.14.

So howfoeuer the glory of Christs Deitie was ouer-shadowed, and almost ecclipsed by the frailties of his humanitie, miseries of life, shame of his death, yet pleased it God at last, by an inuincible demonstration, to proue him to be the Sonne of God: (viz.) by his resurrection from the dead. Confer. Alt. 13. 33. where he is said in the day of his resurrection to be begotten of his father, declaratine, as divines interpert, because then hee was most evidently shewen to be the Son of God, and not a meere man. Reasons of this declaration. First, to confirme the faith of his children that seemed a little to stagger at the shamefulnesse and bitternesse of wisfull impietie that had rejected this stone, now made the head of the corner.

Use.

c Isay 53. 2. 3.

From whence may Gods children learne comforts against the many abasures, wherto they are heere subiect, and in respect of them condemned, as 10b by his indiscreet friends, of hypocrifie in Gods service: surely if we looke to the outward estate of Gods children in this life, wee shall finde it true of them that Isar speakes of our Saujour on either forme nor beaution or any thing why they should be defired; despiled, and rejected of men, &c. the very scum and off-scouring of the world: but know wee for our comfort, that there will one day come a day of declaration, wherein the sonnes of God shall be reuealed, by their glorious advancement into Gods kingdome, at the day of refurrection, as Rom. 6. 19. Yea, in particular blemishes, whereby our good name and innocencie is questioned, let vs thus comfort our selues, that the Lord shall one day bring forth our righteousnesse as the light, and our judgement as the noone day, Plal. 37, 6.

Verse 5. By whom wee have received grace and Apostleship (that obedience might be given unto the faith) in his Name among all the Gentiles.

N this verse isset downea description of Paules Apostleship, partly to preuent the cauiling imputations of false teachers, ordinarily charging him with intrusion into that function as Gal. 1.12. partly that the Romanes might see, that in writing to them hee strayed not beyond the bounds of his commission. Now it is described, partly by the immediatenesse of his calling by Christ, as Gal. 1.11. (By whom,) partly by the generall fountaine when it is called, a grace, partly by the end subordinate, Obedience of faith; principall, The glorie of Christ; and lastly by the generalitie of the sub-iect, All nations, &c.

By whom.] Although it be true that all what source any of vs receive from God we receive by Christ, both as the meritorious procurer thereof, as also as Gods generall steward, to whom is committed the goverment of the Church, and of the whole world, yet I take it the Apostle heere principally vseth this phrase to prove himselfe an Apostle; because he was immediately called by Christ, which is one special difference of Apostles from other ordinarie Pastors,

as before, verse 1, where see the vses also.

We have received grace and Apostleship.] Some here by grace understand the grace of reconciliation and sanctification: some other gifts, whereby he was sitted for the function of Apostleship; but I take it heere its by a grammatical sigure put to signific the general nature and fountaine of Apostleship; and is in substance nothing

· but

An Exposition upon the three

but this; the grace of being an Apostle: or this, fauor

and free gift to be an Apostle. See Ephe. 3.8.

Whence observe that it is to be esteemed a speciall grace and fauour of God to be called vnto the ministerie; though it be of grace that we receive it, yet is it a speciall grace to receive it. As easily appeareth both by gifts giuento fit vs therto, more special then to the people, Ephes. 4.8.9.10.11. by vse of it. 1 Tim. 4.16.1 Cor. 3.9.10. by reward and crowne given after faithfull dif-

charge of it. Dan. 12.3.

Ule. d 1 Cor.4.7.

Obser.

e Heb. 5.4.

I earne hence first not to swell with conceit of thine advancement; for thou hast received d and of grace thou hast received it. And foolishly doe many stand vpon termes of the dignitie of their calling, though in it selfe honourable, e having no other worthinesse to grace them; and not considering that of free grace they have received it. Secondly, rather let them learne to vse this grace, to the end for which God hath committed it vnto them: See 1 Pet. 4.10. and tremble at the woe due vnto fuch, as being thus graced by God, neglect to doe that worke whereunto they are called. 1 Cor. 9. 16. 17. Thirdly, give not way to thoughts of discontentment, in respect of the many difficulties, ignominies, persecutions, thou shalt vndergo in discharge of this calling, so as to be grieved at the Lords leading thee to this so toylesome, shameles, disgraceful a calling, by thine education and calling of the church; for know it's a high fauour of God to be counted faithfull, and put in the ministerie. 1 Tim. 1.12. The end of this calling followes, to obedience of faith: the same phrase vsed, Rom. 16.26. I doe here purposely omitvarietie of interpretations; choosing that which I thinke is most direct; by faith here vnderstanding the doctrine, or word of faith, that is, the Gospell, as that acception is frequent. I Tim. 4. 1. &c. and by obedience unto faith, nothing

nothing but that which Peter cals obaying the truth,

taught in the Gospell. 1 Pet 1.22.

This then is th'end of our ministerie, to bring men to obedience of the truth, and Gospell of Christ. See I Cor. 10.5, and that this is no easie worke will appeare, if we consider what the things are that the Gospell en-

ioynes vs.

As first a denial and renouncing of our selves, and all things in vs in respect of any power they have to procure saluation, and to relye our selves wholly and alone vpon Christ, as authour of saluation. Now how difficult a thing is this, if wee consider what high thoughts naturally all of vs carry of our selves, f insomuch, that even after GOD hath humbled vs and brought vs low, yet when it hath pleased him againe but a little by grace to rayse vs, we seeme to our selves such as to whom the Lord should as of due give saluation?

The second thing that the Gospell requires, is to deny vngodlinesse and worldly lusts, &c. Tw. 2.12. 13. and herein how much adoe finde wee in experience with our selues, to preuaile so farre as to abandon what

our corrupt affections lead vs vnto?

Hence therefore we all to whom the dispensation of the Gospell is committed, learne how were must proportion and fit our courses in the exercise of our ministerie; so as may best tend to this end; subjection of all thoughts to the obedience of Christ. And here let all magnifiers of man in his nature, all meritmonging Preachers, judge how well they carry themselues in their ministerie, that by magnifying the power of nature, crosse the very end of their ministerie. And what they performe auaileable this way, that as Peter speakes, promise libertie to their hearers, yeas set open a gap to all carnall license, their owne courses proue sufficiently;

Obser.

f 2 Cor 10. 5.

Use.

ently; while they labour to widen that gate that the Lord hath by his word taught to be so straight.

The extent of the subject, all nations, so are all people called that are not of the Iewish common wealth.

Now here observe the difference of the Church of the new Testament, from that of the old; as there are many others, so this one, amplitude; that whereas before Christ the grace of Godwas almost locked vp within the coasts of Palestina; now all nations are equally called to communion with Christ. See Ephes. 2.12.13. 14. Mat. 28.19.

And this is one reason why the church now is called Catholike, because the bounds thereof now stretch vnto all Nations, according to the promise. Pfal. 2.

And let this be acknowledged as not the least mercy of GOD towards vs of the Gentiles, on whom the ends of the world are come, that the Lord hath referued vs for these times, wherein all graces are thus indifferently and freely offered to vs, as well as to the lewes, Alts 14.16. Alts 17.30.

For his names sake. That is, for his glorie sake, or

that thereby Christ might be glorified.

Whence note, what ought to be the vtmost ayme and intention of a minister, in labouring to bring men to the faith: that is, that Christ may therby be glorified.

And that ambition of many, not onely in seeking for preferment and praise of men for their excellencie of gifts; but also by drawing disciples after them, is here iustly taxed; yea, howsoeuer it be a glorious and comfortable thing to a minister, to be able to say, that hee hath bin Gods instrument to bring but one souleto the obedience of Christ, yet to ayme at our own vaineglorie euen in gaining soules to Godskingdome, sutes not with that sincere affection which ought to be in a minister; to promote not his own, but his masters glory.

Obser.

Use.

Obser.

Use.

Verse

Verse 6. Among whom yee are also the called of lesus Christ.

Mong whom yee are also. This clause is added and deduced out of the generall formerly laid, for these two ends, sirst to shew that the Apostle in writing to them passed not beyond the tenure of his commission; secondly, and lesse

principally, to remember their state in nature, notwith-standing their present advancement by gracious calling. Now the first of these is casily inferred: for if his commission extend to all Gentiles, and Romans be Gentiles, then were they also within compasse of his commission.

So carefull is the Apostle to avoide suspition of bufie medling where hee had naught to doe: a dutie often pressed to all in generall, I Thes. 4.11. and the contrarie reproved. I Tim. 5.13.

And it were to be wished, both ministers and people would thus learne to know their owne line; and to containe themselues within their own compasse; doubt-lesse, if they had care of diligent inspection into the state of their owne slockes, and to feede their owne people, they would finde little leasure, either to carp at the courses of others, or to incourage the malicious to seditious discords.

Againe, whereas the Apostle thus puts them in minde what they are in nature, Gentiles; and in no better state then others; howsoeuer grace hath put difference betweene them and others; it may be observed, that its profitable for Gods children often to be remembred what they are in nature. See a Cor. 6. 10.

Obser.

Use.

. 873

12 The . 52

D

11. &C.

11.&c. partly for their humiliation. Secondly, for compassion to others. Tit.3.2.3. Thirdly, to prouoke to thankefulnes vnto God. 1 Tim. 1.12.13.14.17.&c.

Thus much of the subscription: the superscription followes; noting the persons to whom the Epistle is directed; described, sirst: by the place of their abode, secondly, adjuncts, declaring their happie estate; for they are beloued of GOD, and by calling brought to sandification.

Verse 7. To all you that be at Rome beloved of God, called to be Saints: grace be with you, and peace from God our Father, and from the Lord Iesus Christ.

Obser.

O all at Rome, &c.] Note here the indifferencie of Pauls affection and care, towards all the people of God, within his charge, whether bond or free, noble or base, &c.

Use.

And it may teach vs the lesson that Iames commands vnto vs, Iames 2.1. not to have the faith of God, in respect of persons: his reasons: First, God hath chosen the poore: Secondly, he hath made them rich in faith: Thirdly, given them right to his kingdome, and a share in the inheritance of the Saints: to which may be added, that they are equally purchased by Christs bloud, all equally deare vnto him; all equally committed to our charge. Ast. 20.28. But hereof more at large hereaster.

Obser.

Secondly, it may here be collected that the Apostle would have this Epistle freeto all the people of Godto read, meditate, &c. as in other places wee see his charge given this way: g sundry reasons may be given

5 x Thef. 5.27.

giuen of it: precept of Christ: h practise of Saints, Act. 17.11. 2 Tim. 2.14.15. duties required of all Gods people, first, to discerne Christs voyce from the voyce of a stranger: is secondly, to make Apologie for the faith, and giuea-reason of their hope; 1 Pet. 3.15. with sundry other, whereof read, Rom. 15.4.

The Romanists here iustly taxed as enemics vnto the comfort of Gods people, that bar the people of free vse of Scriptures: See Vers. 1. Their pretenses are many, as care to keepe the Scriptures from derision of Atheists; secondly, feare of peoples misvnderstanding, &c. but the true cause is this, because they feare discovery of their grosse adulterating of the word of God, in matter of faith, worship, manners, &c.

Beloued of God.] There is a generall lone of God, whereby he embraceth all men; as appeares by his beneficence, Math. 5. 44. There is a special lone, wherewith he loueth his elect in Christ, and of this is the place

to be vndersfood.

And the euidences hereof hee makes effectuall calling: for whom God loues, and in his loue chuseth to saluation, him in his time he calls to Communion and fellowship with Christ: see Rom. 8.30. 2 Tim. 1.9. So that this is one speciall character of Gods special loue, effectuall calling. Yea, the first certain euidence whereby God declares his loue to vs in Christ.

It is a dangerous errour of worldlings whereby they conclude from common blessings, special loue; as wealth, prosperity, though Salomon have taught that no man can know loue or hatred by any external blessing. It is as much as all these things come alike to all, let vs if we desire to have comfort by assurance of Gods special loue, looke to this special worke and fruit of his loue, effectual calling.

Called to be Saints.] That is, by calling made Saints:

D 2 for

h Ioh.5.39.

i Ioh.10.4.5. 1 Ioh 4.1. 1 Thes.5.21. Heb.5.

Use.

Obser.

Use.

k Eccle. 9. 1.
1 Mat. 5.44.

for this is the nature of Gods call; to make them that which they are not by his calling, Rom 4 17.

Obser.

So then calling is not procured by our holinesse, but holinesse wrought by calling, 2 Tim. 1.9. Tit. 3.

4.5.6.

Therefore it followes that God neither in calling or election respects our present or suture holinetse, as to be moved thereby to elect or call vs, he hath chosen vs to be holy, not because were were, or were to be holy, further then he according to the good purpose of his will determined to worke holinesse in vs. Ephe. 1.4.

1 Pet. 1.2. Rom. 9.11.

Againe, observe here the necessarie effect and inseparable consequent of effectual calling though it finds vs not Saints, yet it makes vs Saints; for thereby is faith wrought in vs; by faith, vnion with Christ, Ephe. 2.17. from this vnion flowes a Communication of the spirit, to fanctificand renue vs after Gods image, 2 Cor. 5.17.

1 Ioh.3.24.

Hereby then may wee try our calling, euen by the effect thereof, our fanctification; m a number of people pretending faith in Christ, vtterly disclaime holinesse and fanctification, and being reproued for their finnes, their small sinnes especially as they terme them, throw off all with this excuse, we are not Saints; what then? Diuels? for I know no medium, I lob. 3. 8.9. yet that we erre not; know that there are degrees of sanctitie: some perfectly fanctified, as the Saints in heaven; some not perfectly in themselues.

Quest. Wherein then consists this sanctitie, that by calling we are made pertakers of in this life? Answer. First, fruition of Christs holinesse by imputation: secondly, inchoation of inherent holinesse wrought by the spirit?" thirdly, endeauour to be holy: o fourthly, seperation from the vncleanenesse of the world, and

n 1 Cor.6.11. o Act.24.16.

m I Cor. 1.2.

Obser.

confe-

confecrating of our selues wholly to the seruice of God. 2 Cor.6.17. 6 7.1.

Now followes the saluation or Euxi : where are three things; first, the thing wished for vnto the Romanes. Grace and peace. Secondly, the fountaine, God the Father. Thirdly, the mediatour or meane, by whom they are

procured and conuaved vnto vs, Christ Iesus.

By grace here understand the fauour and good will of God, with all those other gifts of grace flowing therfrom: the first called grace making acceptable; the other gracefreely giuen, as Rom. 5.15. by peace, after the phrase of the Hebrewes, all prosperity inward and outward, and which is not the least part of this happinesse, the sweet peace of a good conscience, ariling from assurance of Gods loue to vs in Christ. Now this falutation is at large and distinctly handled by many; see especially Master Perkins in Gal. 1. 3. vnto whom I referre the Reader for further explanation. Some generall things onely I will propound. First a question.

Quest. How Paulacknowledging these Romanes to be alreadie in state of grace, prayes yet for grace and peace vnto them? Anl. First, hee prayes for a more plentifull manifestation of Gods love, encrease of inherent grace and peace of conscience, as Peter, 1 Pet. 1.2. 2 'Pet. 1. 2. for so it pleaseth God to manifest his loue by degrees; to worke grace by degrees: secondly, hee prayes for continuance and confirmation in this bleffed

estate, 1 Pet. 5. 10. 1 Thes. 23.

Where observe that prayers for grace are not vnnecellarie for men in grace, no not for those very graces whereof they are made partakers in a measure, it is cuident here.

And I apply it to the detection of that odious scoffe of Papists at our doctrine of assurance of Gods loue and pardon of our sinnes; they from this doctrine thus inferre Obser.

Use.

D 3

ferre, that according to our principles our people are bound neuer to aske God forgiuenesse of their sinnes. Reason. Because they have already obtayned it? Ans. It follows not, for even those that have obtayned remission must still pray, first, for encrease of this assurance: secondly, for continuance of this benefit: thirdly, for a new act of pardon in the conscience, according as new sinnes are daily committed.

Obser.

3.

P Numb. 6.23. 24.25.26.

Use. I.

9 Pro.15.15.

Againe, whereas the Apostle praying for all happinesse vnto the people of God, prayes for Gods fauour, and the peace of a good conscience, observe wherein true happinesse of a Christian consists; viz: fauour of God, peace of his conscience: therefore the Lord prescribing vnto Aaron, and his sonnes, a forme of blessing the people, prescribes the very same things to be wished for; The Lord blesse and keepe thee, the Lord make his face shine vpon thee, and be mercifull vnto thee; the Lord lift up his countenance upon thee and gine thee peace. And from this forme it seemes the Apostles in the new Testament with a little alteration of words, tooke their forme of salutation and blessing.

These things none can judge of a right but those that either haue felt how comfortlesse want thereof is; or tasted how sweet and comfortable the fruition of them is; conferre Psal, 32.1.2.

Now then let all Gods children in the midst of all the miseries of this life, comfort themselves in this; that howsoever miserable they may seeme either to themselves or others in respect of outward estate, yet they are in deed truly blessed, because they are favoured of God, and enion the fruit; peace of a good conscience, that Salomon cals a continual feast, and which the wicked in their agonies would redeeme with the world, as such out-cries are heard from them in their feares: all the world for a good conscience.

Secondly,

V/e 2.

Secondly, let worldlings that desire to know mans true happinets here learne wherein it consistes: many large disputes there are in the writings of the heathen, what should be the chiefe good of man, some placing it in honour, some in pleasure, some in vacuity of griefe, some in action of vertue, &c. Against all which read Salomons Ecclesiastes, and thou shalt see what he concluded of them all, They are vanitie and vexation of spirit; that that makes a man truly happy is the feeling of Gods loue, and the fruition of a good conscience, pacified by the bloud of Christ. And thus much of the two first parts of the Introduction, the Inscription and Salutation.

Verse 8. First I thanke my God through Iesus Christ for you all, because your faith is published throughout the whole world.

Ow followeth the Exordium or entrance into the matter of the Epistle, and it is of that kinde which Rhetoritians call infinuative, tending to procure louing regard from this people towards *Pauls* person and doctrine, by

protestation of his vnfained loue towards them, declared by two arguments and effects of a louing affection: first, thanksgiuing to God for their good, Ver. 8. secondly, desire to see them and that for their good, from Ver. 9. to 16.

In the thanksgiuing are, first, the act: secondly, the object: thirdly, the mediatour by whom conuayed: fourthly, the matter or ground, their faith, & publishing thereof in all the world. I meane not to prosecute

D 4

eucry

euery particular at large; but to cull out the principals, least the volume growtoo great.

By faith, here vinderstand the gift of faith, and the fruits thereof: especially their receiving of the word

of God, as Alt. 8. 14.

Generally-heere observe the propertie and dutie of Gods children, to be thankefull for the proceedings and power of the Gospell, in the conversion of others: practifed by the Saints enery where: Galar. 2.23. exemplified in the Angels, Luke 15.7.10. And if it be a thing to be prayed for, the enlargement of Christs kingdome, then to be thankfully acknowledged when it is performed.

Abhorrent from this propertie and practife of Gods Saints, are all those that grudge at the proceedings of the Gospell, and labour by all meanes to discourage and daunt those that are comming on towards Christ, resembling herein, the nature of their father the diuell.

Apoc 12.4.

But most odious in this kinde, those in place of ministerie, that enuie the blessing God gives to other mensministerie, more then to their owne. Moses not

so, "nor John Baptist. John 3,29.

More specially we are taught to reioyce, at the efficacie of the word in places eminent, as Rome, at this time the seate of the Empire, traffiqued by all nations, and therefore likely by their example, and fame of their faith, to make way for entertainment of the Gospellin other nations: so ought Gods children, as to reioyce at the conversion of all, so specially, at the taking place of the word in persons, and places most emminent and conspicuous: for so it fares with most men, that their eyes are bent vpon places, and persons of cheefest esteeme and authoritie: and great examples, are alwayes causes either of greatest mischiefe

Obser.

Use.

I Num. 11. 28.29. Obser.

first Chapters of the Romanes. Verse 8.	41
chiefe if they be euill, or good if they be conspicuous for goodness. Therefore, when we shall see the Lord magnisse his Gospell, in the conversion of places and persons eminent amongst vs: let vs not be vnmindfull to personne this duetie; and labour with God by prayer, for the calling of such as by their authoritie are likeliest to bring most advantage to the truth. It is not to be omitted, that Paul here applies to himselfe the generall promise of the Covenant, Ier. 31.33.34. from experience applying Gods grace vnto	Use.
himselfe. Such a particular knowledge, of Gods particular loue haue all Gods Saints in a measure. Gal. 2.20. Ich. 19.25. 1 Cor. 2.12. 1 Ioh. 3.2. Neither is it the speciall priviledge of some few, to whom God by speciall revelation gives such particular knowledge, as Papists teach, but that which God vouchsafeth by generall meanes to all his children; and that which every child of God, vpon performance of the generall conditions, as repentance and faith, may gather to himselfe, and professe of himselfe without presumption. See Rom. 8.19.17. where will be fitter place to handle this point at large. Not onely Papists, but generally worldlings, scoffe at this truth, particular assurance; hope well they doe, but assurance they have none, and measuring others by	Obser.
themselues, thinke it not vouchsafed to any man li- uing: and yet if it be marked well, this is had by all Gods children, that are such in sinceritie, and he that hath not this particularitie of applying, hath nothing of faith more then a diuell: the diuels hold generals; of Christs death; remission of sinnes thereby purcha sed vnto the Church: herein onely they faile, that they apply not these to themselues, nor can believe that they are sharers in these benefits: and let it be thought	

thought no presumption, for Gods child to outstrip the diuell one step at least, in beliefe of the articles of his Creede.

much of their faith, that it is so highly commended by the Apostle; and from this place challenge to themselues the true faith, as still dwelling amongst them. To which we answere, that it followes not, a faisse ad esse. Ierusalem was once a faithfull Citie, but the Prophet complaines she was become an harlot; as famous was Thessalonica, in the dayes of the Apostle, as ever was Rome, s and yet now no face of a Church there remaining: and the like answere we make to all the commendations given by the Fathers vnto that Church; that they were true of the church in those times, but not therefore belonging to Rome that now is.

But will you heare their reply? Rome by our confession, was once faithfull; shew were therefore the time when shee began to decline and fall from the truth of faith. Ans. Behold a sottish shift; cannot declinations, and apostasies be euidenced without pointing at the particular times, places, authors of backsliding? that they are fallen, were euidence by discord of their doctrine, from that here taught and commended in this Epistle; but they will not believe except we shew them the time, when they began to decline; as if a man sicke vnto death, when the Phistion by apparant signes discovers his disease, the nature and danger thereof should say no its not so, for you shew me not the time, and meanes, and proceedings of my disease.

Inst. This may easily be shewed in other heresies: Ariansme, &c.

Ans. Not therefore Antichristianisme, for that is a mysterie of iniquitie, tespecially the beginnings thereof, almost insensibly conuaying themselves

3 Thef. 1.8.

% 2 Thef 2.7.

selues into the Church: Secondly, for the grose points of Popery wherein they diffent from auncient Rome, their beginnings, and proceedings, and perfection, are euidenced sufficiently by our Divines. See Perkins his probleme.

Rather let's note here, that the grace of God is not fo tyed to any people, or kingdome, but that for the sinner of them, God may give them to blindnes, and make them beleeuelyes: " this verified of Rome, of Ierusalem, once the praise of the wholeworld; of

the Churches of Asia, &c.

And let it be an admonition to vs that yet stand, to take heed least we fall: x trust not in lying words, saying, the temple of the Lord, the temple of the Lord, &c.

Goe to Shiloh, where I once put my name, and see what I have done thereto: Reade at large, Ier. 7. from verse 3. to 16. A needfull admonition for vs in this kingdome, wherein are too euident tokens of securitie this way; and as euident causes, to seare the like iudgement, because wee are engaged in the same disobedience, lacke of love to the truth, y evill entreatie of the ministers, 2 barrennesse of good fruits: 1/ay 5. from verse 1. to 8.

Obser.

u 2 Thel 2, 10.

Vie. x Rom. 11.20.

y 2 Thef.2.10. z Mat.23.37.38

Verse

Veise 9. For Godis my witnesse (whom I serve in my spirit in the Gospell of his Sonne) that without ceasing I make mention of you Verse 10 Alwayes in my prayers, beseeching, that by some meanes one time or other I might have a prosperous iourney by the will of God, to come unto you.



He second argument and signe of *Paules* loue is his praying, his continuall praying for them, whensoever hee presented himselfe by prayer at the throne of Gods grace; and the better to perswade them of this ductie

performed by him infecret, hee cals to witnesse God himselfe that sees in secret, and that the more credit may be given to his othe, hee here describes himselfe by such behaviour, as may justly deserve credence to be given to his bare word, much more to so serious a

contestation: I serve God in my spirit &c.

Now whereas Paul, to perswade the people of his loue towards them, cals God to witnesse; observe how difficult, and with all how important a thing it is for people to be perswaded of the loue of their Pastour towards them. Paul no doubt had learnt what the religion of an oath was: and that but in matters of waight and necessitie, it ought not to be vsed: as touching the necessitie of this perswasion, it appeares thus; because the minde being fore-stalde with this conceit, that the Minister loues vs not, makes vs misdeeme all things whatsoever are spoken, to suite with the malicious fountaine, from whence prejudice supposeth them to proceede: as when the palate is annoyed

Obser.

noyed with some bitter humour, all things seeme bitter to the taste, &c. so dislike of the people, arising commonly from conceit of dislike in the minister, makes all things sound according to that prejudice, and thereby is the effect of the word much hindered.

And for the difficultie of this persuasion especially in natural men, if we weigh eyther the policie of Sathan, that by his suggestion especially, drives men eyther wholly to forbeare hearing, or in hearing not to regard, or in regarding to missinterpret; or the verie inclination of nature, to distaste things crossing our conceited affections, as reproofe, and threatning of iudgement, as I Reg. 22.8. and plaine dealing in our ministerie: as Gal. 4.16. easily may wee iudge how hardly persuasion of a ministers love, can sinke into the hearts of carnal men.

Our ductie then is by all good meanes to labour, that our people may be throughly perswaded of our heartie wel-wishing vnto them, and that what we speake eyther instructing, or exhorting, or reprouing, or threatning, proceedes all from an heartie desire, and longing after their welfare: two things onely let a minister herein beware of; First, flatterie and soothing in euill: 2 Secondly; doting indulgence, and giuing them the Raines in their carnall libertie.

Another thing here observable, is the lawfulnesse of an othe, both imposed and voluntarie, Gods glorie and our brethrens necessitie requiring it: for this wee have the practise of the Saints, yea of God himselfe: besides that, it is being rightly vsed an excellent part of Gods service, acknowledging Gods excellencie, dhis omniscience, in searching the heart, omnipotence and institute in punishing periurie, lone of truth, &c. and tends also to the good of humane societie, Heb. 6.16.

And

Use.

a Icr. 8.11.

Obser.

b Heb.6.13. c Deut.6.13. d Heb.6.16. c Esay 56. 16.

Use.

And that which Anabaptists here answere, that indeede it was lawfull for the Iewes, but not so for vs in the new Testament, is of no force: for besides the practise of Gods Saints here and in other places, we have it prophesied as a part of worship, to be performed in the new Testament, and the ends of swearing, glorie of God, and good of men being perpetual, the thing it selfe also must be confessed to be of perpetual and morall observation.

Therefore that fancie of Anabaptists touching all othes, both solemne and private to be vnlawfull for a Christian, is here easily confuted; their reason is Christs prohibition, absolute as they imagine. Mar. 5.34.

To which Aguinas his answere is this; that that, non omnino, is not a word of absolute deniall, but of speciall restraint, and the force of it is this (saith he) not that a Christian may not sweare in any case, but that he must not sweare in every case, but where necessitic and importance shall require it. Howsoeuer it be it is apparant, that our Sauiours drift is this, to proue against the glose of the Pharisies, that the Lord in the third commandement, forbids not onely false but vaine swearing, whether by God, or by the creatures, wherein the maiestie of God shines and shewes it selfe; and from the place thus expounded, it will be impossible to deduce the Anabaptists inference. But what stand I longer to proue the lawfulnesse of swearing? we are fallen into times, wherein menare so farre from Anabaptisticall nicenesse, that they are fallen into Atheisticall profanencise; not a word almost comes from them, but it begins or endes in the name of God, profanely appealed vnto without necessitie: whose damnation is just.

Consider well here the nature and forme of an othe in this practise of the Apostle; I call God to witnesse: so that an othe is a calling God to witnesse of the truth we

speake

Obser.

Verse 9.

fpeake, and which is implied in every othe, to judge and to punish vs, if we sweare fallely; for in all swearing God is appealed vnto both as witnesse and judge: and no othe but hath eyther expressed or implied as well imprecation as invocation.

Which short description of an othe, reproues three groseabuses rise in our peoples swearing: first; when not God but creatures, yea Idols, are appealed vnto as witnesses: wherein how euer they thinke their sinne is lessened, yet if we weigh well, we shall sinde God is the more dishonoured; because his gloriess hereby given to creatures, or to false Gods; a thing most odious and detestable vnto him; yea and the lesser the creature, the more dishonor is done to God. And secondly that which by such othes they seeme to avoide, they doe vnwittingly incurre; even the swearing by God himselfe; whose glorie shines even in the baselt of his creatures, as Mal, 23.22.

To the examples of *loseph* and others produced for warrant. Ars. The answere is by some, that they were not othes but strong asseuerations; but better I take it thus, wee walke not by example, but by precept.

A second fault here reproued, is vaine and needles swearing, when things are eyther so evident of themselves, that they need no such confirmation, or so trisling, that they require not so great a witnesse: a sinne arising from our little reverence and esteeme of the maiesty of God: a righteous man feares an othe, g caused by Sathan, as the first authour; h leading to damnation as a just recompence, Iam. 5.12.

A third and most haynous abhomination here discovered, is that hellish sinne of periurie, and false swearing; common at this day, not onely in our knights of the post, that have sold themselves to Sathan to worke wickednesse in the sight of God; but amongst

f 2 Cor. 1.23.

Use.

g Eccles. 9.2. h Mat. 5.37.

our

our common people, arising partly from custome of vaine swearing in common speech; partly from ignorance, generall or particular, of the nature of an othe, or from malice, or soue of gifts, that blinds the eyes of the wise; how haynous this sinne is, first the dishonour thereby brought to Gods maiestie, whom hereby we make fauourer of lying: secondly, the damage it brings to societie, leaving no place for trust, each man of another, nor safety eyther of same, goods, or life: thirdly, the heavy plagues it brings both to private persons in themselves, and their posteritie, i as also to whole kingdomes, and the vtter destruction both of bodie and soule, sufficiently shew.

i Zach 5.4. k 2 Sam.21.1.

To that might beadded, that which is not onely cosen-germain to periurie, but an high kinde of this hellish forswearing, equiuocating in an othe, prophanely dallying with the name of God: Secondly, mocking Magistracie, Gods holy ordinance: Thirdly, erosing the very maine end and vse of an othe: but hereof more at large in due place.

¹Heb.6.16.

Whom I serve in my spirit.] This clause is added to procure the more credit to his serious protestation: as if he should say, neither have you cause to suspect me either of lightnesse, or prophanenesse in this protestation: for I serve God in my spirit, &c.

Obser.

So that here may be observed what manner of men those are to whose oaths credit may be given, without exception: such onely as serve GOD in their spirit, in some honest calling, and surely with mee the word of such a man is precious, his oath beyond exception: as for the most serious protestations of others, I see not what regard they deserve: for me thinks it is as probable they should forget the religion of an oath, as walke in other wilfull disobedience.

Vse I.

And me thinkes it should admonish those in place of authoritie

authority not to be too hasty of beliefe, neither in the words, informations, nor yet in the most resolute adjurations of men destitute of the feare of God: and that it should be as much enquired whether they be religious as whether bomines legales.

And secondly, wee are all taught how to carrie our selves, so that our protestations may deserve credit amongst men. It is a common complaint of many that they cannot be beleeved without their oath, and furely I thinke they may as justly complaine that their oaths themselues though never so resolute, yet finde small credit with many; as one saith well, let them live better for shame, and so carrie themselves in discharge of duties both towards God and man, that their oaths nor words may admit any just exception.

In the words of this clause, weigh these things; first, the action, I ferue: secondly, the object, God: thirdly, the fountaine or manner, in my spirit: fourthly, the

speciall matter subject in the Gospell.

Touching the action referred to the object, it is commonly questioned betwixt vs and our aduersaries of Rome, whether any religious worship may be given to Saint, Angell, or any other creature, faue to God only; and their common judgement is, that the worship called Latria, is peculiar vnto God, and cannot without Idolatrie be given to the creature: but another kinde of religious and divine worship there is which they terme Dulia, which may be given to the friends of God. Now hereof thus our Divines speake: first, that for the words there is no difference, both in themselues signifying one and the same thing, as they plentifully shew both out of the Scriptures and out of prophane Authors: secondly, that it is noted as a part of Idolatrie Ashever, to performe this worship of Dulia to many that are not Gods: thirdly, that we stand not so much , 17 1

V/c 2.

n Gal.4.8.

vpon words, as vpon the worship that is given vnto creatures; and teach that divine worship of Invocation, Thanksgiving, and the rest, call they it what it will, cannot be given to any creature without Idolatrie. Neither is the question about words, but about the things that vnder these names are given vnto the creatures; let them call the worship of Saints Dulia, or what they wil; so that under this couert of words, they rob not God of his peculiar honour.

But let vs learne that are Ministers to whom wee must intend and direct all that service we performe in the worke of our ministerie, viz. vnto God alone.

And here reproued are all fuch as in the ministerie ferue not God but their owne bellies n, discerned by these euidences: first, that they leave the doctrine taught by God to preach doctrines deified by men; as Paul o speakes: secondly, when in matter or manner of teaching they frame themselves to please p men: thirdly, seeke onely therein their q owne ease, r commodity. s preferment, vaine praise, applause and commendation; as the false Teachers in the Church of Corinth, and make these their vttermost terme, wherein they rest, as appeares by this, that having attayned these things, they shake hands with painefulnesse.

Now followes the maner or fountaine of this feruice: in my spirit. Spirit, in the case of Gods service is sometimes opposed to flesh, that is, to the part vnregenerate, t sometimes to letter and ceremonie, "sometimes to shew and fashion, x and in this last sense is here taken; signifying thus much, that what Paul did in preaching the Gospell, hee did in singlenesse of heart; not with eye seruice, but as from the heart with good will,

feruing the Lord not men.

And thence we learn how our feruices in the ministery must be performed vnto God, with sincerity, diligence, alacrity,

Obser.

Use. n Rom. 16. 18.

o Gal.1.10. P Gal. 1. 10. 9 Gal.6. 12. r 2 Tim.4.10. 3 2 Ioh. 9.

t Rom. 7.25. u Joh.4.24. xEphe. 6.5, 6.7.

Obser.

alacrity, see Ier. 48.10.60. Reasons, we deale with God that tries the hearts, and searcheth the reines, and can easily pierce through the vizar of formality, discerneth the secret thoughts, and intentions of the heart: see Ephe. 4.5.6.

Where all that bare formality of many in this kind is justly taxed; some thing they thinke must be done for fashion and shame of the world; but how it is done so it be done is little regarded; little consider such how

great a God the Lordis. Mal. 1.14.

Now as herein hearty performance of duty is required: so in the second place willing nessed and feruencie is vnder this name of seruing God with our spirit com-

mended vnto vs. See Rom. 12.11.

But wee are fallen into these frozen times wherein zeale is termed madnesse; and feruencie in exhortation or reprehension censured commonly of surie, or when most gently, of indiscretion: and most men study tempering and temporizing in religion: would God they did remember the curse denounced in Ieremie, Cap. 48. 10. and how loathsome such luke-warme services are vnto the Lord. Apoc. 3.16.

Herefollowes now the speciall matter subject of his service; In the Gospel of his sonne: that is, metonimically

in preaching the Gospell of Christ, as Ver. 1.

Whence fundry things are to be observed: first, that the services we performe vnto God, must be performed in some particular lawfull calling. There is a general calling of a Christian, and there is a particular calling. The general not sufficient, except there be also a particular, that is, some special trade of life wherein all Christian vertues must be exercised to the glory of God, and good of his people. See Gen 2.15.6 4 2.

Therefore is the Church of God compared to an Armiewell ordered, wherein every Souldier hath his

Use.

Obser.

E 2

speciall

speciall station; to a well gouerned family, wherein cuerie servant hath his speciall office; to a body of many members, yet every of them having their speciall sunction: the eye to see, the eare to heare, the foot to walke for the wholebody: and accordingly doth the Lord sit every man by his grace for some one calling or other. The Magistrate for government; the Minister for instruction; others have skill and expertnesse for manuall trades; all which are the works of God, tending this way, to surnish vs for our particular imployments either in Church or Common-wealth.

Vse. I.

Which if there were nothing elfe, sufficiently dilproues monasticall life and hermitage, taken vp amongst Papilts for estates of perfection; when menabandoning all societie of men, giue ouer themselues to private speculations, and spend their whole lives (for I speake now the best that can be pretended) in prayer, fasting, and such like private devotions: things in themselues past blame, were it not that this kind of performance ouer-turned another ordinance of God, that is, labouring in a particular calling fo long as abilitie and strength continues. Iohn Baptist, whom they make one founder of Eremiticall life, left not the function of preaching and baptiling, till by Herods sword hee lost his life: Nor any one of the Prophets or Apostles, men renowmed for deuotion. We reade in deede of a kinde of monasticall and solitarie life in the writings of the auncients; but that onely tending to preparation, for imployment in speciall functions; not vnlike that Colledge life in Vniuersities, which because it tends to our fitting for speciall callings, is in that respect iustifiable; but neuer shall they proue any such sequestration allowed to any, vpon any pretentes where the necessitie of church or common-wealth wants their imployments; and who can instiffe a mans living to himselfe, where-

53:

as the heathen could fay, that country, parents, children, friends, &c. iustly claime each their part invs.

U/e 2.

And as justly taxed here, are all they that now adaies liue out of any their particular callings: not vagrant beggers onely, for whom our law hath sufficiently prouided, if Magistrates failed not too much in execution; but Gentlemen specially, who for Gentry and wealthes fake, make recreations their vocations, and following their pleasures all their callings. Adam possessiour of the whole world, otherwise disposed of his sonne and heyre, and Paul deales plainly with such telling them that bread is not due vnto them, nay that men walking thus inordinately out of a calling, cate not their owne bread, but as theeues line of the sweat of other mens faces^a, and what the iffues of fuch idlenes are wofull experience of many gallants teach, that because they lack skill or will to worke with their hands the thing that is good, at length make worke for the hangman, to the euerlasting reproch and contumelie of their reuerend families: their state and resolution in extremities, not vnlike that of the vniust steward, Luke 16.3. digge they cannot, begge they will not, they know what they will doe when honest meanes faile them for maintenance.

z Gen. 4 2.

a 2 Thef. 10. 41.12.

Obser.

Secondly, here may we fitly gather that workes of our speciall callings, conscionably performed, are acceptable seruices vnto God. I speake not onely of Magistracie, and ministrie, See Rom. 13.4. 2 Cor. 2.11. but euen of the meanest calling, wherein God hath placed vs; the plowman, the shepheard, the kitchin boy: or if there be any calling more base then these, the workes thereof are acceptable services vnto God b, therefore are they called good things, and such as the Lord will recompence c.

b Ephel.6.8.

c Ephes.6.7.

Use I.

And that should teach vs first willingly, and from the

E 3

heart

U/c 2.

heart, to performe the workes of our seuerall callings, considering that in them, we serve not so much men as God himselfe, Ephel. 6.6.7.

And secondly, it may comfort vs against these many discontentments we shall meet withall, in the workes of our callings, and that little recompence and requitall thereof, that we finde at the hands of men, considering that the Lord is a faithfull pay-maister to all such as worke his worke, in what place or kinde so euer it be

performed. Ephel. 6.8.

The last thing remaines in this verse: and that is the action here so seriouslie auouched to be performed. (viz.) prayer; and that continuall for this people of God. Not but that there might be some short ciaculations and dartings out as it were of his desires vnto God, wherein was no expresse mention of this people; but because in all his solemne and set prayers he made continuall mention of them; by name, praying for the people of Rome.

Whence note the duetie of a faithfull minister: continually to pray God for his people committed vnto his charge: See it enioyned, Numb. 5.24.25. practifed as vpon conscience, d grieued at when not permitted, e especially observable in the great Shepheard of the sheepe, Christ Iesus: f if any man shall aske what it is he should pray for on their behalfe. Ans. Conversion of those not yet turned, preservation, confirmation, increase of those already called, auerting or remouing of iudgements, eyther imminent or inflicted, &c.

And let all pastors heretake notice of this duetie, little considered, and lesse practised of the most; enough we thinke it if wee be painefull in teaching and declaring vnto them the will of God, but furely here that faying must have place; this ought we to doe, and not leaue the other vndone: a minister is in deed totus

Obser.

d 1 Sam. 12.23. e Ter. 14.11.13. f John 17.

V/e.

the people: In prayer, the voice of the people to God, to lay open their wants, pray for supply &c. all teaching, for the most part, fruitletse without this, because the blessing wholly and alone depends upon God. I Cor. 3.7.

Making mention of you. It is not to be omitted that Paul makes speciall mention of this people in his prayers: and thence may be observed, that it is not lawfull onely, but expedient, to make particular mention of others in our prayers vnto God: so Paul entreats the people of Ephelus, to pray as for all Saints, so for him especially & by name, g and himselfe makes mention of One siphorus and his houshould, h conceiuing a speciall prayer for him, in regard of special fauor done by him vnto Paul. And howfoeuer it may be excepted, that this mention was in private prayers, yet both there are examples of publike prayers, conceived for private persons, and the grounds are generall and publique: (viz.) good that may accrew to the whole Church by some particulars, as Magistrates, Ministers; other speciall instruments of Gods glorie, and the Churches good; speciall necessities of others, which God hath commanded all the members of Christs body equally to respect.

And therefore frantike, rather then fantasticall are all such as would have all publique mention of particular persons forborne in common prayers: the Church in generall they allow to be commended vnto God, but particular mention eyther of kings and those in authoritie, and specially of private men, lying vnder the hand of God by sicknesse, or other extremitie, they will have forborne. Wherefore? Surely they know not; it may be because they are common prayers. Ans. Common they are called; not so much because com-

Obser.

B Ephel.6.19. h 2 Tim.1.16. 18.

Usc.

mon necessities are therein to be laid open vnto God, as because therein the people of GOD joyne all together, with one mouth and one heart to glorifie God and call vpon his name. Secondly, who knowes not but the safetie of Kings and Princes is a common good; yea, and that the necessitie of euery member toucheth all the members, and are theirs by sympathy, and the good of every member, the good of the whole body. 1 Cor. 12.26.

Verse 10.

Beseeching, &c. This Verse may be added unto the former, and fignifies the special matter that Paul prayed for, as concerning the Romanes: (viz.) that hee might have a prosperous iourney, &c. Wherein these things are to be considered; first, that he prayes for prosperitie in his journey : secondly, the manner of his praying, (viz.) with submission to Gods will, touching the meanes and time of comming, that by some meanes, &c. thirdly, how hee esteemes his journey prosperous, that is, when hee sees it to be according to the will and appointment of God.

Where fundry things are to be observed; first, that our journeyes must not be vndertaken without prayer, and if we doe but consider the many perils that attend on vs therein; for anoyding whereof we have neede of Gods speciall protection, it will easily be confessed. See examples in *lacobi*, Abrahams servant, as also how the successe of all our trauels depends upon Gods graci-

ous bleffing.

And let not the practife hereof be forgotten: to the neglect whereof we may justly impute those many damages, and as wee terme them croffe accidents that meet with vs in our trauels, from robbers, &c.

When any such mischance betides vs, its our custome to cry out of our hard lucke, and crosse fortune, when as we have more cause to blame our owne prophane

Obser.

i Gen. 28.20. 21. 22. 1 Gen 24.12.

Use.

phane neglect of inuocation and prayer, for protection.

Secondly, here note how in temporall things, our prayers mult be framed, euer with submission to Gods will, touching meanes and time of attainment. See Mat. 26.39. Reasons, because as things are promised, so must they be prayed for; things absolutely promised, may be absolutely prayed for, but where GOD hath put conditions and exceptions to his promise, as all temporall promises hee hath restrained to expediencie, &c. then must our prayers alwayes have respect not onely to the blessing, but also to the condition: yea, not onely in things temporall, but in a fort in spirituall blessings promised, this submission is requisite in regard of circumstances of time, meanes, measure, &c. for these hath the Lord reserved in his owne power, vi infrapatebu.

Where is iustly taxed that presumptuous prescribing vnto God, the meanes, manner, time how, in temporall or spirituals things he shall blesse vs: not vniustally called by *Indeth* a tempting of God, and as it were a binding of his counsels: *Indeth* 8.12.16. Read her

speech though Apocryphall, yet holy.

By the will of God.] Whether wee are here to vnder-stand the secret, or reuealed will of God, or both, seemes doubtfull; yet of both may wee vnderstand it; and thence learne how to measure the prosperitie of our iourneyes, or other our enterprises in actions of common life. (viz.) When as wee shall see our selues lead and directed therein by the will of God; that is a prosperous iourney, whereof we can say that hitherto the Lord directed vs, both in his word, as also by good meanes in the course of his providence.

And if those giddy-headed trauellers into forraine countries to see fashions even Idolatrous, would learne thus to expect the Lords hand andword to lead them,

Obser.

Use.

Obser.

no doubt they might expect protection, and returne freer from popilh and neopolitan infections then many of them doe: and it's Gods iust iudgement on them that they returne commonly so poyloned, and made drunke with the wine of popilh fornications, because without calling or warrant, they curiouslie hunt after vnnecessarie spectacles. See in an other kinde the like iudgement on Dinah. Gen. 34.1.2.

Verse 11. For I long to see you, that I might bestow among you some spirituall gift, to strengthen you.



He next argument and euidence of *Paules* loue, remaines to be treated; that is, his defire and longing to fee them, for their good. Wherein these things are observable: First, his defire to see them: Secondly, the ends of

it, verse 11. Which by a Rhetoricall correction hee seemes something to mittigate, least hee might be thought to thinke ouer meanely of their present faith.

E'vivolv, I long to see you.] It signifies such a desire as is impatient of delayes. Whence note the affection of a true passour towards his people; how holily impatient their desires are of detainment from their people: pressed partly by necessitie laid vpon them, m partly constrained by the loue of Christ, partly commisserating the state of their people; to which might be added the knowledge of their peoples seuerall estates, for their better sitting to divide the word a right. 2 Tim. 2.15.

To which patterne how futable their practife is, that vnder

Obser.

^m 1 Cor.9.16. ⁿ 2 Cor. 5.14. ^o Mat.9.36.

Use.

vnder pretense of fitting themselues to the worke of the ministerie, wilfully absent themselues from their charges, I would they themselues would rather seriouslie consider, then giue others cause to complaine. I know its true that a pastour ought to labour for fitnes to discharge the great worke he hath vndertaken; but this I maruell how men can thinke themselues sufficiently fitted to take vpon them care of soules, and yet by their absence, vnder pretense of studie, acknowledge their present absolute vnsitnesse, to teach and exhort their people: or secondly how they can imagine by prinate studie in schooles of the Prophets, better to surnish themselues for pastorall performances, then by diligent imployment of their talents alreadie received amongst their people.

But consider wee the ends, why Paul desires to see them. First, to bestow some spirituall gift upon them: by spirituall gift, he meanes as I take it, some word of instruction, or exhortation, tending to increase or con-

firme the graces of the spirit of God.

See then what ought to be the largetse that a pastor of the Church ought principally to bestow on his people; though I know it's true, hee must be hospitall, yea, given to hospitalitie, according to abilitie; yet herein especially must he shew his bounty and liberalitie, in bestowing spirituall gifts of instruction, comfort, exhortation, for the good of his people; and this is the feeding our Sauiour commends vnto 'Peter.

10h, 21.16.17.

Now I could wish my brethren of the ministerie, that so much hunt after commendation of liberall house-keeping, a little to furnish themselues for this spirituall beneficence: and to consider that the gifts of pastours, as pastours, are spirituall; neither are wee properly feeders of our peoples bodies, but of their soules:

P1 Tim. 4.16.

Obser.

9 1 Tim. 3.2.

V/e I.

foules: and what availes it that wee gorge their bellies with good cheere, and hunger-starue their soules through our penurious and niggardly distributing to their spiritual necessities?

Vse 2.

And withall let the people here take notice, what almes it is that they are to expect at the hands of their pastours; spirituall gifts of instruction, exhortation, comfort. He is in deed the bountifull minister, that is rich in these kindes of spirituall good workes, our Sauiour, 10h.6 seeing the people admire and follow him for belly-cheare, reproueth their carnall affection; and tels them both what they should principally labour for, and what chiefely to expect from him, as the chiefe shepheard of the sheepe; foodespirituall that perisheth not, but lasts to life everlasting. But to heare the manner of peoples commendation of their pastours is too too strange; no great scholler they say, nor one that troubles them much with preaching, but for house-keeping none of them all comes neare him; and on the other side of a painefull minister, hee is a great scholler, very painefull in preaching, but hee keepes no house; now surely it may so well be with many of vs, that through the generall short allowance left vs, and what through the peoples vniust detaining of our small remainders; but in such case mee thinkes the largesse of Peter should suffice vs; if when we lacke silver and gold to distribute, we give such as we have. Atts 3.6. spirituall gifts of instruction and consolation.

Marke here an almes that the poorest of Gods Saints may at all times distribute to the necessities of their brethren; perhaps foode, or rayment they are notable to giue, but yet a word of instruction, exhortation, comfort they may giue, and relieue the soules of others, though themselues lacke meanes to sustaine

their owne bodies.

The

Use 3.

The other end followes: that yee might be strengthe. ned. What need Paul so much to desire to see them to this end? could he not sufficiently by writing confirme them? Ans. It seems that he thought with Hierome, that viua vox; had in it aliquid latentus energia, some more life and power then a naked Epistle.

Whence may be observed, that teaching by lively voyce is more effectuall, to the ends for which it was ordained, then any other meanes of writing or what-souer. Which besides experience, reason naturals and divine sufficiently evinceth. Nature this teacheth, that the object of hearing, is farre more powerfull then that of sight; and therefore fitter to pierce into the inward parts, and leaving a deepe impression in the minde, more moving affections, &c. See Plin. Epist. lib.2.

Divine, because it hath pleased the Lord, to make the care the special doore for his spirit to enter by. Rom. 10.17. All. 10.44. Not but that he is effectuall in a measure to some ends by reading, but that hee more powerfully workes by the ministers stuely voice, then by our owne, or other mens private or publike reading.

And this as it should stirrevs vp that are in place of ministerie, to be instant this way in preaching the word r, considering weesee such great desparitie betweene reading and preaching; so also it shewes what to judge of that comparison odiously entred betwixt writing or reading, and preaching by lively voice vnto our people: surely I could wish the proper honour due to each to be reserved to each, without others impeachment; and cannot but take notice of that policie of Sathan, well observed by a faithfull minister in this kinde; by committing the ordinances of God in odious comparisons, to impaire the reverend respect of that which is most profitable; but yet this I must needs speake

Obser.

Use 1.

r 2 Tim.4.4.

speake, that if we compare these two together in efficacie, and liuelihoode of operation, the oddes must needs be given to preaching; I will not speake so profanely as one did in the pulpit, preaching compared to prayer, is meere prophanenesse. But this I say, reading compared to preaching in efficacie, is almost mortuum quid, and as nothing in comparison.

Vse 2.

5 2 Thes.5.20.

t Heb.10.25.

Obser.

Use.

And withall let me admonish our people, as not to neglect attendance, eyther to private or publique reading, so at no hand to despise prophecies, the principall meanes ordayned as for conversion, so for our confirmation: And let all such as contenting themselves to serve God at home, forsake our gatherings togither this consider, that they much hinder their own solide comfort, and confirmation, and dangerously lay open themselves to fearfull Apostasse. ibidem.

That you may be strengthened.] So is the ministerie of the word needfull even for those also that are already brought to the faith: for grouth, increase, establishment, confirmation. Confer. Ephe. 4. 12. 13. 1 Pet. 2. 1. 2.

2 Pet.1,12,13.

And I would those veáquios, new plants amongst vs, that easiliest are pust vp and swell with conceit of of their small modicum of knowledge received, so far that they neglect all farther meanes of edification and strengthening, to consider this; that as the ministerie of the word, was the meanes to convert them; so it must still be vsed as a meanes to confirme them. I have heard it to be the speech of a profane Popish Rabbine; that preaching in deed is necessarie to gather a church, but when we are once in the settled forme of a church, preaching is vnnecessarie: let him read Ephes. 4.12.13. And if he scorne not to submit himself to Pauls iudgement, he shall learne that Prophesie is for them that belieue. I Cor. 14.22;

Verse

Verse 12. That is, that I might be cemforted together with you, through our mutuall faith, both yours and mine.



Ow followes the correction, vsed to this end, that the people should not thinke him too meanely conceited of them, because he thinkes they neede confirmation: for as he judgeth that they may be benefited by his prea-

ching, so he thus esteemeth; that himselfe also may re-

ceiue comfort and confirmation by their faith.

Where note how carefully a minister must decline suspicion of meane esteeme of his peoples graces. Compare Heb. 6.9 10. For this reason especially, least thereby their mindes be too far estranged from regard vnto our doctrine, as in experience we see them to be by nothing more then by suspicion of our light estimation of them: therefore observe how this Apostle willingly takes notice of the good things in any people, and largely commends them, and thankes God for them.

Howbeit our well judging must not be groundlesse; a for charitie though it be not needlessely Iealous, yet is it not foolishly blind: and to approue, or praise without cause, is eyther foolish dotage or dangerous

flattery.

That I might be comforted through our mutuall faith.] So may one mans faith be helpfull to another, though not to iustifie himb, yet to comfort and confirme him, namely, when as they shall see their owne experimentall perswalions, backed with the experience and testimonies of others. Example. The doctrine of iustification by faith in the bloud of Christ is plentifullie taught

Obser.

a Heb. 6.9.10.

Obser.

· b Heb. 2.4.

taught in the word of God, belieued, and comfortably entertained by all Gods children, acknowledged to be the onely meanes of right cousnesse, by which a man can stand in the judgement of God: the onely way whereby a man can come to have his conscience truely pacified. Now though the experience of Gods children together with the word, sufficiently establish vs in this truth, yet its a confirmation not to be neglected, that we have others of our brethren from like experience with vs, giue testimonie thereto.

And it were to be wished, that Christian conferences were for this end more carefully vsed; no doubt the consent of Gods children in the same truthes, would adde vnto vs no finall comfort and confirmation. Ferus, in Mat. 11. reports of a kinde of conference in vse amongst the auncient Heremites and Monkes, not much different from that now deuised: they were wont, faith he, to meete together, and there freely to lay open each to other their severall temptations, meanes of relistance, and gracious issue, for counsell, comfort, con-

firmation, &c.

But at no hand we may omit this; that the Apostle Paul acknowledgeth, that he might somewhat be holpen & comforted by the faith of Gods people. Whence may be observed, that the greatest of Gods Saints may somewhat be helped by the meanest of Gods people: and who fees it not in experience that the people may help their pastours, as remembrancers, as incouragers, as prouokers of their dulnesse, by being whet-stones, and as it were spurs vnto them; as men though generally of leffe knowledge, yet sometimes of more feeling experience in the truth then many of their Ministers.

And if there were nothing else; yet this should perswade a minister to frequent the company especially of

Use.

Obser.

luch

fuch of his people, as in whom mesees evidences of true saith and seare of God. And that whether melancholicke solitarinesse, or proud distaine, whereby it sals out that we slyethe company especially of our meaner people (as for their outward state vecommonially esteeme them) hinders no doubt much or that comfort that a minister might reape by their friendly conference. And therefore though of all things I most missiske that haunting of the assembly of mockers in a minister, be the pretenses neuer so honess, ler. 15.17; yet this I would have alwayes in a minister mat hee should be a companion of all them that seare God and keepe his precepts: Psal. 119.63.

Verse 13. Now my brethren I would that yee should not be ignorant, how that I have oftentimes purposed to come unto you (but have been let hitherto) that I might have some fruit also among you, as I have among the other Gentiles.



His Verse is added to the former by way of prolepsis, for having prosessed his desire to see them, hee saw it might be demanded why hee came not; to which he answeres, that he had often purposed, but was hinde-

red, where his purpose is set out vnto vs. First, by the frequencie of it. Secondly, by an Antithesis of things diuerse shewing the cause of not obtayning that purpose; he was hindered. Thirdly, by the end; to have fruit. Fourthly, by the inward mouing cause, consideration of his debt, and conscience to discharge it.

Now here are fundry things worthy our observa-

Obser.

tion, and first, where Paul purposeth and is hindered, observe that the good purposes of Gods children are sometimes hindered of their execution. Compare 2 Sam. 7.5. Att. 16.6.7. God first by such inhibitions shewing his power; secondly, instructing to humility, and respect to his will; sam. 4.15. thirdly, judgement on the wicked vnworthy of such blessings. Math. 13.58. Mark. 6.5.

And it must teach vs even in our best intendments, to submit our wils vato Godsy, and to permit vato him

the disposition of all our purposes. In

Secondly, it may comfort vs also in expectation of the Lords defeating the malitious and mischieuous plots of our vngracious aduersaries, be they neuer so cunningly plotted, or resolutely intended: no doubt that God that hinders good purposes of his owne Saints from execution, will much more defeate and bring to naught the lewd intendments of the wicked. See Examples, Ast. 23. 12.13. 76.23.

Hence also it followes that crosse successe in execution, proues not certainly vnlawfulnesse of the intention. And that speech of Gamaliel, Ast. 5.38. is vttered plausibly to appeale the counsell, not ever truly as

experience teacheth.

Now if we view the meane of hinderance, something else will offer it selfe to our consideration, 1 Thes. 2.18. the Apostle mentions one hinderance of such a purpose by Sathan; Al. 16.6. Another by special prohibition of Gods spirit; Rom. 15.20. 21.22. A third the greater necessitie of other people. And this I take to be that here meant.

Whence may be observed, that where is greatest necessition our people, there must be most of our paines bestowed: a good shepheard missing his lost sheepe, leaves the rest in the folde, and seekes that is lost.

Use 1.
y Heb.6.3.

Vse 2.

Vse'3.

Obser.

z Luc. 15.4.

67

lost. A carefull Phisitian though he have many Patients lying under his hand, yet most respecteth with attendance him that is most dangerously a diseased. A good Captaine there placeth the greatest fortification where the wall is weakest, because he knowes there the enemie is likeliest to make assault and prevaile; we are Shepheards, Phisitians, Captaines of the Lords Hoast, keepers of the Lords defenced Cities, &c.

Yet here this caueat must be remembred; that this must be limitted especially to our owne charges, b for though what we can spare from the necessities of our owne people, may be bestowed to others. Yet must our owne houshold people be first and principally re-

garded. Math. 15.24

-.... And therefore our people of more vnderstanding must not repine at their Ministers, though they shalf in respect to the ignorance, and small capacity of some in their Congregations insist and stay long in the very Rudiments and A B C as it were of Christian religion. For though they perhaps be fit to digest stronger meat, yet some others, and perhaps also the greater part have need of milke: and as their necessitie is greater, so ought it most to be regarded of a Minister, yea and let the stronger know that it is not alwaies unprofitable vnto them that principles be in the plainest manner inculcated; partly because there is none can say that he doth so distinctly understand any principle, but he may need more plaine explication, partly for that memory is many times slipperie, and often by too eager pursuit of things difficult, we forget even those things that are most plaine and familiar vnto vs.

The end of this his purpose followes; that hee might have some fruit, he meanes as I take it, the gayning of

some of them vnto God, Compare loh. 15.16.

Where note what a Minister must esteeme his chiefe fruit,

² Mat.9.12.

b r Pet.5.2.

V/e.

Obser.

fruit, not so much plentifull tithes, and reuenues which some call their fruits, as the gayning of soules to the faith of Christ. This is our haruest, to the gathering whereof we must principally bend our selues.

Now if any shall demaund how Paul calles the people of God converted, his fruit? Ans. First, because Ministers are Gods instruments and servants to gather it: And secondly, because this in the event proves very gainefull, and advantagious vnto them in regard of that recompence God hath promised them above others that labour in his harvest. Dan. 12.3. Compare 1 Thes. 2.19.20.

And if men could refolue thus to esteeme and meafure their fruit, no doubt there would be lesse ambitious hunting after preferments and benefits, more plentifull fruit gathered into the garners of Christ Iesus: but while men make this the scope of their entrance and execution of Ministerie, that they may have whereby to live, no meruaile if the chiefe care be neglected, and so a curse laid upon the gifts and paines of such, that they proue barren of this fruit, conversion of soules to the faith of Christ. Read for encouragement this way, Dan. 1 2.3. that comparison of equals annexed, feemes to tend this way, either to perswade this people of his indifferent care of their gayning, as well as of others though by meanes of detaynment he had not as yet laboured amongst them, or else as a reason of his hope to haue fruit amongst them, because GOD had bleffed his paines vnto others: or lastly, to worke in the people hope and expectation of benefit by his comming, and withall defire of it, in as much as his Ministrie had beene so fruitfull amongst others.

Verse

c 1 Cor.3.7.9.

Use.

Verse 14. I am debter both to the Grecians, and to the Barbarians, both to the wise men, and to the unwise.

Verse 15. Therefore as much as in mee is, I am readie to preach the Gospell to you also that are at Rome.



Vt proceed we to the cause internall, moving him to desire and purpose comming. It was the consideration of his debt, and conscience to discharge it; wherein source things are to be considered: first, the obligation

on or bond, or what made him a debter: secondly, the debt it selfe: thirdly, the persons to whom hee was indebted, Greekes and Barbarians: fourthly, his readinesse to discharge it.

That which made him debter was his Apostolicall calling. I Cor. 9.16.17. Whence may be observed that ministerial calling, makes vs debters vnto our people, in respect of those duties whereto it leades vs: see I Cor.

9.16.17.18.

And if this were throughly considered, no doubt we should many of vs make more conscience of doing our duties. I know not how amongst many, preaching is holden as a matter arbitrarie and indifferent, good they say and commendable, but not of such necessitie, as many would beare the people in hand. I come not yet to handle the question of necessitie thereof in respect of the people, but me thinks this place sufficiently proues the necessitie of it in respect of a Minister; for is it arbitrarie to pay our debts? none but Anabaptists will affirme it; and we are debters: yea and

F 3

Obser.

Use.

if we well weighed what our pawne is that we have gaged for the discharge of this debt, no doubt we should as much abhorre this spirituall as that temporall Anabaptisme. Some, saith Holcot, sell their soules, as the couetous; some prodigally give them away for naught, as the envious; some negligently lose their soules, as the carelesse and thoughtlesse people; some pawne their soules for others; so doe Ministers, engaging their owne soules for the people, if by any wilfull negligence or default of them they miscarie: see Ezech. 3. 18.19.

Obser.

See we in the next place the debt it selfe, from Ver. 15. To preach the Gospell. This then is the principall debt, to payment whereof our calling bindes vs, To preach the Gospell. It needes no proofes. Read Mat. 28, 18, 19. and that other, 1 Cor. 9. 16. And least any fay it is enough to deale by writing or reading; though I know these also are duties that we owe to our people, yet by this place it is more then evident, that it is not all wee owe them: Paul had written largely to this people, and yet thinkes not his whole debt payd, till he haue preached vnto them. And least any man shal againe say, reading is preaching; methinks Paul puts difference enough betwixt these two in this place, for having written yet hee professeth his readinesse to preach. Apparantly putting difference betwixt this explication of the milteries of faluation by writing, and that other teaching by lively voyce which properly he calleth preaching: I say not but the Lord may at his pleasure, vse either writing or reading if hee will to the begetting of faith, but this point is here evident that Paul counted not his writing that preaching whereto calling bound him.

Wherefore let as many as finde not some ability to discharge this debt, seare how by vndertaking the cal-

ling

lingthey binde themselues to an impossibility of payment: The Apostle aduiseth Timothie to hauecare to what kinde of men he committed this function, and requires in them two necessarie points of honest debters, fidelity and ability of payment d: men wilfully running themselues into these bonds, me thinks I cannot fitlier compare them then to desperate banckrupts; that finding their state weakened, and themselues already so farre engaged that they see no hope of recouerie; care not what they get into their hands of other mens goods for their present vse, neuer purposing to make repayment, but to prouide for their owne prefent maintenance, to the vindoing often of their honest Creditors. Not much vnlike are those banckrupt Ministers, that knowing their owne absolute insufficiencie, yet for supply of their present wants runne headlong into the calling; with the vtter ouerthrow and vndoing (for ought they doe) of the poore people of God.

The next thinghere to be observed, is the persons to whom he acknowledged himselfe indebted; Greekes and Barbarians, wise and vnwise: first, by his Apostolicall Commission to all nations, states and degrees, qualities of men within his charge, as we know the Apostles calling was thus vnlimitted, and so vnder these generall differences of Greekes and Barbarians, wise and vnwise, comprise the hee all men, of all nations and degrees. Other Nations called Barbarians in respect of the Greeks; in respect first of that esteeme that the Greekes caried of them: secondly, for that both in regard of elegancie of speech, and civility of manners, they were as Barbarians vnto them: in which sense that other phrase of wise and vnwise, seemes to be taken.

Now whereas the Lord by calling bindes Paul to preach to all, we may gather, first, that the knowledge

d 2 Tim. 2. 2. Titus 1. 9.

e Mat.28.18.19

Obser.

of the Gospell is needfull for all men to their saluation: and if we but consider the imperfection of all othersciences, eyther naturall, artificiall, or even ecclefiasticall, it will easily appeare; nature reuealing God indeed but onely as a creator, gouernor, venerable maiesty, judge of vnrighteousnesse, but still ignorant of a mediatour; Art adding some perfection to this natural knowledge, and bringeth vs perhaps to more distinct knowledge of God the creatour; but yet notable to see in the workes of God the meanes of reconcilement f: and the law shewing onely the necessitie of a Mediatour, not discouering who this Mediatour is, or how his mediation may be auailcable for vs.

And it should teach vs of allsciences, to labour for this knowledge of God, as he hath by the Gospell reuealed himselfe in the face of Ielus Christ g. And its lamentable to see, how when other Artes draw multitudes to their earnest studie, this Arte of Artes lies alone contemned; as vnworthy of our knowledge, or at least not so necessarie to our saluation: and whereas in other sciences, wee hold the greatest destinctions of knowledge needfull, in this we stay in Confusis onely, fatisfying our felues with verball acknowledgement, that Christ came into the world to saue sinners, dyed for vs. &c. See Paul otherwise minded. 1 Cor. 2.1.2.

Againe, here learnewe that the Gospell hath in it mysteries, that the wisest may not scorne to learne, nor the simplest despaire to conceiue. Fulgent: ser. de Confes. In scripturis divinis abundat et quod robustus concedat. & quod paruulus sugat: there is strong meat for strong men, and milke for babesh: there is such mysteries as no science reueales, verse 17. so plainely vttered, that the simplest may understand the whole counsell of God touching the saluation of the elect by Christ, &c. See a Catalogue. 1 Tim. 3.16.

f Ioh.I.18.

U/e.

g 2 Cor. 3.18.

Obser.

h Heb.5.12.

The

The Apostle, 1. Cor. 1.22. setting downe by the way

a reason why the Gentiles resuled the Gospell, saith it was this, they sought for wisedome, and seemed to want it in the Gospell, and to the same cause may we impute that generall neglect and contempt thereof, a mongst the wise politiques of the world; whereas if they had eyes to see, and know how rightly to esteeme, and judge of wisedome, they should see such wisedome here reuealed, as none could be deuised comparable; is it not a point of infinite wisedome to

deuise a meanes how to compound infinite iustice with infinite mercy, so as neither should be impeached? What skill of men or Angels, could euer deuise how God should be infinitely iust in punishing the transgression of the law; and with all infinitely mercifull insaving them that he had elected. This hath the Gospell reuealed in Christ crucified; in whom Gods iustice hath been fully satisfied, and by whom Gods chosen mercifully saued. The Angels admire this, and prye into it, desirous to learne it by the Church. Ephes. 3.10.

Use.

i 1 Cor. 2.6.7.

And as blameable is that not searching into this my-sticall wisedome whether through carelesse neglect or needlesse despaire of knowing in our simpler people, to whose capacitie though it have pleased the Lord to demit himselfe, and withall to promise instruction by his spirit k, yet pretending hopelessesses of attainment, neglect all meanes of attaining this so necessarie knowledge. See Psal. 61.7. Pro. 8.9. 1 Cor. 1.26.27. Mat.

There remaines the last thing in this debt acknowledged by the Apostle, and that is his readinesse to discharge it. Where are two things: first, the readinesse it selfs secondly the applification of

it selfe, secondly, the amplification of it.

The word signifies a propense and forward inclination to doe his duetic.

k Ier.31.34. Iohn 6.45.46.

And

ding

Obser.

¹ Iohn 4.34.

m 2 Tim.4.2.

Use.

And it is that that of all things best beseemes a minister, being one principall euidence of inward calling, when a man can say it is his meate and drinke to doe the worke of God in his function 1, and its a speciall thing, vpon presence whereof wee may assure our selues, that our labours are accepted, and shall be rewarded. I Cor. 9. 17.

And it euidenceth it selfe thus, when we are glad of occasions and opportunities to doe good to Gods people, in exercising our ministerie^m. Secondly, when as outward allurements and enticements being absent, yet

we continue our paines.

To this how well suteth the practise of many, that farther then authoritie of Maiestrates vrgeth, doe nothing in the ministerie, and what they doe, doe with irkesomenesse, that a man may well say, force compels, not will inclines: and every vntowardnesse of our people, and least affliction is cause of a willing silence, and surceasing of paines? It's true, that this prevailed somewhat with Ieremie, and made him in weaknes resolve to speake no more in the name of the Lord; but see how the slame conceased increaseth, and gives no rest till it had found vent. Ier. 20.9.

The amplification followes: First, by the measure; quantum in me: Secondly, by consideration of the people, whose qualitie might have hindered from doing

his duerie.

As much as in me is: That is, so farre as God permits, and shall make way for discharge; there being nothing else that can withhold but onely the impediments that the Lordobiects.

Such a measure of willingnes bescemes a minister, that but when God lets, there should be no impediment, or meane of detainment from ductie, taken notice of by a Minister: and such a collecting and ben-

Obser.

75

ding of forces this way, that willingly admits of no distractions, See 1. Tim. 4.15.

Now brethien, defective this way are many of vs, gladly entertaining every obvious pretenfe, that we can get for negligence; yea throwing our feives into impediments, and hunting after occasions of neglects. Much might be here spoken of those vnnecessary distractions about the things of this life, against which the Apostle deales, 2 Tim. 2.4.5.6.7. that leave little or no leasure for attendance to the worke of the ministery: and though I acknowledge that the saying of the Apostle, 1 Tim. 5.8. stretcheth also vnto ministers, yet must not those cares so distract vs, as to make vs neglect that vnum necessarium of preaching the Gospell: see the Apostles reasons: 2 Tim. 2.3.4. &c. and consider what he saith, and the Lord give vs vnderstanding

Thesecond amplification is in the latter words: 10 you also, or even to you which are at Rome. Its a particle of amplification, as if hee should say: not to others onely more simple, and lesse ready to decide and reject the doctrine of Christ crucified, but to you also

at Rome.

in all things.

Rome was at this time the seate of the Empire; had concourse of all Nations; abounded with mighty Potentates; & great Clarkes of all sorts; readier a great dealeto deride and persecute, then to entertaine the Gospell of Christ ": yet euen to them also is Paul readie when God shall give opportunitie to preach the Gospell.

Whence we learne, that to whomsoeuer the Lord shall send vs to preach the Gospell, to them we must preach it, be their present estate neuer so desperate and hopelesse; though readier to deride then to imbrace

our mellage. See Gal. 1.17.

Reasons

Use.

n I Cor. 1,22.

Obser.

Reasons are, because obedience must be performed without distrustfull care for successe. Gal. 1.16.

Secondly, its probable to vs that GOD hath there some people; a tenth at least, where he sends his word. Is of 18.9.10.

Thirdly, Gods call by the Gospell is powerfull, to make those that yet are not, that which they should

be. Rom.4.17.

Fourthly, our ministerie is acceptable, and GOD glorified, as well in those that perish, as in those that

are saued. 2 Cor. 2. 15.

And it teacheth vs not to draw backe whether so ever the Lord shall send vs. Moses his infirmitie is noted this way. Exod. 4.11. &c. and 5.13.14. and its that wherein many of vs are faulty, that we would saine be our owne caruers, and follow our owne choise, where to exercise our ministerie; and by soolish prejudice as Nathaniesto, despaire of successe, and forbeare our paines, when the outward shew and fashion of the people is not such as we desire. Surely, if God should call vs to preach even where the name of Iesus was never heard of, where the contempt of the word, were never so heathenish, yet me thinkes wee might promise our selves successe, in respect that the Lord thither sends vs.

Use.

? Iohn 1.46.

Verse 16. For I am not ashamed of the Gospell of Christ: for it is the power of God unto saluation to euery one that beleeveth, to the Iew sirst and also to the Grecian.

Verse 17. For by it the righteousnesse of God is renealed, from faith to faith: as it is written, The inst shall line by faith.



Y way of prolepsis, hee addeth a Reason of his resolute readinesse to preach at Rome, the sum whereof is this: that howsoeuer amongst the wise of the world, the Gospell was had in derision, yet for his part, he was not ashamed eyther to prosesse

or preach it; and he gives a reason of this, his not shame-

ing of the Gospell. verse 16.17.

In these words then we have these two things: first, a protestation of the Apostle, I am not ashamed: secondly, the reasons of it, two: first, from the vse and end of the Gospell to which it is destined, being Gods power to saluation: secondly, from the Divine matter it containeth; in it is revealed the righteousness of God: this latter reason also inferring the sormer. Now how truely Paul professeth this of himselfe. See Alts 13.&17. & 24. & 26.

And what Paul here professeth of himselse, ought to be verified in all both Ministers and people. See

1 Tim. 6.12.13. Rom. 10.9:3.15. Gal. 6.14.

But alas how many are the defects of men in this kinde; ministers almost scorning the naked simplicitie of the Gospell, hunt after I know not what oftentation of more profound learning in Sermons. See 1. Cor. 2.12.

And

Obser.

Use.

P Ioh. 3.1.2.

Chryfost.

Obser.

V/e 1.

And people though convinced of their duetie, yet as Nichodemus dare not be seene in the company of Christp. Causes are these. First, too much desire and some of mens praise. See lob. 12.43. Its true as one saith, where a man seekes glory, there he seares shame: and he that doteth vpon the praise of men, its no maruell, if he account that shamefull vnto him, that is most glorious in the eyes of God. A second cause is that we have not selt the power of the Gospell in our soules: for surely this once felt makes vs easily contemne the mockes and scotses of the world.

Reasons to inforce this ductie of fearlesse confessing. First, the maiestic and Divine power of the Gospell, vi hic. Secondly, the example of our Saviour. 1 Tim. 6.12.13. Thirdly, impudency of men in wickednesse. Isay 3.9. Fourthly, perill of not confessing. War. 8.38. all which are so many motives with Gods

children, powerfull to enforce confession.

But let vs now come to see the reasons of Pauls boldnesse, the first is taken from the vse of it, to which the Lord by his ordinance hath deputed it, its Gods power to saluation, that is, a powerfull instrument, which God vseth to bring men to saluation; therefore called the arme of the Lord. Is 53.1. Confer. 2 Cor. 10 4.5. Where you may see the mighty effects of this instrument: howbeit, we are not to thinke that this power of the Gospell stands in the letters and syllables of it but depends wholly in respect of efficacie vpon the spirit working therewith. 2 Cor. 10 4.2 Cor. 3.6. & 1 Cor. 3.7.

Now then how blasphemous is that saying of those fanaticall *Euthusiasts* and Anabaptists, that call it a dead letter, as if it were of no efficacie in the hearts of Gods children. See *Heb.*4.12. and they shall one day finde it, even to them that contemne it, powerfull to

bring

the explication thereof, Iewe and Gentile.

Beliefe then is necessarie to the participation of this

fauing power of the Gospell. See Heb. 4.2. & 3.10. for

Obser.

as the most soueraigne plaister hath no effect of hea ling except it be applied to the fore; no more hath this fauing doctrine, except it to by faith received and applyed.

Andby faith I meane not a general lassent vnto the ruth of the Gospell, but a particular assurance, whereby we are perswaded that the promise of Gods grace in Christ belong: Jos in particular. Gal. 2, 20.

1 7im. 1.15.

Use I.

Whence it is easie to observe the cause why the Gospell being to powerfull in a felfe, yet hath so little fruits in the hearts of many, not that it is in it selfe a dead letter, as the Anabaptists profanely speake, but because it is not mixed with faith in them that heare it. Heb. 4,2.

The Gospellis init selfe a most soueraigne potion that hath power to purge all the rotten fores of the soule and to restore the decayes therof: but here faith is required as one principall ingredient, without which the Gospel is altogether ineffectual vnto vs. It is a wonderment amongst many, that in places where the Gospell hath beene long and powerfully preached, there should yet appeare so little fruit : some blaming the minister of insinceritie: some the very Gospell of inefficacie; the true cause is this, lacke of faith in the hearers: whiles some make the historie it selfe questionable; others contenting themselves with that generall allent, see not, nor apprehend Gods mercy therein reuealed to themselues.

And it may secondly teach vs that long to feele this sauing power of the Gospell in our hearts, to importune the Lord by prayer for this gift of gifts, true faith, whereby we give admittance to it into our hearts.

Now as the actuall power of the Gospell is appropriated to beleeuers and they onely feele it, so also it is extended

Use z.

Obser.

extended to all beleeuers without respect of persons, according to the promise. Isay 55.1.10h. 3.16.20h.1.12. So that they all recle it: howbeit, that we erre not, let vs know that beleeuers are not all of one sort; some are such in profession onely s, some that roue in generalities; alsenting to the truth of the Euangelicall historie, by a generall grace; some that by a speciall worke of Gods spirit appropriate the generall promise to themselues in particular t, and of these must this place be understood, and this universalitie to be accommodate unto them all how ever distinct amongst themselves by different degrees and measures of faith.

Now brethren how comfortable this little particle is to the children of God, that have received by Gods grace, this excellent gift of true faith if there were no no other thing, yet that labouring of Sathan to wrest it from the Saints of God in temptations would eafily euince. See the cunning of the old serpent; sometimes widening, sometimes straightning this gate into eternall life, as he sees will best serue for his aduantage. Before conversion any faith saues any man; when God by his grace begins to bring a man out of the power of darknes, then the adulterers faith, though he haue ceased to be an adulterer, saues him not, nor the persecutors faith, though he have repented his perfecutions, &c. nor any faith, but what is as perfect as was Abrahams. But know we to our comfort, that the promise of the Gospell runnes in generall to every true beleever. Rababs faith saued her, though she had been an adulteresseu: Abrahams, him though an Idolater; Paules though a persecutor; and least any should say this grace was peculiar vnto them, Paul tels vs, that Christ in him shewed what all may expect that beleeue in him vnto eternall life*. Neither must it trouble vs that our

s Ioh.6.64.66.

t Gal. 2.20.

Use.

u Iosh.2.25.

* 1 Tim.1.16.

* Mark.9.24.

y Rom.11:25.

faith is not for the measure as Abrahams, so it be true; and so we mourne for vnbeliefe, and desire to increase faith, little faith may be true faith, and being true, serves to suffifie, as a sparke of sire is true fire, having as well the nature of sire, as the greatest flame. See Mat. 12.20.

Now followes the explication of the generall particle; to the lew first, and also to the Grecian, that is, the Gentile.

From that particle of order, first, some take occasion to observe the order of Gods dispensation, or execution of this saving power of the Gospell: namely, that it first shewed it selfe amongst the Iewes: and that is a truth as appeares. Mat. 10.5.6. Luke 24.47. Als 13.46. for they were first by Gods ordinance, though they be now become last, through their infidelitie? but yet I take it, it is not here intended, as appeares by comparing this verse, with Cap. 2.9.10.11. onely thus much is here taught, that the grace and power of the Gospell belongs to people of all nations, without respect of persons. Col. 3.11.

Proceed we now to the second reason of Paules confidence, which is also a reason of the sirst reason, taken from the effect and matter of the Gospell: Init is reuealed the righteousnesse of God, where also is added an exposition or declaration of the righteousnesse of

God: from faith to faith.

See we first the meaning of the words; the righteousnes of God, sometimes signifies his essential righteousnes, whereby God is in himselfe righteous: and this is eyther vniuersally put for all that circle of Diuine vertues, that dwell in the Deitie, or else particularly; sometimes for his truth and sidelitie, in performing his promises, as Rom. 3.5. 1 Ioh. 9.1.2 Tim. 4.8. sometimes for that instruction

administration of the world, & specially for that his di-Aributiue iustice, (as we terme it) in giuing to euery one his due. Gen. 18.25. none of these is here ment. Againe the righteousnesse of God, in the case of instification is vlually so called in opposition to humane righteousnes z, and is nothing else but that righteousnes, whereof God is author, accepter, approuer, in case of instifification at his judgement seat; called Gods righteoufnes, eyther because it is wrought and given by God in Ielus Christ, or because its approued and of force with God at his tribunall and feate of judgement: as on the contrarie that is called humane righteousnes which is wrought by men a, or which is of force and esteeme amongst men, so that for it, they esteeme and judge vs righteous, lames. 2. that place, Phil 3.9. compared with this sufficiently expoundeth this.

The meaning then I take it is this: the righteousness of God, that is, the righteousness whereby a man is instiffed in the sight of God, is reuealed in the Gospell: the Gospel, here take largely for all that doctrine opposed vnto the law: wherein God hath promised reconciliation eyther made as now, or to be wrought by Christ Iesus the promised seede, as in the old testament.

Now this righteousnesse seemes to be called Gods righteousnes, principally because it is wholly wrought by God in Christ, man conferring nothing thereto, eyther in whole or in part. See Phil. 3.9. Rom. 10.3. Rom. 3.21.

Now then having thus seene the meaning, let vs briefely consider the points herein offered to our consideration: and first, whereas the Gospell is said to be Gods power vnto saluation, therefore because it reueales Gods righteousnes: observe we here the necessitie of righteousnes vnto eternall life: such a necessarie antecedence there is of righteousnes, as that with-

z Rom.1013.

² Phil.3.9.

Obser.

 \widetilde{G} 2

out

out it there is no hope to be faued, Gods iustice inclining him to punish, his purity to hate all vnrighte-ousnesse. Hab. 1.13. see also Apoc. 21.27. Yea I adde further that it must be such a righteousnesse so compleatly perfect, as that it may endure the strict censure of Gods iustice. Gal. 3.10.

Vse.

b Mat.6.33.

€ Mat.5.20.

Obser.

d Rom. 3.21.

Now then the exhortation of our Saujour eafily followes, that we should therefore first and principally aboue all things seeke the kingdome of God and his righteousnesse b, such a righteousnesse as may stand before God and endure the tryall of his iustice. There is a remanent of this principle euen in Nature, if it be not too farre degenerate, that it is righteousnesse whereby Gods fauour and kingdome must be obtained: and therefore the verie Gentiles by light of nature, have performed some both religious offices towards God. and civill duties to men, as it were to demerit God thereby: but what this righteousnes is, and where to be found, here nature showes her blindnesse, and vanisheth away in vaine confidence of selfe righteousnesse and civill honesty; of which notwithstanding our Sauiour pronounceth that its vtterly vnauaileable to Gods kingdomec, and Paul that had as much of it as any counts it but dung and droffe in comparison. Phil. 2.8.9.

See we then where it is reuealed: secondly, wherein

it consists: thirdly, how it is obtained.

It is reuealed in the Gospell, and therein onely: not reason nor any Philosophic reueales what this righte-ousnesses; something indeed it sees of the necessity of it, but neuer so much as by a dreame coniectures what it is; no not the law it selfe, though it be a divine doctrine reueales simply the meanes of a sinners instification d; this the priviledge of the Gospell onely, to reueale Christ, the wisdome and righteousnesses of God.

Whence

85

Use I.

Use z.

Whence me thinkes it followes, that none of the nations to whom the Gospell is not knowne can be imagined to be made heires of life; and it is but a foolish dotage of mans braine, arising from I know not what commiseration of the multitude of the Gentiles that they many of them though ignorant of Christ were saued. Confer Ephel. 2.12. Alt. 4.12.

Secondly, it should teach vs thankefulnesse vnto the maiesty of God, that of his free grace hath given vs the knowledge of this true righteousnesse, by meanes of

the Gospell: but hereof more hereafter.

Let vs now see what this righteousnessee of God is, and how obtained: in the next words, from faith to faith: which words are added to the former by way of eghynois, as if he should say, if it be demaunded what that righteousnessee God is that the Gospell reueales, it is the righteousnessee of faith, or whereof we are made partakers by faith; an eclipsis of the word Evolution of Miss of, not much vnlike that Rom, 3.21.22. compare it diligently with this place.

Here then we have the maine state and thesis of this first tractate in this Epistle. The summe whereof is this, that the righteousnesse whereby a sinner is instified in the sight of God is the righteousnesse of faith, which conclusion before I come to proue, I will a little explane, and with as much breuitie as may be set downe the state of the question, betwixt vs and the adversaries of the

grace of God.

The first question betwixt vs and them, is touching the terme and name of instification what it signifies; whether making righteous, or pronouncing righteous; they vrging the Etymologic of the word, and some texts of scripture, for the greatest part detorted, to proue the first acceptation: we sticking rather to the second, having the Apostle for our interpreter. Rom. 8.33.

321-

G 3

Now

De pænit. lib.1.cap.7. pag.143. Now for the Etymologie of the word; whereas they fay that the very Grammaticall notation proues their interpretation, let them remember what Bellarmine himself speakes in another place, in eo errant multi (saith he) quod vocum significationes ex Etymologia potius ducunt, quam ex communi scripture & bonoru authorum vsu. Its the errour of many, that they fetch the significations of words rather from their Etymologie then from the common vse of Scripture, and good authors. And herein how soulely and stubbornely himselfe erreth, see histract lib. 2. de instificat: cap. 2. 3. for its apparant both by scripture, and the best authours, that thus the word is most commonly vsed: yea alwayes vsed in this question of our instification in the sight of God.

Howbeit, for my part I see not but wee may grant them this notation of the word to stand even in this question; so be it we iowne not with them in their exposition touching the manner how a sinner is made righteous in the sight of God; this they say is done by insusion of habituall righteousnes; which we must vtterly deny, except wee will contradict the whole tenour of the scriptures.

Let me a little with good leaue of my more learned brethren, to whole censure I submit my selfe, propound

my judgement herein.

I take it therefore that without any prejudice to Gods truth, we may grant them that notation of the word, to stand in this question: so that to justifie shall signifie to make righteous; to be justified to be made righteous. And if I be not deceived, the Apostle thus vieth it. Rom. 4.5. but yet that insusion of habituall righteousnesses, they dreame of, hath here no place, though it be one inseparable companion of our justification. For better explanation, I will a little declare the

the proceedings of the Lord with a sinner in iustification, which is as I take it in this manner. First, by effe-Equal calling he workes faith; by faith vnites him ynto Christ, so that he is made one with Christ; thus vnited, he hath interest to Christs righteousnes; hath true righteousnes in his head; both passive for satisfaction, and active for acceptation; which is truely his in respect of right, vse, fruit; having thus apprehended Christ, and made him with all his merits and obedience his owne, he ceaseth to be a sinner in respect of guilt and punishment, God striking of his score, cancelling the handwriting, in a word, forgiuing his finnes, and making them so as if they had neuer beene; and so pronounceth him righteous; and accepts him to life eternall. And so we yeeld them their heapes of premities, whereby they labour to drive vs to absurdities: and auouch that Gods iustice is not impeached by our doctrine of instification.

It is true that they say, GOD doth not iustifie the wicked: that is, acquites him, or holds him guiltlesse while he is wicked, and yet we say with all that GOD doth acquite a beleeuer and holds him guiltlesse, and that doing thus, hee sustifies not a wicked man, but a righteous man; though not in himselfe, yet in his head Christ Iesus: And of the terme let this suffice, this one-ly being annexed, that to iustifie, imports these three things one descending on other. First, to make righteous by ingraffing into Christ, and imputing his righteous by ingraffing into Christ, and imputing his righteous the former imputation: Thirdly, to pronounce and accept as righteous.

Come we now to the second controuers betwixt vs and them, and that is what that righteousnes is, by which a sinner is made righteous in the sight of God, and so acquited from his sinnes, pronounced righteous,

G 4

and

and accepted to life eternall: they say it is a mans owne innocencie, habituall and actuall righteousness merited indeed by Christ, but inherent in vs as in a subject: we vtterly disclaimethis, and teach that the righteousness whereby a sinner is made, esteemed, and accepted as righteous before God, is the righteousnesse wrought by Christ, inherent in him onely as the proper subject, made ours by faith, that is, by believing it to be ours, and wrought for vs.

Where the third controuerlie offers it selfe to be considered; (viz.) what kinde of faith this is, and how

it concurreth to iustification.

By faith they understand, a generall acknowledgement of the articles of faith, and an affent to them as true. Wee a particular assurance, that what Christ wrought and merited, he wrought and merited for vs.

Faith againe, they teach to be a part of that righteoulnes as other vertues also. We not a part, but an instrument onely to apprehend and receive it offered vnto vs in the Gospell. And therefore our Divines say
well, that faith is here to be vnderstoode with his obiect, the obiect being indeed that that doth instifie vs;
saith being but the instrument to receive it, as the
hand may be said to feede or nourish the bodie; not
that any nutritive vertue is in the hand, for that is onely
in the meate; but because the hand is an instrument
to convaigh the meate into the stomacke, &c.

Hauing thus explained the question, let vs resume the conclusion, as it is here expounded, and so apply the proofes here set downe by the spirit of GOD. The conclusion is this, that the righteousness whereby a man is instiffed in the sight of GOD, is the righteousness of faith, that is, the righteousness of Christ, apprehended by faith: and not the workes of the law eyther naturall, ceremoniall, or morall, done

by

by vs eyther before or after grace: and this Text affords for proofe foure inuincible arguments. First this, the righteousnes whereby a sinner is justified in the light of God, is onely that righteousnesse which God only hath wrought in Christ; called here the nighteousnes of God in opposition to mans righteousnes, as also Phil. 3.9. Rom. 10 3. but so is onely the righteousnes offaith, not that of workes, Ergo. For though it be true, the adversaries themselves, confessing that our actuall righteousnes is the worke of God in vs, yet this with all they teach, and that truely, that in the exercise thereof, there is a concurrence of our will, and other powers of soule and body with the grace of God, and we are in them in some degrees owegyou fellowworkers with the grace of God, but this righteousnes whereby we are iustified, is wholly of Gods working in Christ, therefore by faith, and not by workes, are we iustified in Gods sight.

A second reason, is from the instrument and meane of Reuelation: which is the Gospell, and that onely. Whence I thus reason: the righteousness whereby a sinner is instiffed in the sight of GOD, is reuealed in the Gospell onely, the law Morall neuer discovering it: but the righteousnesses of workes, is reuealed and taught in the law, therefore that cannot be the righteousness whereby Paul teacheth vs to be instiffed in the

fight of God.

Thirdly, the Apostle here directly auoucheth, that it is the righteousness of faith, and proues it by the testimonie of the Prophet Habakkuk, whereby we are instiffed, and so saued; therefore not the righteousness of workes.

Fourthly, verse 18. &c. the Apostle at large proues that all both Iewes and Gentiles, are breakers of the law. Whence a fourth reason ariseth on this manner:

no transgressour of the law can be instified by the law. See Gal. 3.9.10. but every man, lew and Gentile, naturall, and regenerate, is a transgressor of the law: therfore no man can be instified by the workes of the law: these are the reasons laid downe in this chapter.

Use.

Now for vse let this suffice in this place, to stirre vp Gods children, by these and the like reasons, to sortifie their judgements in this maine point of Christian saith: The Article of justification, it is the very summe of the Gospell; that once corrupted, there can be no soundnesse; that truely and thorowly understood and beleeued, and applyed, armes against all assaults of Sathan: and let us be exhorted as to edific our selves in all other the points of our most holy faith, so especially in this, which who so holds not aright, surely he holds not the head, nor ever can be saved.

But let vs a little turne backe to the words of the Text, and ridde them of some difficulties, and gather what may be gathered from them for our further instruction.

From faith to faith.] The words are diverily expounded, and their connexion diverily expressed: some referre them to the former words thus: by the Gospell the righteousness of GOD is revealed from faith to faith; that according as faith increaseth, so is this righteousness of God, and our interest thereto, more and more made knowne vnto vs, and this is a truth, though I take it not that here entended; for I take it the Apostle speakes here of the generall propounding of this righteousnesses of GOD in the Gospell, not of our apprehension and acknowledgement thereof.

Some others take them to be as it were a description of instifying faith, by the nature of it, for it is such a faith as continually increaseth, and gathereth new strength;

strength; this also a truth; but the sense but harshly collected from the Text.

I take it rather that they are added vnto the former, by way of exphasis and shew what that righteousnes is which hee cals the righteousnesse of God, (viz.) the righteousnesse of faith: and thus me thinkes the words hang well togither, if weeshall supply the note of explication that is here wanting; thus, to wit, or the word esse, to be from faith to faith, that is, to be wholly absoluted in faith; so that beginnings, continuance, and accomplishment of instification are wholly absoluted in faith.

Which sense standing, ouerturnes that quaint deuise of the first and second instification, denised by Papilts, for so they teach, there is a twofold iustification, first, and second; first, whereby a man of wicked, is made iust; second, whereby of iust is made more iust: the first they ascribe to grace, and principally to their faith, and to the fecond they admit good workes: This they deuise, to elude those many direct testimonies, for iustification by faith, without the workes of the law. Now this exposition standing, vtterly ouerthrowes this fond deuise; for if iustification be wholly absolued by faith, then have workes no place at all in iustification': but how euer this exposition may be controuersed, their distinction was vtterly vnknowne vnto the Apostles, and all the pen-men of scripture. Paul speaking of his righteousnes of good conscience, after the first iustification, yet acknowledgeth no value in it at all to iustifie hime, and counts not onely his workes before grace, but his best deedes, in grace, as dung and droffe in respect of any worth, or availe of them to iultification, and it shall never be disproved that our Divines teach, that iustification is actus individuus, and hath no latitude; the manifestation therofto vs is given

Vie.

e1 Cor.4.4.

by

by degrees, but the act is absolued in an instant, so that a beleeuer in the first moment of his beliefe, is as much instiffed at Gods indgement seate, as euer he shall be: but hereof more hereaster.

The proofes of this conclusion now followes, and the first is taken from a testimonie of the Prophet Habakkuk. Cap. 2.4. In it weigh the qualitie: secondly, the substance of it, it is a written testimonie; selected from the written word of God; the onely Cannon indeede to try all truthes by; and the sole sound authoritie wherein our judgement may rest, and therefore observe that the Apostles when soeuer they have to deale with the people of God, that had received the scriptures for the word of God, they we none other testimonies; in deede when they deale with heathenish people, they sit them with Prophets of their owne stamp. Tit. 1.12. Alls 17.28.

And surely what other voice should there be heard in the Church of God, but the voice of Christ? and though it be true, that testimonies of Fathers may have their place in such matters, yet the safest course were as Augustine adulteth, to heare, hac dicit dominus, thus saith the Lord; or thus it is written; and as Constantine, in the Councell of Nice, adulted to make the Scripture sole iudge and empire of all controversies in matter of faith: and if this course had been holden in the first heate of these contentions, wee might have hoped of a more speedy end of all these bitter and endlesse disputes.

But see we the substance of it, The inst by faith shall line.] It is doubted whether these words, (by faith) be to be referred to the substance of the proposition (the instance).

be referred to the subject of this proposition (the inst) or to the predicate (shall line,) as they stand in the original they may be indifferently applyed to eyther. If wee re-

ferre it to the predicate, it affords a good argument against

Use.

against that second instification formerly mentioned: for if the inst line by faith, & not by workes, then what place haueworkes in instification? If, to the subject; so also it proues the conclusion, that the righteousness of God, is the righteousness of faith; because the institute by faith, and he onely is saued.

But a greater difficultie there is about the fitnesse of this testimonie, to proue the conclusion in hand, for it is apparant that the Prophet speakes of a preservation in a temporall judgement; & what is this to eternall life? To this the answere may be diversly framed: first, perhaps that Chaldaicall captiuitie, figured our spirituall bondage under Sathan: and deliuerance from that calamitie, typically shadowed our freedome from hell, to be procured by Christinas there are plentifull examples of the like. Compare Isay 40.3.4. Mat. 3.3. and then the accommodation is easie. Or secondly thus, that generall sentences applyed to particular cases, are not thereby restrained onely to those particulars, but still retaines the generalitie of their nature, Mar. 19.6, and so also the explication plaine. Or thirdly thus, that the Prophet in that place, doth not onely describe the blessing of a beleever, but also the cause of it, which is his faith; and then it is also plaine, for atiologycarum similis ratio. Or fourthly thus, that it is one and the same iustifying faith, that apprehends and gives vs interest to all the promises of God, made to vs in Christ, and as by it we liue in temporall dangers, so by it also are we freed from spirituall and eternall destruction: and let this fuffice for the argument drawne from testimonies.

V erfe

Verse 18. For the wrath of God is reuealed from heaven against all ungodlinesse, and unrighteousnesse of men, which withhold the truth, in unrighteousnes.



Ow followes an other reason, prouing the same conclusion: the summe wherof seemes to be this. No transgressour of the law can be justified by the works or the law, but if he will be justified hee must be justified by faith: but every

man is a transgressour of the law: therefore no man can be justified by the law, and so must needs righte-

ousnesse be by faith.

The proposition is not here set downe, but easily gathered out of the Text: and the truth of it easily e-uinced: because the law requires to our instification a perfect and continuall performance of the whole law, and every part thereof by our selves. See Gal. 3.9.10. apparant therefore, that the least transgression excludes

from all iustification by the law.

Now the minor, or second proposition, namely, that every man is a transgressour of the law, he proves at large by a generall distribution of all mankinde; according to the phrase of the holy Ghost: all Iewes & Gentiles are transgressors; but vinder one of these two differences comes all mankinde; therefore: and for the Gentils transgression, he proves it at large from this 18: verse, to verse 17. Cap. 2. forting them also into two rankes: for they were eyther more notoriously wicked, or else Hypothetically onely just: of the first fort hee shewes it, Cap. 1. Of the second, cap. 2. After hee proves the same of the Iewes, from ver. 17. cap. 2. to the end

end of cap. 3. This the disposition and generall summe

of these three chapters.

As for the first sort of the Gentiles, he proues that they were transgressours, by a reason drawne from the effect, as a signe of transgression, (viz.) Gods wrath, metonymically his vengeance or judgement inflicted on them. Where the reason lies thus in forme, vpon whom the Lord poures downe his vengeance they are transgressours: but on the Gentiles God powred downe his wrath; Erge, they were transgressors.

In handling of which reason this shall be the order: first, to give the sense of the words; then to shew the force of the consequence, and then the obser-

uations.

The wrath of God.] It is a question amongst the learned, whether anger may properly be given to God; and many things are spoken both wayes: the truth is, that anger as it is in vs, eyther for the materiall, or formall part of it, cannot be given to God that is free from all such passions and perturbations: yet in a sense it is true that anger is in God: that is, an inclination and will to punish sinne, arising from his detestation of sin: and so here it is to be understoode for the displeasure of God conceived against sinne, testified by the punishments he inslicts on the transgressours.

Is reuealed from heauen.] (viz.) By those punishments which out of that his throne of maiestie, and imperiall seate, he executes upon them that prouoke

him.

Now the consequence is easily euinced: if there be wrath, there is sure transgression: both from the nature of GOD, that abhorres nothing that he hath made, nor is displeased at anything whereof himselse is authour, as hee is of all things in the world, except sinne: if therefore hee be angry with man sure

sure it is because man hath sinned: secondly, his iustice permits not to punish the guiltlesse. Gen. 18. 25. 106.4.7.8.

Obser.

Hence therefore the conclusion is naturall, that Gods wrath presupposeth mans transgression: and therefore may we safely reason from a sudgement, to a sin:God punisheth, therfore surely something is amisse. See Lament. 3.38.39. Neither doe eyther the sufferings of Christ, or the chastisements of Gods children, that in Christ are accepted as righteous, any whit impeach this truth: for howsoeuer Christ was in himselfe a Lambe vindessled and without spot syet was he by imputation a sinner s, and Gods children though they have no sinnes to be satisfied for by their owne punishments, yet have they sinne to be abolished, chastisfed, prevented.

Vse 1.

Fa Pet. 1.19.

g 2 Cor. 5.21.

And this if there were none other argument, would easily consute that dotage of the *Pelagians*, (viz.) that imitation onely makes vs pattakers of *Adams* sinne: for see wee not that infants also dye before they come to vse of reason and vnderstanding: dye they, and yet are guiltlesse, and free from all sinne? farre be it that the iudge of all the world should not doe right, or inflict death the wages of sinne, where is no transgression.

Vse 2.

Secondly, see we to what cause we may impute all these judgements of God, whether ordinarie or extraordinarie, common or personall that haue seized on vs: all that disorder in the creatures, in creation destined to mans comfort, now through transgression become instruments of his annoyance: all the diseases and aches of the body: also losses of children, goods, &c. The fashion of most men in such judgements is to cry out of ill tongues that haue fore-spoken them, and surely they are bewitched: beloued in Christ

Christ, consider it well, and we shall finde the witch in our owne bosome; the grand witches are our sinnes, they hinder the good things from vs, they bring downeall this wrath of God vpon vs: so for common calamities, wherewith whole Kingdomes and States are visited: as how long hath the Lord followed vs in this Kingdome, with varietie of his judgements; once and twice by famine and scarcitie of bread, and cleannesse of teeth, with pestilence after the manner of Egypt: with vnseasonable weather, fearfull inundations; and yet behold the wrath of the Lord is not ceased, but his hand is stretched out still. Wonder wee at this? O Lord, saith Iosuah, in like case, what shall I say when Israell turne their backes before their enemies himarke the Lords answere; getthee vp losuah, get thee vp; Israell hath sinned and transgressed my couenant, &c. for they have taken of the excommunicate thing, and stolne, and dissembled, and have put it even with their owne stuffe: and this is the cause that Israel cannot stand before their enemies, because they are execrable : and furely, beloued, the same answere may wee giue to the like demaund: What should wee say when England, the people of GOD, are thus loden with the iudgements of God? What, but that we have sinned, and broken the Lords Couenant? Shall I say and dissembled and hidden our sinnes? that modestie were yet tolerable, but they have declared their finnes as Sodome, and have not hidden them i: the streets ring againe with othes, for which the land mournes; the tables and tauernes swimme with filthy vomitings; the fabbath polluted, judgement peruerted; the rulers fell sinnes, and say with shame enough (O shamelesse shame) bring gifts 1: and can we wonder at this wrath of the Lord? Nay, O Lord it is thy mercy, thine vnspeakeable mercy, that we are not consumed.

h Ioshua 7.8.

i Isay 3.9.

1 Hosea 4.18.

H

Now

Usez.

Now thirdly, I could wish that the third vse, which Ieremie infers Lament. 3.40. might take place amongst vs; that in all these iudgements of GOD wee would search and try our wayes, and turne vnto the Lord. Is all had neuer rest, till the execrable thing was removed; nor let England euer thinke to have rest from Gods wrath, till these execrable sinnes are some wayes expiated amongst vs: the Lord give vs eyes to see every man his own personall sinnes, and to turne from them: and move the heart of our Issuah, to search out the execrable things amongst vs, and to consume them, that the Lord may returne and have mercie on vs, before his wrath have vtterly consumed vs. Amen.

Let vs now proceed to that that followes. It may be demanded how the Lord manifested his wrath vpon these Gentiles: Arf. Read the processe of the chapter, and you shall finde it was by inslicting spirituall plagues vpon them, and permitting them to those grosseand brutish sinnes, wherein they wallowed.

Whence may be observed, that it is not the least evidence of Gods anger, to give a people or person the raynes in iniquitie: when the Lord suffers sin to grow in a man to a height, without restraint, thats a token of his heavy displeasure. First, for argues it not a deniall, or deprival of grace? and is not this a token of wrath? Secondly, shewes it not that God hath rejected them from his care? Thirdly, is it not a plaine evidence that he meanes to gloriste himselfe in such a mans vtter destruction? therefore he never deales so with his owne servants, Psal. 89.31.32. but onely with the desperate wicked. Hosea 4.14.

So that hereby againe, wee may justly gather Gods heavy displeasure against the people of this kingdome; because the Lord suffers the generalitie to grow

Obser.

Use.

to such an height, and ripenesse of hellish prophaneneise. I could be content to promise my selfe any good thing of my mother the Church of England; and to hope for all gracious bleffings from God vpon her, were it not that I see the Lord dayly making way for an extreame vengeance, and by so euident tokens manifelting his wrath against her. And when I see such open affembly of Adulterers, by companies in harlots houses m, such defiling of the land with bloud, such peruerting of iustice, such stopping of the eare to the cry of the poore, such open prophanation of Sabbaths, desperate contempt of the word, scoffing at religion, &c. I then begin to say with the Lord, Ier. 5.7. How should he spare vs for this? shall not the Lord visit for these things: and shall not his soule be auenged on such a Nation as this : verse 9. Surely if the Lord would continue his mercies towards England, hee would neuer suffer so grosse impieties to be so shamelessy committed: if his wrath were not too much incenfed against vs, some meanes or other hee would vse of our restraint: but permitting such sinnes to such an height, he plainely testifyeth the fiercenesse of his wrathagainst vs. Consider what is said, and the Lord giue vs vnderstanding in all things: great is the securitie of our people in this kinde, euery man bleffing himselfe in his wickednesse, and promising himselfe peace, though hee walke after the stubbornnesse of his owne heart, adding drunkennesse to thirst. Deut. 29 18.

And would God our Prophets had not their share in this, saying we shall have peace, when also, if wee may judge by signes, there is no peace: what though the Lord have multiplied our people, enlarged our kingdomes, stablished our peace with forraine Nations, and yet permits sinne to such height amongst vs: are

m Ier.5.7.

ⁿ Nahn.3.8.9.

º 1 Reg.9, 22.

we better then "No, better replenshed, better fenced, better friended? yet was shee carried away; no brethren, neuer looke for peace whiles our sinnes, our abhominable sinnes, are in so great number and high measure amongst vs o: and the Lord grant these things may worke in vs truely turning from our sinnes, that the Lord may repent him of his great wrath, that hee is preparing for vs. Amen, Amen.

Come wee now to view the cause of this wrath, whereby the equitie of Gods proceeding is shewed: this wrath was reuealed from heaven: if any aske why: it was because they withheld or detayned the truth in vnrighteousnesses; for so I take it, this description of the Gentiles by their behaviour, imports the cause of Gods wrath vpon them. See we the mea-

ning of the words.

By the truth wee are here to vnderstand, those μοινάς έννοιας, true principles and notices that the Gentiles had in their vnderstanding, these and the like; that there is a God, that this God is the maker and gouernour of the world, of infinite power, wifedome, iustice, goodnesse, &c. and therefore to be worshipped: and those also of iustice, equitie and charitie to be practifed towards men; as that what wee would not another should doe to vs, that wee should not doe to him, &c. This truth they detayned or kept downe in vnrighteousneise; that whereas the conscience, from that light that was in the vnderstanding, fuggested often, and vrged on to the practise of those things knowne, they wickedly suppressed all such motions, and hindered them from that effect they would have had in their hearts: This the Sense."

And comparing this sinne with the punishment, it shewes vs, how seareful a thing it is, to hinder the effect of the knownetruth in the heart; and to suppresse

the

Obser.

the good motions suggested by conscience, out of principles in the vnderstanding: for first, what is this but to be wilfully wicked? Secondly, see the haynous-nesse of it in the dangerous consequent: for hereby it comes to passe by little and little, that conscience is quite killed, and the truth vtterly extinguished, so that the grossess finness are practised without any checke or remorse of conscience. See Ephes. 4.18.19. Rom. 1. 21.26.27.

And let it be our admonition to take heede how wee suppresse the truth, or by violence hinder the worke of it in our hearts. S. Paul would have the word of God to dwell plentifully in our hearts P: to have full scope and the whole sway in the heart of a Christian. Now brethren how far engaged our people are in this sinne of suppressing, and suffocating the knowne truth, too lamentable experience shewes; the checks of conscience, caused eyther by word, or workes of God, they are commonly accounted fits of melancholy, and when such quames come over their hearts, a paire of tables or cardes, or merry company, is sought to drive them away: fearfull is this sinne, and such as is the forerunner of a reprobate minde, &c.

Verse 19. For as much as that, which may be knowne of God, is manifest in them: for God hath shewed it unto them.



Ere is a prolepsis: that is, an objection preuented which some might make in excuse of the Gentiles, thus, how could they suffocate or suppresse the truth of GOD, which they knew not, seeing they wanted his word? Ars. Yes, H 3 they

Use.

P Col.3,16.

1 1

they knew it, verse 19. which is proued proleptically, verse 20. by the particulars of this knowledge, and meanes, whereby they knew it: (viz.) the creatures, in which the power and Deitie of God shineth; and the power of vnderstanding given them by God.

So that in these words we have these things to consider; first, that the Gentiles had the knowledge of God: secondly, the author of this knowledge, God. ver. 19. thirdly, the meanes whereby they obtaine it; consideration of the creatures: sourthly, the measure, vse, and effect of this knowledge; it lest them without excuse.

That which may be knowne of God, &c.] It may be demaunded whether the Gentiles knew all that may be knowne of GOD? Anf. Not so; if wee take it generally, for God, as a redeemer, is knowne onely by the word: how then may this be vnderstood? Anf. First, eyther thus, that what may be knowne of God by power of nature, that was knowne vnto them: or secondly, thus, referring it to verse 20. so much as might serue to leave them excuselesse was knowne vnto the Gentiles.

First, then here observe that the very Gentiles have by light of nature so much knowledge of God, as may make their idolatry, atheisme, disobedience, inexcusable. Read for this *Psal.* 19.1.2.3.&c. *Alts* 14.17.& 17.27.28.

So that we see God was neither tyrannicall, nor vniust: though he inflicted damnation vpon the body of the Gentiles before Christs comming, for besides that he made man right at the first q, he neuer lest himselse without witnes amongst them, so, but that they might, and did know more of GOD, and their dutie towards him, then they would or did practise: different measures in deed of knowledge there are, but to every one is granted so much as should deprive them of excuse. The Philosopher sorted the Heathen people into three

Obser.
235-

Vse.

9 Eccles.7.31. r Act.14.17. three rankes. First, was of the maine or vulgar fort of people: second, their xequivies, and politicke: the third their wisemen and Philosophers: now though in the rude vulgar there was least distinctnes of knowledge, yet some glimering light they had, whereby though obscurely, they discerned a Deitie, &c.

The author of this knowledge followes: GOD hath reuealed or manifested it vnto them; so that, even naturall knowledge of God must be acknowledged the gift and worke of God, wrought thus: first, by setting apparant charecters of his owne nature; and properties in the creatures, such as every man might discerne: secondly, by giving a reasonable soule indued with facultie of vnderstanding and discourse, whereby they might ascend from the creatures to the acknowledgement of the creatour: thirdly, by giving the vse of those faculties, to some more, to some lesses: and fourthly, if we shall adde, that there was an influence of generall grace into nature corrupted, helping the imbicilitie of nature, I thinke it nothing different from truth.

But hence we learne thankfulnetse to God, even for that naturall knowledge we have received of the Deity; a thing that sew of vs take notice of, though the neglect of it were to the Gentiles cause of deprivall: and the Lord in every place gives vs monitors to put vs in minde of this duetie: as we see in many borne sooles: the common vse or abuse of whom, is ordinarily this; to make them our iesting stockes, and subject of mirth. It were an holy vse of them, if we kept them as spectacles of Gods mercy to vs, with whom it hath pleased God to deale more mercifully in this behalfe.

Obser.

5 Ioh.1.9.

Use.

Verse 20. For the inuisible things of him, that is, his eternall power and Godhead, are seene by the creation of the world, being considered in his workes, to the intent that they should be without excuse.



He meanes of manifestation followes, that is, the creatures: which carrie fo liuely representations of the infinite power and wisedome of God, that being duely considered, they bring vs to a certaine acknowledge-

ment of the Deitie of their maker.

Now the reading in this place is something intricate; I take it weemay best make the Syntaxis thus: the inuisible things of God being considered in his workes, even ever since the creation of the world, are discovered; to wit, his eternall power and Godhead.

And they seeme to be annexed by way of preoccupation; because it might be objected, that the Lord is invisible, and therefore could not be thus knowne:

Ans. That though the Lord be in himselfe invisible, yet are his invisible properties evidently discerned in the creatures.

The proposition of this place is this : that the inuisi-

ble things of God are seene.

The subject amplified by some particulars; his power and Godhead; the predicate by three arguments, the meane of discerning, consideration of the creatures: secondly, the time or indurance, euer since the creation thirdly, the euent or effect; so for that they are without excuse.

first Chapters of the Romanes. Verse 20.

Obser.

So though God be in himselfe inuisible, yet by his effects may his divine properties be discovered: as the soule though in it selfe invisible, yet by the effects thereof in vs is knowne to be indued with faculties of life, sense, reason. &c.

Vse.

And it may serue for answere to that sottish objection of Atheists against the being of God: who ever saw God? saith an Atheist; and I demand who ever saw the soule of a man? and yet is there none so sottish, that seeing the essects of the soule, will deny the being of it in the body: the Ayre being a more grossematter or substance, yet is not seene by the eye of man; yea, how many other creatures should wee by this argument exclude from being, which are in their nature invisible. Sut to leave them to their wilfull blindnes, let vs now see how wee may profit by the creatures in the knowledge of God.

t Col.1.16.

Obser.

Not so much by the bare and idle gazing vpon them, as by due consideration and pondering of them: and thus duely weighing them, it is almost impossible but we should be brought to the acknowledgement of the Deitie, and Godhead of their maker: weigh but the hugeneffe of the earth, how it hangs as a ball without any pillar to support it: the bounding of the leas, &c. and we cannot but acknowledge his infinite power: consider the beautie of the heavens with their ornaments: the exquisite arteficiall cunning that shewes it selfe in the frame of the smallest creature, with that excellent subordination of them one to the seruice of another, how can wee but acknowledge a diuine wiledome? See but the endowments that every creature hath in his kinde, and the large prouision the Lord hath made for the necessities of every of them, how can wee but confesse the bountifulnesse and goodnes of GOD, that thus delights to communi-

cate

Use.

Obser.

u 2 Thef. I.

* Ephef.2.12. y 1 Tim.3.16. cate to his creatures. Read 10b. cap. 37.38.39.

Let vs then be admonished, and take notice how we may profit, by beholding of the creatures, to be broght to the knowledge of the Creator; God hath laid open the Booke of the creatures to the view of all men, and therein imprinted his divine properties, in so large and plaine Charecters, that who forunnes may read them: but I know not how it comes to patle, that wee spend our time in idle gazing, and wee are content to vie the creatures, but almost as the swine in the prouerbe, nuzling in the Acornes on the earth, but neuer looking vp to the place whence they fall; see Danids practise. 7/al. 8. & 145. 147. &c. and lob.cap. 26. 27. 28. and

learneto imitate their holy example.

The effect of this knowledge followeth: for I take this here to note not so much the intention of GOD in reuealing, as the illueand effect of this naturall knowledge. It serues onely to depriue of excuse if it be seuered from that supernaturall knowledge of God, by the word: therefore Danid. Pfal. 19. speaking of the two-fould manifeltation of GOD; first, by workes, secondly, by word: appropriateth converting power to the law of God; and the vsuall distinction of knowledge, whereby one is made effectuall, the other ineffectual, hath warrant sufficient from the word of God: and hee that knoweth God no farther then the creatures reueale him, may know what shall stop his mouth at the day of accounts, when Christ shall come with thousands of his Angels to render vengeance to them that know not God, and disobay the gospell": but cannot by it be enlightened to faluation: For tell me: how doe the creatures manifest the Trinitie of persons; out of which if we conceaue God, wee conceaue an Idoll and not G Q D x? how those mysteries of God manifested in the flesh , &c. and the

true

true meanes of reconcilement vnto God? &c.

And it should teach vs this lesson; that as wee may not neglect that meanes of knowledge vouchfafed by the creatures; yet to joyne thereunto the studie of the word of God, and thankefully to acknowledge the mercie of GOD to vs in this, that by his word hee hath vouchsafed to instruct vs in the maine matter necesfarie to our faluation. And I cannot but lament the state of many, especially in vniuersities of greatest acuitie, that so age themselves in the Philosophicall contemplation of the creatures, that they veterly neglect acquaintance with the word of God; and are so rapt with the pleasantnesse of naturall studies, that for it they omit, yea, almost contemne, the reading of Scriptures, and almost all religious exercises: It is far from me to diswade such studies, which I know to be very auaileable, to the attainment of soundnesse in Diuine knowledge; but herein I obserue a double abuse: first, that men commonly stand poring vpon the creatures, and searching out their natures, without euer casting eye vnto their maker; secondly, that they content themselves with naturall knowledge, neuer regarding that which is divine and mysticall. Consider we what is said, and the Lord give vs vnderstanding in all things.

Use.

Verse

Verse 21. Because that when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankefull, but became vaine in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was full of darkenesse.

Verse 2. When they professed themselues to be

wise, they became fuoles.



Hele Verses containe a probation of the last clause in the former Verse; (viz.) that the Gentiles even by this knowledge naturall, were lest without excuse, and could have no cloake for their sinne; and the argument may

thus be framed: they that know God, and yet glorifie him not as God, are without excuse; but the Gentiles knowing God, glorified him not as God, &c. Ergo, were without excuse. And this minor, which is alone set downe, is surther amplified by an Antithesis of things divers; but became vaine in their imaginations, or as the word signifies, in their discourses, &c.

Where first observe, that natural knowledge of God gathered from the creatures, though it be all it can doe to depriue of excuse, yet that it doth sufficiently; in regard that we are wanting in those duties, or the manner of them, which light of nature teacheth

should be performed. See Att. 14.16.

ob. And if any will fay, that they might justly excuse themselves before God, because he had not given them further knowledge of him by his word. Ans. First, that the Lord was not bound: Secondly, that he justly denied them that blessing, in regard of the contempt of

Obser.

it in the first creation: Thirdly, that the breach of the law written, shall not be imputed vnto them ²: Fourthly, but onely their abusing, or not vsing of their knowledge naturall, as they ought to have done.

Now brethren weigh well; if neglects of ductie, where is none but naturall knowledge be mexcusable, how much more when the Lord vouch fafeth greater reuelations by the word? And let vs al in Gods feare, lay it to heart: marueilous hath God beene in his mercies to vs this way, infomuch that we may justly say of our selues as Danid said of the Israelites, Psal. 147. He hath not dealt so with any Nation; and yet would God it were not too true, that the Gentils themselues in their blindnes have exceeded our thankefulneile, and pious performances, in all this plenty of divine reuclation. It were long to recite all their devoutintendments; all their strict observances of iustice and equitie; how great religion of an oath with them, how little reuerence and regard with vs : what examples of iultice, temperance, contempt of the world amongst them; to shame and condemne the oppressions, gluttonie, and idolatrous couctousnesse of vs, to whom the Lord is come so nigh by his word, that comination of our Sauiour hath place with vs; woe tovs, yea a heauier vvoe then to the Gentiles, that knew not God by his vvord: easier shall it be for them at the day of judgement then for vs: for it is probable, that if those great reuelations had been granted them that have been vouch safed vnto vs, they would have repented their impieties in fackcloth and ashes: they therefore shall be our iudges.

The reason prouing them iustly inexcusable, followeth; because they knowing God, glorified him not as God: that is, they worshipped him not with worship beseeming his Deitie: nor were thankefull, (viz.) as

² Rom. 2.12.

Use.

they

And let it be our admonition to take heed of this finne of vnthankfulnes: much more abundant hath God beene in his mercy toward vs, especially in blesfing vs in spirituall things in Christ Iesus; our vnthankfulnes shall be more hainous and inexcusable then that of the Gentils. Reasons stirring up to thankfulnes: first, no seruice so much pleaseth as thankefulnes; neither is any thing accepted but what comes from vs in the name and nature of thanks-giuingh: secondly, as thankfulnesse causeth continuance and encrease of blessings; so vnthankefulnetse iustly procures a depriuall. See Isay 5. & alibi.

Againe here note that it is not enough to vvorship God, except wee give him such worship as is seemely for his Deitie; which Paul cals glorifying God as God. And if any shall aske what this meete vvorship is here spoken of die is when God is vvorshipped according to his will; secondly, vvithworship agreeable to his na ture, (viz.) spirituall.

And how frittolous that carnall ceremonious feruice performed by ignorant superstitious hypocrites is the spirit of GOD euery where testifies: Mat. 15, 9. Col. 2. after the deuises and traditions of men. What is it to build a temple? to erect an altar? to give facrifice if it were of thousands of Rammes, and even of ten

thousand

² Ads 14.17.

b Pfal.50.

Obser.

U/e.

thousand rivers of oyle? as if the Lord that made heauen and earth dwelt in temples made with hands; or as if hee had more pleasure in sacrifice then when his voice is obayed; or cared for the lip-deuotion of an hypocrite, when his heart is farre from him. Mat. 15. Isay. 29. Mir. 6.

But here marke that nature though it direct to worship God, yet cannot reach to the right and acceptable manner of his service: it is true that the most barbarous of the Gentiles performed some worship vnto
God, and what they did in religion they entended to
his honour with great servencie of devotion. Yet knew
not to worship him as God should beworshipped: they
glorified him not as God, &c. and indeed how should
they, lacking the word to direct them? secondly, ignorant of that altar. Heb. 19.15. by which all our gifts are
sanctified.

And if there were nothing else, yet this would sufficiently disproue that compassionate errour of such as teach that the Gentiles by light of nature were brought many of them to faluation. Now furely if by any meanes they obtained faluation it was by the worship they performed vnto God: for they were without Christ. Ephel. 2.12. And if they had any claime to faluation, it must needs be by the worship they performed vnto GOD: but how vaine that was, this place sufficiently proues, agrecing neither with the will, nor with the nature of God. And though I deny not but many of them vvere faued, because many of them had the knowledge of Christ, partly by conversing and traffique with the Iewes, partly by extraordinarie reuelations, as the Sibyllæ, prophecying of Christs comming into the world; yet if wee speake of the multitude of them that neither knew nor vvorshipped GOD otherwise then the creatures directed, what can wee thinke of them,

Obser.

Ule I.

but

Vse z.

but that they perished in their blindnes, Alt 14.

And let it teach vs thankefulnetse vnto the mercy of our gracious God, at whose commandement the misterie holden so long from the Gentiles, is now opened and published among all Nations.

The Antithelis followeth: of three members: they became vaine, &c. had their minde darkened: were

foolish, &c.

Touching the meaning of these words, by vanitie, vnderstand friuolousnes: & the word, Dianous of these imaginations, may better be rendered discourses, or reasonings; such as they made out of those principles they had in their vnderstanding.

The heart is put for the minde or vnderstanding facultie; darknes signifies ignorance, &c. the rest are

plaine.

Hence observe how frivolous blind and sottish the minde of man is about the true worship of God, where it wants the word of GOD to give light and direct it. Take the wisest of blind Idolaters deuises, how sottish will they be found, if they come to the touchstone of true wisedome? How marueilously did the heathenish wife, please themselves in this and the like devises? that because God was inuisible, and the people could not be brought to acknowledge him such as he was, except they had something visible to helpe them, therefore for footh they must have images to shadow out the properties of God, that therby they might conceive something of the Diuine nature. Againe, for as much as amongst men civilitie, and humilitie suffers not to presse into the presence of a King, without the mediation of some of his Nobles and neerest followers, therefore we mult also come vnto God in our prayers, by intercession of Saints and Angels. Againe, because we are often forgetfull of the Maiestie of God, therefore a picture

Obser.

Col.2.18.

was requisite to be our remembrancer, of sexcenta einsmodi; vaine, soolish, sottish deuises.

And let it teach vs as in all things, so especially in the worship of God to deny our owne carnal wisedome, and cleaue precisely to the word of God. How vnmeete is it that slessly wisedome, which is an enemy vnto God should be a framer of his worship? how vnprositable is wil-worship? yea how abhominable to adde or alter the least circumstance in the worship of God? And how so cuer there may be a shew of wisedome involuntarie religion. Col. 2.23. yet being rightly weighed, all the deuises of men shall be found vaine, foolish; yea more then sottish in the judgement of God.

Againe, see here the condition of every natural mans vnderstanding, till it be sanctified by the spirit of God; all the discourses thereof are nothing but vanitie, and

sottish foolerie. Compare Ephes. 4.18.

And how sottish that errour of Papists is: whereas they teach corruption original to stay only in the sensual part of the soule, this place sufficiently sheweth: their reasons are scarce worth recital: We yeeld them that man by the fall lost not understanding, or uvill simply, the faculties still remained; but this wee teach that the right use of them was lost by the fall. The understanding is natura, male intelligere corrupta natura, bene gratia, as Bernard sometime spake of the will: and seewhat Paul speakes of the natural mans understanding, and how he exhorts to have the very spirit of the minderenued, and then shall wee see what to sudge of that Regina Raiso, and that wye would, ruling part of the soule, which Papists so much extoll and admire.

Use.

Rom.8.7. Mat.15.9. Col.2.23. Leuit.10.1.2.

Obser.

1 Cor.2.14. Ephel.4.23. Verse 23. For they turned the glory of the incorruptible God to the similitude of the image of a corruptible man; and of birds; and foure-footed beasts, and of creeping things.



Ow followeth the evidence of their idolatrous folly: they turned the glorie of the incurruptible God, &c. The Apostle seemes to allude to that place of the Psal. 105.20. The glory of God, understand the glorious maiestie of

God himselse: which they are said to turne or change, not that they were able to bring any alteration into the Deitie; but this is spoken, as Chrysostome saith, eyther according to their intendment, and nature of the sact, as much as in them lay; or in respect of the event, quond populum, whom by this meanes they occasioned to thinke GOD to be like vinto those things, in whose image they did represent him.

Where first observe: that the Gentiles themselves intended their images most of them to represent the true God, creatour and governour of the world. And howsocuer they erred in hypothesi imagining that to be this true God, which was not; yet in these they erred not in respect of their intention. Sundry testimonies might be produced to this purpose out of Divines, and heathens.

And the rather observe I this, because Papists to defend their image resemblances, and worship, often insist on this; that the images condemned in Scripture, were such onely as were made to represent false Gods, and things that were not: whereas a man that

forbids

Obser.

Use.

3 to 3 mil. 1

hath eyes to see, may easily perceive, that the Lord forbids not onely images of false Gods, but images of himselfe also, the true Iehouah. Compare these places. Den. 4.15. Exod. 32 4.5. Indg. 17.5.13. Psal. 40.18.&c.

Now that wherein their foily chiefely appeared, was the disproportion of the image to the thing thereby resembled, G O D incorruptible, man corruptible;

what possibilitie of resemblance?

Whence may be gathered a reason vnanswerable against representations of Gods maiestie, by any image of creatures whatsoeuer: because none of them can represent Gods as he is in his nature or properties. And it is vsed often by the Prophets and other Divines. If ay 40.18. The Lord thus reasons against the Images of the people. I am omnipotent, infinite in essence, power, maiestie, &c.to whom then will you liken me? Lastantius de orig. erroris, lib. 2. cap. 2. The Image of God that lives for ever, must be like him, living and sensible; and if simulachrum hath his name from likenes, how can these smages be thought like vnto God; seeing they have neither sense nor motion?

Now if this be thought a good reason against images of the heathen, (viz.) the desparitie, and disproportion that must need be betwixt God and all images of creatures made to represent him, why holds it not against images of Papists, made to represent the Trinitie, though not as lively representations of Divine essence, yet as anological shadowes as it were of his properties, and actions?

They teach indeed, that there can be no image deuifed by man liuely to represent God as he is; yet some analogical resemblances there may be to help vs in conceiuing the properties and actions of the Trinitie. But how vainely? For first, what is Gods effence but his properties? what his properties, but his effence? secondObser.

CHAP.I.

ly, marke the reason of the Spirit of God here, and I/ar 40, and you shall see the ground of it is this: what image soeuer doth not lively represent the nature of GOD: that may be made to represent GOD, but no image doth let forth GOD as hee is: therefore may none be made to refemble him. And where as they fay, that Angels though invisible may be refembled by an image: therefore God also though inuisible. Ans. First, with M. Zanchius in pracept, secund, that I like not the libertie Painters take to themselues herein. Secondly, that there is manifest disparitie betwixt the two Angels, being though inuifible, yet finite; GOD not inuifible onely, but also infinite, and vnmeasurable, as Damascene himselfespeakes, de orthodoxa fide, lib. 4. Cap. 13. But more of this by and by.

In the meane time, marke the madnesse of vaine man, when hee once begins to corrupt himselfe in this kinde; not contented to resemble the incorruptible God in the image of a corruptible man, which was dishonour enough to the Deitie, but proceedes to foules, and beafts, yea, to serpents and creeping things. The truth whereof appeares in the histories of the heathen themselues. The Egyptians especially were mad in this kinde, erecting images of oxen, dogs, cats, rats, ferpents, &c. as representations of God, vpon this ground as the learned haue thought, because that in respect of some benefits they received by these creatures, they apprehended themas instruments of Gods goodnesse

towards them. See Plutarch, de Isid. & Osir.

And let it teach vs to magnifie the name of God for his mercy, in that he hath hitherto preserved vs from that extreamitie of spirituall blindnes; and specially be our caucat, how we begin to corrupt our selues, either in the apprehension, or worship of GOD: there is a steepe downefall in such corruptions. See Ier. 10.14.

Verse

Obser.

Use.

Verse 24. Wherefore also God gaue them up to their hearts lustes, unto uncleannesse, to defile their owne bodies betweene themselves.



Ow followeth the punishment of this Sinne. Wherein we are to consider the punishment it selfe; which is corporal! vncleannes, and fornication: secondly the maner of inflicting, gaue them vp: thirdly, the inflicter God.

Where first observe, that sinn formetimes have the nature of punishments. See Exod. 9. 2 Thes. 1 Reg. 22. Hof.4.12. and this is confessed by all Divines popish, and orthodoxall: the scriptures also are pregnant

for this purpose.

And it may serue to arme vs against that Argument that hath often troubled many: (viz.) against the prouidence of God, and his care of the things of this life: drawne especially from the present prosperitie of wicked and vngodly men; whom it pleaseth the Lord to feede fat with the things of this life. Now if wee would consider, and well weigh the matter, we should easily perceive that they are not without their judgement: for their very sinnes and their lying in them, is as great a judgement as may be inflicted in this life: to live in drunkennesse, whoredome, &c, what punishment can be greater, seeing it defaceth Gods image: secondly, hardeneth the heart: thirdly, prepareth for destruction.

But see we the Authour of this punishment, and the manner of inflicting it. The Authour is God: God gane them up. See Exod. 10.17.2 Thes.2. Yet that wee erre not, consider we a little, how God inflicts it. Ans.

135_ Obser.

U/e.

Ans. Not by infusing any new wickednes into them. See Iam. 1.13. but first, by denying, or withdrawing his grace, by which they might have beene withholden from such sinnes: as Gen. 20.6. which Divines call spirituall desertion. In which regard they make God in in this case, Causam remouentem prohibens, of these sins whereunto they rush, after their stay and hold-back is remoued: secondly, by tradition or deliuering them vp to the power of Sathan, and head-strong swinge of their own corruptions. See 1. Reg. 22.22. thirdly, which is accidentally onely, by giving them meanes of restraint, which falling vpon a heart thus for saken of God, become vnto them occasions of greater violence in wickednes; as the Law. Rom. 7.8. As impediments cast to hinder the current of a violent streame rather increase the violence thereof, then any way stop the pallage: fourthly, after such abuse of these meanes of reclaiming, by denying vnto them those meanes of re-Straint. Read. Hof. 4. 14.

Hence therefore it will easily follow, that there is some act of Gods will extended to the being of sinne it selfe, in respect of the euent. First, that God willeth that there be sinne, though not quâ peccatum; yet as a meanes to manifest his mercie in pardoning, iustice in punishing; and as the iust and operative permission thereof in men is an act of God, as a judge punishing some former transgression thereby. And why men should now be so abhorrent from this doctrine so long since taught in the Church of God I see not: yea, the very idle permission they talke of, implies as much: for what God permits, hee wils to permit, and so wils

to be.

But let this be our warning, to beware of all plagues, of this spiritual iudgement, of being given vp to our lusts: surely, except God should presently send vs downe

V/e 2.

downeto the place of our iniquitie, where is paine endlesse, easelesse, and remedilesse, a greater judgement cannot befall vs.

And as we are fearfull of the judgement: so especially take we heed of the causes thereof, they are, first, vnthankefulnes for graces received: fecondly, ill vse of gifts bestowed: thirdly, not profiting by meanes vouchsafed. Confer Isay 1, 5. Heb. 6. 8. Ezech. 24.

13.

Neither is it to be omitted, that the Lord ordinarily punisheth spiritual fornication with bodily vncleannesse: Confer Hos. 4.12. as also we see at this day come to palle in the Church of Rome: wherein how fuch vncleannelle hath been practifed, tolerated, yea defended, as leffe euil then marriage copulation, which the Lord teacheth to be vndefiled, their bookes and

practife sufficiently witnesse.

But last of all, consider here the nature of fornication, it is an vncleannesse which dishonoureth the bodie. The bodie of man, it is in it selfe and by Diuine dignation, a most honourable creature; but is spoiled of all honour, by this beastly sinne of whoredome. The honour of the bodie stands in foure things especially; first, the health of it. Col. 2. 23. and indeed what sensible creature hath the world so full of comelinesse and maiessie as the bodie of man preserved in health? And how this sinne about others depriues it of this honour Salomon, testifyeth Prouerb. 5.9.10.11. and ordinarie experience teacheth; there being no sinne that brings vvith it a more present blemishing of beautie, impayring of strength, rotting of the marrow. A second honour of the bodie is to be a facrifice, holy and acceptable vnto GOD. Rom. 12. 1.2. A third to be a member of Christ. I Cor. 6. 15. A fourth to be a Temple Obser:

Obser.

Temple for the holy Ghost to dwell in. 1 Cor. 6. 19. of all which high honours wee depriue our bodies, when wee give them vp to this filthy vncleannes.

Use.

I Cor. 6.18.

Let vs therefore be exhorted as the Apostle exhorts vs, of all sinnes to flye fornication: there is in this sinne something singular aboue others: whereas all other sinnes are without the bodie, he rhat committeth fornication sinnes against his owne bodie: that is, whereas all other even outward sinnes, though the bodie be the instrument of them, yet is it not the object of them; in this a man sinnes against his owner bodie, spoiling it of that excellent honour whereto GOD both in nature and grace hath advanced it. Consider if thou wilt, with what strange, and incurable diseases G O D hath in all times plagued this sinne, pockes, dropsies, &c. and many others at the naming whereof many times wee tremble. And how many excellent personages both of menand women, renowmed for beautie, and comely feature of bodie, (a worthy bleffing of GOD) hast thou feene vtterly disfigured, and so quite deformed? that there hath not beene left the least foote prints of what formerly appeared in them: flye fornication.

Verse

Verse 25. Which turned the truth of God unto a lie, and worshipped and served the creature, for-saking the Creator, which is blessed for ever.

Amen.



N this verse, and those that follow, is set downe a repitition of the Gentiles sinne, with the amplification thereof; as also of the punishment with like amplification.

The sinne is repeated in this 25. verse in words something dif-

ferent; for what before he called the turning of the incorruptible God into the similitude of an image: hee here cals the turning of Godstruth into a lie. The increase of their sinne is that they worshipped their creature passing by the Creator; where is annexed a short, but pithie consutation of that fact, by a description of God; who is blessed for euer.

Touching the meaning of the words, there is some difference amongst interpreters; for my part I thinke with Martyr and others, that by the truth of God, we are here to vnderstand the same, that before hee called the glory of God, that is, the true essence of God; or the Deitie, as it is in truth: by the lie or falshoode nothing essentially but the images made to represent him: so called often in the Prophets, because they represent God salsely, and otherwise then he is in the truth of his nature. Confer Ier. 10.10.14.

So is every image of God falshood, and no better then a lying representation of the Deitie. Therefore called falshood. *Ier.* 10.14. and lying, *Isay* 44.10. Neither will it suffice to say that the Prophets speake of heathenish

Obser. Ier.10.14. Iohn 4.24. 1 Tim.6.16.

Deut.4.15.

thenish images, made to represent false Gods: for both the places show that they speake of Images that were made to represent the true God, and reason eninceth it from the qualitie and quantitie of Dinine essence: being a spirit innisible, never seene by the eye of man, and of an infinite, vnmeasurable, and incomprehensible maiestie. Isay 40.12.18.

Whence it will easily follow, that it is altogether vn-lawfull, to purtrey God in an image; and let Papists fay what they will, in defence of their audacious practile in this kinde, yet seeing the commandement of God, backt with such reasons as have now been mentioned, stands still inforce, they shall neuer be able to free themselves of that crime of violating the Divine matelie; so justly in this regard imputed vnto them. Many prety shifts they have devised to keepe off from themselves this imputation, and reasons to justific their practile, but how vaine they are all, will appeare if we a little take view of them.

As first that the scripture condemnes such images of God onely, as are made to expresse the perfect similitude of the Divine essence and nature; not such as are devised analogically onely, and by missicall signification. Ans. But it is apparant, that the Lord vttersy forbids all images, and likenesses, whether of perfect, or analogicall representation: for marke the reason, Deut. 4.15,16. You saw no image in the day when God spake vnto you in the mount: no image cyther of perfect, or analogicall signification: therefore you shall make no image at all: and who can thinke that the calse made by the Israelites, was any otherwise devised, but as mystically to represent some action, benefit, or propertie of God, and yet are they condemned as well for making, as for worshipping the golden image.

But let vs consider briefely their reasons. First, they

fay

fay Angels that are spirits also and inuisible, yet were lawfully pictured in the olde Testament. Ans. First, there was an expresse warrant given to Moses, and Salomon, whereas they were expressely forbidden to make any image or representation of the Lord; Secondly, graunt that there may be some libertie taken herein (as what dare not Painters, and Poets?) yet how vnequall this reason is, appeares by that great inequalitie, and disproportion betwixt Angels that are finite creatures, and God which is of infinite and incomprehensible maiestie.

And whereas they alleadge, that the Lord hath appeared in visible shapes. Ans. First, that they were not shapes of his essence, but pledges of his presence; neither was it Gods purpose thereby to teach the people what an one hee was in his nature or properties; but onely to testifie, and cuidence that he was now present with them: Secondly, besides that, how will they proue that it is lawfull for vs to picture God in those images and shapes wherein hee hath appeared vnto men?

Neither is their third reason though more plausible, yet any whit more forcible: The scripture they say attributes vnto Godall the members of mans bodie: And why may we not resemble him in a picture, as God hath as it were painted out himselfe in the scripture? And. Though other answeres be given by many; yet this is that I take surest to rest in; Gods will to the contrarie, and his slat prohibition, and that is answere sufficient to all such plausible why nots? made by the wisedome of slesh. What though the Lord descending to our capacitic thus please to speake? shall we therefore presume thus to picture? having so expresse prohibition, with a reason; the voice was heard but no shape seene. Deut. 4. 15.16.

There

Ephes.4.24.

Hab.2.18. Isay 44.9. Their fourth reason is this; that man may be pictured, and his image drawne; therefore God also whose image man is; for the image of the image, is the image of the exemplar, and first patterne. In That man as he is the image of God cannot be pictured; for where in is man an image of God? in the lineaments of his bodie? Let Papists leave that dreame to the condemned Anthropomorphites. But he is the image of God, in regard hee pertakes analogically in holinesse, and true righteousnesse, which though it spread it selfe over body and soule, yet cannot be seene otherwise then by effects in eyther.

The last reason artificiall is drawne, from the many profits that such images serve for: as instruction, remembrance, devotion, restraining of wandering imaginations. Ans. Where marke the quicknesse of an Idolaters sent, that hath smelt out so many great benefits of those things, that the Lord thought, and

taught to be vtterly vnprofitable.

But for more particular answere to this argument, how will they euer be able to proue this, which must be the proposition of their syllogisme: that what so ever may be a meane of instruction, admonition, devotion, &c. may be yied as helpes to these ends: when as God hath tyed vs to those meanes onely which himselfe hath sanctified to this end: and hath given Christ to be the onely doctour of the Church, and hath prouided vs sufficiently of meanes to these ends by the great booke of the creatures, booke of the scriptures, visible word of the sacraments, inward teaching of his spirit: secondly, how was it that when these were such excellent meanes of teaching, the Lord denied them to the Iewes? and how fals it, that the people set to schoole to these teachers are found most ignorant of Gods nature and will? thirdly, what teach they, but lying. lying, and falshood? as lamentable experience sheweth: what grosse conceipts of the Deitie these doctours have filled our peoples mindes withall. And lest they say this hath come to passe by defect of other teaching by their ministers. Ans. That may well be in part; but yet such grosenesse comes principally from the image; and this also their inexpiable sinne, that have given them teachers no better then images; having no more of true pastors, then their images have of the Deitie. But enough of this popish devise.

Secondly, come to ourselves, and let vs be admonished to take heede how in our very mindes we conceive any likenesse, or image of God. It is true of this Lawalso, that it is spirituall, as Rom. 7. 14. and sorbids not onely corporall, but even mentall resemblances, or similitudes of the Godhead, conceived by the mind; we may not so much as thinke God like to any thing

that we see or can conceiue.

And the bestway to conceiue God, is as an Ancient Diuine well teacheth, via negationis, by way of negation. And he that can most abstract God from likenesses, hath best learnt to conceiue GOD, such as he is.

Proceed we now vnto the encrease of the Gentiles sinne. And worshipped and serned the creature, &c. This, though I know it may be verified of the Gentils in respect of their idolatrous worship of the Sunne, Moone, &c. and other visible creatures; yet I take it, is here to be vnderstood of the worship given by them to their images.

To make way to the doctrine, this question shall be propounded; whether the Gentiles gaue vnto their Images Divine worship? or whether their vvorship had the terme in the images without reference to the prototypa, the things which their images represented

- Use.

Acts 17.29.

that they may put difference betwixt theirs and heathenish Idolatry committed with images. And this, they say is that worshipping of an image, which the second commandement condemnes, namely the worshipping it as God.

Now for answere, knowwe that if wee speake of the rude multitude, whom God had principally blinded, no doubt, but they many of them intended their worship to the images, wherein they thought some Deitie, and divine power to dwell, and what maruell, that men should grow so sottish, when God gives vp to blindnelle? Isay 44-18.19.20. But for the learneder, and wifer fort of them, they knew well enough that they were no Gods, neither did their worship stay in the image, but was referred to the thing thereby represented, as Augustine, Lastanius, Ambrose, & others shew; and as Plutarch, de Iside & Osir. and other of the heathen professed. August. in Psal. 96 thus brings in an heathen, speaking for himselfe: 1 do not worship that stone, or that image which is without sense, but I adore that which I see, and serve him whom I see not, who is that? The inuifible dinine power that is president of the Image. Many such testimonies might be produced.

Now if it be replyed, that the scripture imputes vnto them this grossenesse: Ans. The scripture speaks generally, not of their intention, but of the event, as amongst other places that one. I Cor. 10. 20. sufficiently evinceth. The things which the Gentiles offered, they offered not to God, but to divels; not that they intended their sacrifices to the honour of divels, but because this was indeed, in the event, an honouring of Sathan, whose suggestions they rather followed then the will of God. So Psal. 107.19: the Israelites are said to have worshipped the molten image; not that their

intention

because all this worship intended to be conucied vnto God by the image, fell backe vpon the image; God vtterly rejecting such aworship from acceptance, which he had so oft forbidden by his precept.

Now this sense standing (as I see not how it disagrees from the rule of faith, or is impertinent to the

Text) affords this observation.

That the worship intended to God by an image, is not the worship of God, but of the image. That indeed is honoured, but God dissipanced, whose will is hereby altered, and his preceptiviolated. Confer a Cor. 10. 20. Exod. 3.2.4.5. vnderstand this, as before, not of the intention of the worshipper, but of the event.

Here then are the Papists justly charged with as grosse Idolatry, as euer the heathen practised; that worship images of God, and Saints, though not intentionally, yet in the euent with the worship due to God

alone.

Many large disputes, and queries they have concerning the kinde, and degree of worship that is due to holy images: some of them resoluting soole-wisely, that images are to be worshipped with the same worship that is due to the president; others, thinking that some thing to grosse, concluding, that this is to be vuderstood vnproperly, by way of concomitance onely. It were long to reckon vp all their sooleries in this kinde. Alas, that such wits should be so occupied? and that there were not some good sosials amongst them, by axes and hammers to put end to such heathenish reasonings. Read Isay 30, 22, and thou shalt see vulat honour is due to such trash. Their common excuse is sufficiently removed by that which hath beene said in the former question.

Now for their worship of Images they have these

Obser.

Vse.

reasons; first, that they are signes of holy things, and are for their relation to God to be worshipped. Ans. But I demaund, by whose institution come they to be signes of holy things, and what is the foundation on of this reuelation? if by humane appointment onely, they will neuer be able to proue that man can give to any thing such a reuelation, or ordaine a signe, thus to significa holy thing, or to binde vs to such reverence of signes by man ordayned. And for divine institution, which alone hath power to confectate signes to such holy significations, when they shall shew it vs out of the scriptures, we will entertaine their images with that reverent esteeme, and regardfulnesse in vsing, as is due to such ordinances, but yet will ever be far from religious adoration of them.

Secondly, they affirme that God by images hath wrought miracles, therby to procure honor vnto them.

Ans. Whether God wrought miracles to procure them honour, or the diuell maruels to delude Idolaters, is

hard for Papilts to determine.

But first weanswere that they were mira non miracula, maruels not miracles many of them, that God permitted to be wrought, at or by them: strange things perhaps many, that filled the poore people with wonderment, whilst they knew not the cunning and power of Sathan.

Secondly if miracles, yet not to procure them honour, but to proue our obedience, did the Lord permit them. See Deut. 13. 1.2.3. miracles are not alwayes seales of truth; but sometimes trials of loyaltie.

Thirdly, they reason a pari, or simili: man is worthy of veneration because he is the image of God; therfore other images also because they are images of Christ, or Saints, &c. Ans. To this I answere; that images made by man to represent God, or his Christ, are but equi-

uocally

uocally called images of God and Christ. Indeed we honour man as the image of God with civill honour, because God hath so commanded vs: secondly, and hath given to men venerable gifts of holinesse, and righteousnes, that make them honourable; and when they can shew eyther GOD to command worship of their images, or demonstrate such charecters of Divine excellencies in them as GOD hath placed in man, wee will also give them their due reverence.

Their fourth argument is, à paribus in contrariss. Images are capable of infamie, and reproach, therefore also of honour, and worship; which argument some vtter thus, the contempt done to the image of GOD and his Christ redounds to God, and Christ: Ergo, the honour done to their images is done to them. Ans. Our Divines sitly answere that it followes not: neither are those contraries paria: for it sufficeth to the dishonouring of God that there be an euill affection, or intention; but a good intention is not sufficient to the honouring of God, except the meanes as well as the meaning be prescribed of God.

Their other arguments are friuolous, and not worth the naming: for what is it to prescribe in errour? And what though eaill men haue oppugned images, and good men reuerenced them? for neither did the one oppugne, as they were eaill; neither were the other good so farre as they desended them. That last argument from the infortunate end of those that haue opposed, and the happinesse of those that haue maintained them, hath an apparent mistaking of no cause for a cause; for neither were these plagued for opposing, nor those blessed for maintaining images.

Now I conclude this whole place with that saying of Lastantius de origin: error.lib.2.cap.2. where having at large disputed against the folly of Image-making, and

worship

worship he concludes: Foolish men as they are, they consider not nor understand, that if their images had sense, or mation, they would of their owne accord worship men by whom they were polished; which, had they not beene fashioned and brought into shape by man, had still been exther incult and horride stones, or unshapen and rude matter.

Obser.

One other thing onely will I observe in this text and so proceed to that that followeth: And that is the ordinarie connexion of these two sinnes, the making, and worshipping of an image. See Psal. 106. 19. &c. partly through that bewitching which is in them when they are clad as it were with Divine representations: partly through Gods iust iudgement, giving men over to blind dotage, because of their first declining from his will.

Use.

And it iustifieth the practise of Christian Magistrates, that to preuent occasions of Idolatrie, have removed them out of our Temples; I hope, never againe to be restored: many exclamations, and bitter invectives they have heard from Idolatrous mouthes; but who knowes not but the Lord hath done them good for that evill? And how vnmeete such contumelies are for them that cry out of vs, for lacke of love to Christ, because we cannot indure the Idolatrous abuse of his image, when themselves are drunken with the bloud of Saints, Gods lively images, everieman may judge. Love to Christ is best evidenced, by love to his ordinances, and his Saints, and by hatred of those things which God professent to hate, amongst which are especially lying images.

But shall wee heare their reasons why they must be placed in our temples; for sooth Salomon erected Cherubims in the temple. Ans. Yet placed he them out of the sight of the people, in the holy of holies: second-

ly, had Gods speciall warrant.

Secondly

Secondly, they reason from conveniencie; vvhat meeter places for holy images, then holy places? besides that, they are an ornament to the Temple, and withall a meane to keepe our mindes from vaine by-thoughts, and wandering imaginations. Ans.

To the first: if they were indeed holy by Gods ordinance it were somewhat: But now being but as they are mens deuises, and found in experience to be occasions of Idolatrie, what more vnmeet place then the Temple for an Image? whereas the very reuerence of the place wins it too much esteeme with su-

perstitious mindes.

To the second: that Temples should be decently kept, and moderately adorned, wee deny not; but hold such trash the vnmeetest deckings: and as for images abused to idolatrie, wee justly count them rather blemishes then ornaments to our Churches; and may truely say of them, as Hezekias of the like to the Leuites; carrie forth this filthinesse out of the San-

Auaric. 2 Par. 29.5.

To the third: that they are meanes rather to occasion, then to preuent wanderings: the occupying of the outward sense, is in experience the abalienation of the minde; which made the Lacedemonians permit no picture in their Senate house, least by beholding thereof, the mindes of their Counsailors should be distracted from the present consultations. And I wish men for preuenting of wandering in prayers, first to labour for feeling of wants, which they would have supplyed. I Reg. 8. 38. Secondly, duely to consider in whose presence they stand. Acts 10. 33. these they shall finde better stayes from wandering, then all other selfe deuised meanes whatsoever. I have dwelt something long in these controverses; but now proceed to that that followeth.

Which

which is God bleffed for ever, Amen.] These words, are diversly construed with the former; some thus conceive them, as importing the disappointing of that inconvenience, to which the nature of these Idolatrous actes tended: as if hee should say, notwithstanding, all this sacriledge committed by these Idolatrous Gentiles, yet continued the Lord in his blessed and happie estate: and so it is a truth; that no impictie of man can really robbe GOD of his honour, or impeach his blessednesse: And if any shall say, why doth hee then complaine. Ans. Because hee measures impictie according to the intention of the doer, and nature of the fact, not after the event, which by his power he disappoints.

Some thus vnderstand them as a speech of Paules, zealous affection, occasioned by mention of that dishonour the Gentiles laboured to fasten on the

maiestie of God.

I rather thinke that they are a short reprehension of the Gentiles Idolatry, as if hee should say, they worshipped the creature, passing by the Creator: to whom alone all praises, and honour by just right appertaineth, so Iunius interprets out of the Syricke: it would be too long, and perhaps impertinent, to insist on that common place; this onely I wish, that hereto we may all heartily subscribe, and say Amen, both in affection, and practise.

Verse 26. For this cause God gaue them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the naturall use into that which is against nature.

Verse 27. And likewise also the men left the naturall vsc of the woman, and burned in their lust one toward another, and man with man wrought filthinesse, and received in themselves such recompence of their errour, as was meete.



Here now followeth the increase of their punishment spirituall; as they grew more and more corrupt in the worship of GOD: so by Gods institudgement, much more filthy in their conversation: chaunging the verie

course of nature in their vncleannesse. This soule abhominable filthinesse was one of those crying sinnes of Sodome. See Gen. 19.5. and is therefore of Diuines called Sodomie. How frequent the Gentiles were herein, who so reades their poets, many of them, Paderastes, and other histories of the heathen, as Suetonius in Nerone, shall easily perceive.

But hence let vs obserue, how as man encreaseth transgression: so doth God vengeance; and it is true of iudgements temporall: Leuit. 26. per totum. Spirituall, 2 Tim. 3.13. Pfal. 69.27. Eternall: Mat. 11. Rom. 2.5.

And let it be our warning, how wee goe about to prouoke the eyes of the Lords glorie. It is a fearfull thing to fall into the hands of the liuing God: especially when wee walke stubbornly against him, and

Obser.

Use.

Heb.10.31.

K 3.

ref

Obser.

Iob.[1.12.

2 Tim.2.26. Ephes.2.2.

Use.

2 Reg. 8.12.13.

refuse to be reformed by his lighter corrections. Lenit. 26.23.24.

Againe, sechere the miserable condition of a man forsaken of GOD; with such a man, there is no stay, till he come to the extreamitie of prophanenes. See Apoc. 22.11.

And no maruell, since man in his nature is borneas a wilde asse colt, headstrong in wickednes; but where GOD by grace pleaseth to restraine: what maruell then, the bridle removed, if wee runne headlong into all prophanenesse?

Againe, what other thing can be hoped when a man is wholly ginen up to the power of Sathan? our prouerbe is, hee must needes goe that the diuell driues; and what wickednesse will hee make conscience of, in whom the prince of the vvorld raignoth? such is the state of euery man forsaken of God.

And let gracelesse men here consider, how foolishly they promise themselues preservation from grosse wickednesse. Tell them of Cain, Iudas, Achitophels vngodly lives, and discomfortable ends: I warrant you say they? And doe you thinke vs such wretches? It cals to minde the speech of Hazael; to vvhom when the Prophet weepingly foretolde vvith what mercilesse crueltie hee should vsethe people of God, not sparing the women with child, nor the Insants that hung on the breast: Is thy servant a dogge (saith he) that I should doe this great thing? thinkest thou mee so beast-like sanage, that I should doe these things? yet accordingly it fell out, God giving him vp to the power of Sathan. Alas men know not the viciousnes of their owne deceitfull hearts, that thus speake.

Secondly, mee thinkes well weighing this fearfull estate, I cannot but pray GOD of all judgements to

keepe

keepe mee from this; the giving mee over to mine owne hearts lusts. If GOD should give me the option, to choose the torments of hell, with hope to recouer his gracious fauour, or thus vetterly forfake me of his grace, and leave mee to mine owne counsels; I would wish rather hell torments with expectation of deliverance, then this giving vpto the Justs of mine owne heart.

Signes of this fearefull estate are these.

First, when GOD curseth the meanes of reformation vnto vs, so that we are not bettered by them. Heb. 6.8. 1say 6.

Secondly, hardnesse of heart, when conscience ceaseth to doe her office, and that which accompanieth it, working wickednesse with greedinesse.

Thirdly, when the Lord remoues, or denies meanes

of reclaiming. Hof.4.14.

Fourthly, to walke in our owne counsels. Psal. 81.

12. Ezek. 24.13.

Whereas this giving vp to these fearfull sinnes, is called a just recompence of their errour: it followeth that sinnes have sometimes the nature of punishments. See Annotat, in verse 24. Neither doth that which some object, any way impeach the truth. They say they are not painefull, therefore no punishments: For first, not feeling makes them so much the more grieuous; in regard that they are hereby kept from feeking remedie: Secondly, that they are not felt proceedes of the hardnetse of their heart, as when a limme of the bodie is cut off, the member being first mortified by the Chirurgion: Thirdly, when God awakes their conscience by his iudgements, they feele the horror thereof; or if they die senselesse, yet followes their vnspeakeable torture, weeping, wayling, and gnashing of teeth. And K 4

Ephel.4.18.19.

117-

And whereas againe they say sinnes are voluntarie, and therefore no punishments. Ans. There are punishments voluntarie, which men greedily runne into : as Saul to his Sword, Indas to the halter, &c.

The vses, see verse 24, this onely annexed; vve haue many that in respect of outward prosperitie, because they come in no misfortune like other men. bleffe themselves in their courses, and thinke that their verie irreligion, or superstition, pleaseth God; because the Lord so long forbeares inflicting of outward plagues; whereas notwithstanding, they are given vp to most vile abhominations in life, whoredome, drunkennesse, couetousnesse, &c. which if they had eyes to see, are heavier plagues, then all those outward misfortunes that betide Gods children. How fond is this argument? I am-free from afflictions, therefore my wayes please GOD. Yea, how certaine is this inference? I thriue in wickednes, therefore the Lord is angry with mee. Ezech. 24. 13. And this; sinne dies in mee, therefore GOD loues mee; Sinne growes in mee, therefore I am none of his.

Verse

Verse 28. For as they regarded not to acknowledge.
God, even so God delivered them vp vnto a reprobate minde, to doe those things which are not
convenient.



Here yet followes another degree of this spiritual indgement, with the cause of it; declaring also how equal this vengeance was, and how instly inflicted; in the words observe these things: first, the sinne, they regar-

ded not the knowledge of God: secondly, the punishment, giving up to a reprobate minde: thirdly, the confequent of the punishment; doing of things wincome-

ly; fulnetle of all vnrighteousnetle,

The sinne is, that they regarded not to know God. The word essources, sometime signifies to trie, or examine; The s. 21. sometimes to approve and allow, so here it imports a not allowing, or regarding, or making that precious account of the knowledge of God: a grieuous sinne noted in men of desperate impietie, lob 21. 14. and the common sinne of these times; wherein the Lord hath vouchsafed vs so plentifull meanes of knowledge of himselfe, in the face of Iesus Christ, by the Gospell.

Signes of it: first, to despise the meanes: Pro. 1.

Ier.3 2.3 3.

Secondly, not to preserve it being attained, by all holy meanes, hearing, reading, meditation, conference, practise, prayer, &c.

Thirdly, wilfully to suppresse, and choake it in vs.

Rom. 1.18,2 Pet.3.5.

Fourthly, to preferre other vaine speculations of our

Obser.

our owne, or other mens deuising, beforewhat God reuealeth . Rem. 21.22. Many other might be reckoned, but these sufficiently convince our people as guiltie of this sinne. See we now the punishment; God gave them up to a reprobate minde. This reprobate mindesome take actively, and thus interpret; a mind not so much reproved, as reproving, or disallowing things to be allowed; approving things to be reproved: which though it may be implied as a fruit of this reprobate minde, yet I take it, is not principally, and first here meant. And to my remembrance there is no vse of these words Asamao, and asamao, in this active sense, in any Greeke authentike Authour.

This reprobate minde, I therefore interpret; a minde rejected, disallowed, abhorred of GOD and good men; in respect of that blindnes, vanitie, impietie, peruerseneise it abounds with all. We have an Epithite in our English something neare it; when we vie to say of a man desperately froward, and malicious;

that he is a man of a divelish minde.

Such a minde hath in it these detestable fruits: first, a disallowing of all that good is; approuing of all that is naught. If ay 5. 20. Secondly, an abolishment, or at least a great decay of those naturals synterists, that is, principles of direction for Morals actions; which in some naturals men are meanes of much restraint from euils, prouoking to good duties. Thirdly, vncapable-nesse of all good vnderstanding, and knowledge. See ler. 4.22.

Which heavy indgement, how is it everie volvere conspicuous amongst our people? God instly giving them vp to a reprobate minde, because they regarded not to procure, preserve, or rightly to vse the knowledge of God vouchsafed vnto them.

Secondly, and let their harmeteach vs to beware,

the

Ve.

the like sinne of little esteeming the knowledge of the most high: least when we would know, God shut our eyes, that we cannot see the things that concerne our peace. Isay 6. &c.

How many of our people, too late bewaile this; that they cannot now conceive the plainest truths taught them in the plainest and most familiar manner. If ay 28. and cry out of themselves for neglecting, and def-

piling instruction; but all invaine?

I cannot here passe ouer this consideration: how the pollution of sinne admitted into the bodie, windes it selfe into the affections, and thence creepes into the very judgement, and vnderstanding, till it have eaten out all foundnesse of judging and discerning betwixt good, and euill. Confer Ier. 24.26.28. Their bodies first defiled; then their affections subjugated: Now their very mindes for lorne of all judgement, and justly rejected of the Lord. Compare also I Tim. 1.19. Adulterie how creepes it from the bodie to the affections bewitching them? Hof.4.6. How then blindes the judgement; to thinke, and cenfure it but a tricke of youth? Drunkennesse, what an inward thirst of the defire workes it? and how eafily inclines it to judge it no worse then fellowship, yea, so good as a point of manhood.

And let it be our caucat, how we admit even the least of bodily pollutions; least by Gods sudgement, our affections thereby be entangled, and our mindes darkened, our bodies they are part of Christs purchase. I Cor. 6. should be sacrifices vnto GOD. Rom. 12. weapons to fight the Lords battels against vnrighte-ousnesse.

But how frequent libertinisme is in this behalfe, we see, in ordinarie experience; whiles men with an Epicharmus like protestation, take libertie to see, heare, practise,

Obser.

Ifay 5.22.

practife bodily vncleannesse; and all with this pretense of a good heart, and cleane soule to God-ward. To see the impious fooleries of a Masse, many hold it expedient; and to be present at Idolatrous services, with reservation of conscience to God, how frequent is it in our beyond-sea travellers? little weigh they how speedy a passage their is from the eye to the heart, and how soone our affections are bewitched with such garish spectacles; and how GOD often punisheth such fond curiositie with blindnes, and giving vp to be ensured.

Come wee now to the consequents of this judgement: first, doing things not comely nor convenient:

secondly, fulnesse of all iniquitie.

kæθικον implyes two things. To leave : Tutie, and Decorum. And the meaning is, that they ran
wilfully into the grosest sinnes, contrarie to all even
natural Dutie, and Decorum; without regard to any
thing that might beseeme their age, sexe, condition of
life, &c. not that any sinne agrees with Dutie or Decorum; but that even corrupt nature, till it be infatuated
and given over, hath care of some Decorum in transgressing; and holds some sinnes, at least in the manner
of committing, disgracefull, and detestable: As it is said
of Scipio, when a beautifull strumper was tendered him
to abuse himselse with all, vellem, inquit, sinon essem Imperator. I would willingly, were it not vnseemely for
the great place I am in.

But here see, what an horrible consulion of all things slowes into the life, naturall judgement, and conscience, once extinguished: so that even common honestie, and models is neglected; and sinnes even to the corrupt nature detestable, are willingly practised.

Compare Ephef. 4.18.19. also Rom. 1.26.27.

And let vs hence learne by all good meanes to cherish

Obser.

Vse.

cherish invs that light both of nature and grace, that it pleaseth Godtoset invs, that this reprobate minde come not upon vs.

Verse 29. Being full of all unrighteousnesse, fornication, wickednesse, conerousnesse, maliciousnesse, full of enuie, of murther, of debate, of deceit, taking all things in the enill part, whisperers.

Verse 30. Backbiters, haters of God, doers of wrong, proud, boasters, innenters, of enill things, disobedient to parents, without understanding, conenant breakers, without naturall affection, such as can never be appealed, mercilesse.



He other consequent, and fruit of a reprobate minde followeth; and that is, fullnesse of all unrighteensnesse; and even an overflowing of ungod-linesse.

Signes of this state, fulnesse of sin, and vacuitie of grace, these eminent in many of our people: first, when without any reluctation at all, wee yeeld to suggestions of sinne; for where there is any sparke, or even the least seede of grace, there is a lusting against the motions of sinne that are in our members: secondly, delight in doing evill: for grace disalowes those evils, to which violence of temptation, and corruption drawes. Rom. 7. 15. thirdly, an intermitted course of sinning. 2 Per. 2. 14. for where the seed of the spirit is, there cannot be exercised a trade of evill doing. These things applyed, easily evidence this fearfull judgement on many of our people.

Gal.5.17.

1 Iohn 3.9.

of all varighteousnesse: that is, of all manner of varighteousnesses, as Luke 11.42. Where the question may be, whether in every of the Gentiles all those sinnes raigned? Ans. I take it no: if wee speake of the externall exercise of them: but here Paul speakes of the Gentiles, as of one whole, and entire bodie; wherein though every member were not tainted with everie variety needs of the whole body all those sinnesses were found, or secondly of the generalitie of them; or thirdly, even of most particulars, amongst whom, though there were some restrained from the externall practise of some vices, yet were the seedes of all in all variances in one as of conscience abstaining from any one iniquitie.

And this is the state of euerie man in his nature; no sinne but raignes, howsoeuer want of occasions, and grace restraining, keep some from practise of some

vnrighteoulnes.

Now the Apostle goeth on to declare this generall, by enumeration of sundry particulars. And in the fore-front is placed whoredome. It were a needlesse labour, to give instance of every of these in the Gentiles: and would be too long to handle every of them at large; yet a little will not be amisse for the better vnderstanding of the nature of these vices. And that in this order; first, their description shall be given: secondly their distinction by degrees, or kindes: thirdly, their remedies: fourthly, reasons of dissipation.

Whoredome, or fornication largely taken, according to the commandement, is any whatfoeuer breach of Chastitie, and all that is contrarie to that, which *Paul* calleth, the possessing of our vessell in holinesse and honour a That the

and honour. Thef. 4.4.

And may thus be diffinguished by de-

And may thus be distinguished by degrees: It is eyther optative in the wish, or desire of the heart, or

occasionall;

occasionall; whither may be referred lasciulous thoughts, gestures, spectacles, speeches, songs, dancings, &c. and that which in this kinde excelleth, being baudes to other mens lusts. Or practicall; in sundry degrees, with a mans selfe which some call Molliniem.

1 Cor 6.9. with others, of which are many degrees, First, simple fornication betwixt parties vnmarried, and vnbetrothed, eyther strumpets, or virgins: secondly, Adulterie, where the marriage bed is violated: thirdly, Incest, where the bands of consanguinitie, or affinitie, are violated: fourthly, sust against nature; eyther where the course of nature, or the sexe, or the kinde is altered. All of them ordinarie amongst the Gentiles: and would God not too frequent amongst Christians.

Remedies. First, to avoide the causes and meanes; as idlenesse, 2 Sam. 11. Company, Gen. 39.10. Pro. 5.8. Excesse of eating and drinking, Pro. 23.31.32.33.

Secondly, to vse the remedie ordained of God, mar-

riage societie. 1 Cor.7.2.

Thirdly, to delight in the loue of our yoke-fellow

giuen vs of God, Pro. 5. 18, 19.20.

Reasons of disswasion: Consider, first, the odiousnesse of the sinne being worse then thest, Pro. 6. 3 1. 3 2. Secondly, against our body, 1 Cor. 6. 18. Thirdly, God having provided so comfortable a remedie, Heb.

13.4.

Secondly, weigh the dangerous effects, and consequents; bringing iudgements on the bodie, consuming the strength, filling with rottennes, Pro. 5.11. on the goods a consumption: Iob.31.12. on the name a blemish neuer to be done away, Pro. 6.33. Withdrawing the heart from God, Hos. 4.11. hardly shaken off by repentance: Eccl. 7.28. Pro. 22.14. © 23.27.28. hazarding to hell fire. I Cor. 6.10.11. Heb. 13.4. Apoc. 21.8.

Hovneia,

Hovingia, This our English renders, wickednes: which I take is not here so fit; it being the purpose of the holy Ghost, to set downe a particular vice. I take it we may not vnfitly translate according to the Etymon, trouble-somenes, or a desire to procure trouble, and molestation to another. In which respect also it is given to Sathan, the troubler of the Saints of God; called often κατεξοχών, that troublesome one, ο σόνηςος. How full every Congregation is of such absurd, and troublesome men. 2 Thes. 3.2. every painefull Ministers experience witnesses.

Reasons of diswasion; first, it conformes to Sathan; secondly, crosseth the very end of creation, and the holy ordinance of Magistracie, 1 Tim. 2.2. thirdly, cannot stand with grace to delight in it; prophecied of the Church of God, that all such cruell, and barbarous affections should be reformed in Gods children; and that in the mountaine of Gods holines should be peace. See Isay 1 1.6.7.8.9.

Πλεονεξία: We may thus describe; an inordinate desire of having more wealth then the Lord allots vs.

Inordinatenesse of desiring includes these things: first, preposterousnesse, when wealth is principally, and in the first place desired. Atat. 6. 33. secondly, Irregularitie, when not ruled by the word of God, which teacheth to submit our wils to Gods, to vie meanes onely prescribed, and to depend on GOD for the blessing: thirdly, ouer eagernesse of pursuing: sourthly, lacke of reference to the right end; supply of our owne, and others wants. Ephes. 4.28. promoting of Gods glorie.

The object is, wealth, 1 Tim. 6.10. and more specially, wealth in greater measure then God allots.

Now Gods allotment is thus to be measured: first, by our own necessities: secondly the meanes affoorded.

Necessitie

Necessitie is also to be weighed: first, according to our persons: secondly, according to our callings and states of life.

Those things are said to be necessarie to our persons, which are requisite to the maintaining of the bodie, in health, strength, cheerefulnes, for the better performing of our generall, and particular callings. And this also to be weighed according to difference of persons. Some mans complexion, and state of bodie requires more then others; as in experience weese, the hardest, and coursest fare, by Gods blessing, preserves some in sulnesse of strength, and perfection of health: others, in respect of greater tendernesse, not able to maintaine their bodies in honour, without better and more daintie prouision. See 1. Tim. 5. 23.

Necessarie in respect of our states, and conditions of life those things are said to be, that our several callings require for their performance, so that is but necessarie for a maister of a familie, that is supersuous for a lone man, but necessarie for a Magistrate, that is, sometimes supersuous for a private man. So of a Minister, &c. in respect of those duties the Lord requires of him, as hospitalitie: 1 Tim. 3.2. attendance vnto reading.

1 Tim.4.16.

Yea, this may be extended as well to future as to

present necessities. I Tim. 5.8. 2 Cor. 12.14.

The second thing, whereby wee may judge of the Lords allotment, is the lawfull meanes that the Lord affoords, and offers vnto vs in the course of his prouidence; reaching vnto vs, as loseph to Beniamin, a
double portion, in respect of our brethren; vvhich is
lawfull for vs thankefully to accept and embrace. But
whatsoeuer is more then these, fals justly vnder the
censure of couetousnes.

Kindes of couetousnelse these: first, inordinate loue

loue of riches: 1 Tim. 6.10. and esteeming of them about their value: the common couctous nesses of the poorer fort, that carry so high an account of wealth, that they thinke them only happie that have it; themselves miserable because they want it.

Euidenced by these signes: first, discontentment at our present state, Heb. 13.5. whence those speeches

why had not God made me rich, &c.

Remedies: First, labour to be perswaded that thine owne state is best for thee, as thou canst not but iudge, if thou acknowledge God to be a father vnto thee. Mat. 6.32.

Secondly, to consider thine owne small or no deser-

uings. Gen. 32.10.

A second signe of it: Enuie at the greater plentie of others, as weethinke, lesse deseruing then our selves.

Remedies. First, to consider, that it pleaseth the Lord thus to dispense his blessings; let not our eye be euill, because his is good: hee may doe with his owne what seemeth him good. Mat. 20.15.

Secondly, to remember, that howfoeuer the Lord hath scanted thee in the things of this life, yet hath he given thee pledges of a better inheritance, denied vnto

many wealthy. Pro. 29.15.

Thirdly, view but how the wealth of a rich man is snare vnto him; withdrawing his heart from GOD, made instruments of crueltic, and iniustice; the seeds of which sinnes are in thy bosome, and would perhaps bud forth in thee, were it not that the Lord depriueth thee of occasions.

A third signe; a will, and settled purpose to be rich: 1 Tim. 6.9. Pro. 28.20. Whence followes all euils, noisome, and pernicious lustes Ibid. 1 Tim. 6.9.10. that drowne in destruction.

4

A second kinde of Couetousnessis, the greedie scraping together of the things of this life. And that eyther of necessaries or of superfluities.

Of necessaries; for even in seeking things necessary there may be coverousnes: while they are over greedily pursued; and this also incident to the poorer sort; then whom, none more having signes of it: first, neg-

lecting the best duties for the things of this life.

Secondly, vse of vngodly meanes for supply of wants.

See plentifull reasons against it. Mar, 6. from verse 19. to the end. See also the promise. Heb. 13.5.6.

Of superfluities: which vnsatiable desire the Lord

euery where complaines of by his Prophets.

Remedies of it: first see the inconveniences, superabundance brings with it: disquieting the minde: See Eccl. 5. 11. distracting from better cares; choaking the seed of the word. Mat. 13.

Secondly, consider the vanitie, in two things: first, vncertaintie. 1 Tim.6.17. Mat.6.19. vnprofitablenesse, and vnauaileablenesse eyther to decline wrath, or procure saluation. Luke 12. 15. 20. Prouerbs 11.4. Mat. 16.26.

Thirdly, weigh the large reckoning that is behinde, as Luke 12.48 hitherto also may that clause of the parable be applied.

The fourth, and best remedie, to make Godin Christ thy portion; as Paul, Phil. 3.8.9. Confer

Col.3.2.

A third fort of couetousnesse there is, in the too neere keeping of that wee haue gotten, wee may terme it in the wise mans phrase, sparing more then is meete.

And it is of two forts; first of men that spare from their owne necessarie comfort; as Salomon complaines

of such *Eccl.* 1.2. Drudges to the world, slaues to their pelfe; not beteeming to themselues a good meales meate; more homely indyet, rustie, and sordide in their attire, then the poorest snake, that hath nothing but his labour to maintaine himselfe withall.

Another of them, that pamper their owne bellies with the daintiest, cloath them with the most gorgeous; but spare not their very fragments to those that

need. See Luke 16.19.&c.

To remedie this euill, good to consider: first, that we are but Stewards whom God hath entrusted with this plentie, to distribute as the necessities of our brethrenshall require.

Secondly, to behold the reward of benificence; in this life, in our felues, and our posteritie. If al. 37. 25.26. in the life to come: Luke 16.9. Mat. 25. &c.

Thirdly, that we shall leave the things behindevs. I Tim. 6.7. the comfort of well vsing them may follow vs: Apoc. 14 13. but it is certaine, saith the Apostle, we shall not carrie any of the things with vs.

I have the longer insisted in this vice, because I see it is one of the common sinnes of the land; insomuch that the complaint of the Prophet: Ier. 6.13. may justly be taken up of us; from the least of us even to the greatest, every one is given to covetousnesses, Priests, and Prophets, Princes, and Peoples wolne with this incurable Dropsie: and insatiable thirst after the things of this life.

But proceede wee with more breuitie to those that follow.

Kania: Weereade Maliciousnesse; but that I take it is comprised under enuienext following. And therefore I thinke it may better be rendered mischieuousnesse. A hatefull disposition of men to worke the spoile, and utter ouerthrow one of another.

Obser.

Use.

Now I cannot but observe, how the spirit of God hath coupled these two sinness together; Conetons-nesse, and Mischiemousnesse; perhaps purposely to intimate that they commonly meet in the same subject, Salomon Fro. 1. 19. describing the courses of a Couetous man; thus expressent them; such are the wayes of a man greedie of gaine, hee would take away the life from the owners thereof, and S. Paul makes it the roote of all euils. 1 Tim. 6.10. It might be exemplified in Achab, and Indas, if neede were; but very experience abundantly sheweth, that the very mercies of the Couetous are too too cruell, not pittying wife, nor children, &c. ruinating whole families without compassion, as we see in the practise of greedy vsurers, and oppressors.

And it may teach vs to flye these things, as Paul exhorts: 1 Tim. 6.11. to looke vpon Couetousnesse, and beware of it. Luke 12.15. As for other reasons maine and many, so for the mischieuous practises it inclines vnto. No sinne so barbarous as Couetousnesse. And let vs beware how it gets ground on vs. Sathan many times conucies it into vs by holy pretenses; such as this; what good a man may doe in reliefe of the poore, defence of the truth, if greater abundance were present. And though I simply condemne not desire to encrease our state present, with such sincere reference and respect to those ends, and submission to Gods will; yet let vs beware how by such coulours,

couloured couetousnesse creepe vponvs.

117 ...

Sinceritie of such desires for such ends, may thus be discerned in our selves, and others; if there be a care to vse the little present, so as wee pretend to vse the more desired. See Luke 16.10.

Secondly, againe it should warnevs, how we expose our selues to the cruell mercies of couetous vyorldUse.

lings. Many a couloured pretense thou shalt heare from such, how glad they are that they have to pleasure vs in our need; and all but coulours for crueltie. See Pfal. 10.9. 10. as the cruell practise of vsurious men abundantly every day witnesseth.

Now as touching this mischieuous disposition, *Paul* hath described it, *Rom. 3. 16.* and examples are plentifull amongst our selues; malice prevailing: for

remedie consider.

First, the end of our creation, as principally to gloriste our God, so also to be helpfull each to other, so that what the Lord said of woman at her creation, should be verified of enery man; to be helpes each to other. Gen. 2.17. and the Lord for this end, hath knit we together in a bond of mutuall necessitie, that conscience thereof might preuent this mischieuousnes.

Secondly, the example of our heauenly Father, doing good to his very enemies, Mat. 5. 45. that no man

might make enmitie a colour for mischiefe.

The next is *Enuie*, which may thus be described; discontentednesse at another mans good, and prosperous estate; and hath these three principals branches.

First, griefe at the good things they enjoy, whether temporall or spirituall. Exempl. Cain, Gen. 4.5.&c.

Remedies: first, for temporall things wherein others excell vs, or are equal with vs, to abate the ouer good conceipt wee carry of them. A man that esteemes them as Salemon did, vanitie, and nothing but vanitie, neuer enuies at another mans having of them.

Secondly, to place our affections on things, that may equally be enjoyed, without imparing anies plentie: for this shall be found one cause of enuie, a conceipt that anothers having is cause of our want, or of having

having in leffe measure then we defire. Thus is it not in heavenly riches.

Thirdly, to confider the originall of this, as we judge it, vnequall distribution, which is the will, and good pleasure of GOD, that hath full power to doe with these things what himselfe pleaseth, Mat. 20.15,

Fourthly, Gal. 5. ver. 26. To root out pride, and ouer weening conceit of our owneworth; seldome see you an humble man enusous, or an enusous man humble.

The second branch is, reioycing at others euils, Pro. 17. 5. a barbarous vice; complained of often by the Prophet Danid. See Plal. 69.&c.

Remedies: first, consider how odious it is in Gods sight, often prouoking him to turne his wrath from the afflicted, to the triumpher, *Pro.* 24.17 18.

Secondly, see the contrarie practise of the Saints. 2 Sam. 1. Psal. 35.15. And surely a man thus barbarouslie affected euidenceth sufficiently his gracelesse heart.

The third branch, is incommunication, *Innidia Canina*: fitly to our prouerbe, of the dog in the manger.

Remedies: first, consider the end of all gifts bestowed on thee; given they are or lent thee rather of God, for the good of others. I Cor. 12.7.

Secondly, the practife of our heauenly Father, delighting in communicating himselfe and his bletsednes vnto vs.

To this might be added that other; desire to haue all good things inclosed vnto our selues: See Num. 11.28.29.

Other reasons against enuie in generall; of all vices most vnprofitable: having in it none either profit, or pleasure. The justest of all vices, saith an ancient Divine; bringing with it his owne vengeance. See Pro. 14.30. Seneca wisheth them none other plague,

L4

but

Obser.

Vse.

but that they had eyes to see the good state of all men.

Nextfolloweth Marther, an ordinary companion of enuy; therfore coupled together also, Gal. 5.2 1. and how often meet they in one person? See Gen. 4.5.8. Gen. 37. 4.11.18. Mat. 27.18. &c.

And let it warne vs how wee foster this cockatrice

egge in our bosomes.

Murther is the vnlawfull taking away of a mans life.

Vnlawfull: for their is a lawfull shedding of bloud, first ex insta cansa, when the offence deserves death. Gen. 9. 6. et alibi: secondly, ex ordinata potestate: such as the magistrate, souldiour, executioner hath: thirdly, insto ordine, by due proceeding, conuction ever going before execution: fourthly, Resto animo: for even a Magistrate insticting death deserved by inst proceeding, yet may be a murtherer, adjudging to death not ex amore institute; but libidine vindicte. These all concurring, make killing lawfull; any one wanting, layes crime of murther vpon vs.

Taking away of life: life is eyther naturall, or spirituall: here we have to speake of murther committed

about life Naturall.

From man. This clause added against the Manichees errour, that permitted not vnto men the slaying of beasts for necessarie vse, no nor cutting of hearbs at length; because they had life, against which, See Gen. 9.3.

Nowmurther as it is referred to life naturall, may thus be distinguished, according to the seuerall de-

grees, as also instruments or subjects of it.

One is committed Sanger.

I in the heart by Haired.

ira per Zelum, Anger riling from discreet zeale; and is nothing else but an holy indignation at our owne, 2 Cor.7.11. Or other mens sinnes, Exod. 32.19. This a gracious

gracious affection. Another per vendictam; flowing from, and tending to vniust reuenge; of which three sorts, Acuta, sharpe Anger, soone raised, soone calnied: Amara, bitter Anger, hardly, and not without some longer respite appealed: thirdly, Grance, sore Anger, not allayed without requitall, and retaliation of wrongs.

Against it are these reasons: first; it is a note of solly, Ec. 7.11. secondly, hinders dueties Isay 1.20. from performance: thirdly, marreth the best dueties, and makes them vnacceptable, 1 Tim: 2.8. 1 Pet. 2.1.2. &c.

From this Anger continued, ariseth another degree of murther in the heart, hatred of our brethren. See 1 loh. 2.15

and gesture, Mat. 5.22. Acts 7.34.

A third in the Contumelious railing. 2 Sam. 16.

Malediction, or curfing.

A fourth committed by the hand; which hath also severall degrees, according to the degrees of life Naturall, which are; first, cheerefulnes of the heart. Examples, see Ezech. 13. 22. Gen. 27.46. Pro. 17.22.

Secondly, soundnesse and perfection of the bodie; when every member is maintained without hurt. Guiltie hereof, Ale-house souldiours; rigorous maisters, and parents, maining their servants and children, or dulling their senses by immoderate punishment.

Thirdly, health of bodie: thus by drunkennesse, &c. murther is committed; by too much afflicting; and macerating of the body by abstinence, &c. to the impeaching of health.

Fourthly, that proceedeth to the seuering of the soule from the body, whether by omitting of ducties of mercy, or by laying violent hands to deprive of life.

A sinne odious and monstrous in Nature: the Lord testissieth his detestation hereof: first, by miraculous discoueries: secondly, horrour of conscience, Gen. 4. thirdly, speciall vengeance; seldome die such a drie death; fourthly, punishing whole Kingdomes where it it is not punished, Numb. 35. 33. and God grant the impunitie of this sinne amongst vs, draw not downer Gods sierce wrath vpon vs. See Numb. 35.31.32.33.

and may be distinguished by the object into Ecclesiasticall, and civill. The first, which is about matters of religion; eyther principall, or inferiour; of both sorts whereof we have had, and still have lamentable experience in our owne Church: opening the mouthes of our adversaries to speake evill, and occasioning the weake to many distractions, and doubtfulnes of judgement: whereof more hereafter.

Ciuill about the things of this life, eyther without law, or where law is made the instrument of our contentions. I Cor. 6.

Causes whereof are: first pride; Pro.13.10. Phil.2.3. whiles every man makes an Idoll of himselfe, and thinkes it his disgrace, to passe by an iniurie, or to be the first that yeelds in a contention.

Secondly, ouer precious account of the things of this life.

Remedies: first to root out pride, *Phil.2.3*. secondly, to esteeme of the things of this life no better then they deserue: thirdly, to keepe vs within compasse of our owne callings, and diligent employment thereof, *I Thes. 4.10.11*. fourthly, to contend where we ought to contend: first, for God, and his truth, *Psal.139.21*. *Ind. 4*. secondly, against our owne corruptions, *Rom.7*. *I Cor. 9.27*. thirdly, to excell in the graces of Gods spirit. *I Cor. 14.1. Mat. 11.12*.

Reasons

Reasons against it: first, voice of nature; man being borne the most peaceable of all creatures, vvithout weapon eyther of offence, or defence: secondly, our neere conjunction in one brotherhoode, Gen. 13.

Alts 7. in one bodie, Ephes. 4. I Cor. 12. thirdly, necessities each of others, I Cor. 12 fourthly, benefit of the contrarie. Psal. 133. per totum. fiftly illoriginall, Iam. 3. sixtly, scandall to the truth, I Cor. 6. seauenthly, pro-

perty of aliens, Rom. 2.

Deceit: to say nothing of spirituall guile, hypocrisic in religion, because impertinent to this place; that here spoken of is eyther more largely taken, for that which we may tearme double, or hollow-heartednesse, and dissimulation; whereof reade ler.9.4.5. And is opposed to open heartednesse, and plaine dealing: or else more strictly for that which is vsed in matters of contract; whether by the seller or buyer: by the seller eyther circas speciem; when one thing is sold for another, suppose lead for pewter; water for wine; or about the quantitie; whether in waight, number, or measure, Leuit. 19.35. Deut 25.14. or about the qualitie, when bad for good, the defects concealed; vvith that prophane protestation, caneat emptor. beware the buyer.

By the buyer, when abusing the simplicitie of the seller, he vilifies the thing bought beneath the worth,

whereoffee Pro. 20.14.

Against all these thescripture everywhere plentifully dealeth, by shewing our neere conjunction, 1 Thes. 4.5. certainety of Gods wrath, Ibid. & Mic. 6.11. instabilitie of wealth so gotten, Iob. 20.15. And that maxime in nature even corrupted; what thou wouldest not have done to thee, that doe not thou to another.

κακοηθείας, Malignitie; well rendered by our tranflation flation after the ancient description, taking all things

in the worser part.

Plutarch taxeth this vice in Heroditus, and withall excellently sets out the nature of it in these actes, proceeding from this vitious habit: first, when a man relating any euil action of another, vseth harder tearmes then the qualitie of the fact requireth.

Secondly, when a man intrudes himselfe into mention of things faulty: which he might with better man-

ners, and more honestie conceale.

Thirdly, when having iust occasion to speake of a mans due commendation he enviouslie suppresseth it.

Fourthly, when things are doubtfull in themselues,

or in their report, to embrace the worst.

Fiftly, when facts in their nature good, are depraued by supposall of sinister, and bad intentions.

It is the opposite of that which wee call Candor; inclining to interpret things doubtfull the better way.

Causes of it: first a vicious minde in the mans selfe

that vieth it, Mat. 12.34.

Secondly, want of loue to the partie vndergoing our

censure., 1 Cor. 13.5.

Thirdly, a fond conceit that another cannot have that grace which our felues want.

Woisperers, betwixt whispering, and back-biting

these are two differences.

They differ: first, in modo: one being privie, the o-

ther open defamation.

Secondly, in the extent of the end; a whilperers end is to diffrace to some one well conceited of vs, to the end to worke vs out of their beneuelous affection; a backbiter aymes at our generall disgrace amongst whomsoeuer.

Whispering then may thus be described: privile de-

famation of our brother to the end to dissolue the bond of amitie, and worke varience betwixt men at one. See Pfal. 101.5. Pro. 6.19. & 26.20. 22. these are the firebrands of contention. Pro. 26. 20.2. and the very bane of societie: rise in all places: See examples, Haman, Est. 3.8. Doeg, &c.

Remedies: first, an Angry countenance, Pro.: 5.3.2 secondly, banish them from your company, Pfal. 101.

3.5. Lenit. 19.16. thirdly, reueale their practife.

Backbiters.] Backbiting is a publique fpeaking euill of our absent brother, for the impayring of his credit. And is eyther in respect of good things, or in regard of euill.

That which is in good things hath three degrees: first, depraying of a good action by supposing a sini-

ster intention.

Secondly, lessening the graces, and commendable parts of others; to diminish our owne is humilitie; but to clip anothers due praise is enuie. See 2 Cor. 8. 1.2.3.4. &c.

Thirdly, deniall of those good things week now to be in another, loue willingly takes notice of the least; and grace will hunt after occasions to praise God in his

gifts bestowed on others.

In respect of euils; that also hath three branches: first, publishing their secret slips, which in charitie we ought to conceale, and that, eyther without all mention of their vertues; or else with commemoration of other their good parts, and pretense of sorrow for their saults; in this or the like manner, a man of good parts, faire conditioned, kindeto his friend; it is pittie on him he hath his fault, something couetous, &c.

Secondly, by increasing, and making their euils worse then they are, beames of motes, mountaines of

mole-

mole-hils: humilitie aggrauates its owne sinnes, to magnifie Gods mercie, 1 Tim. 1.13.14.15. but charitie lesseneth the sinnes of others, by all circumstances, Acts 3.17.

Thirdly, by imposing false crimes, properly called

flander.

Reasons against it: first, the detriment if brings is great, robbing a man of the chiefe treasure, a good name, Pro. 22 1. Eccl. 7.3.

Secondly, scarcely admits any found restitution; crimes even vniust ever leaving a skarre vpon our

good name.

ocosuycic. Our translator, and generally all new interpreters, render haters of God. The word hath a passive termination; and signifies properly hated of God. Now though I dislike not the judgement of the new, knowing that words passive are sometimes actively vsed, as 2 Pet. 1.3. And specially considering that the Apostle here entendeth a Catalogue of the Gentiles sinnes; yet it shall not be amisse to speake in a word or two of the proper signification of the word.

Hated of God.] Gods hatred is of two forts, eternall before time including these two actes: first, a purpose not to shew kindnesse: secondly, a designing to euill, Rom. 9.11. Temporall in time; and is nothing but the dislike, and detestation of men in respect of their sins. Euidenced by these signes: first, denial of saving grace

and meanes thereof.

Or secondly, not blessing meanes vnto them: Isay 6. Thirdly, depriuall even of common graces, permitting to the power of Sathan, and serving of a mans owne corrupt heart. Fourthly, inslicting of temporall plagues, as curses, and beginnings of eternall woe after to be inslicted.

Taking it actively it implyes that hatred that men carrie

carrie to the Divine Maiellie, where schoole men vsually question, whether it be possible for a man to hate God, that is the chiefe good, and that hath in him all amiable excellencies. To which their answere is, that God apprehended in his essence, or immanent actions, or gracious properties is not hated of any. But apprehended as a judge, and avenger of disobedience so he is hated of prophane vngodly men.

Signes of it best assigned by the contrarictokens of loue; for it is true hee that is not with God, is against

him; he that loues him not, hates him.

First, not to loue his presence, eyther in the heart by his spirit, or in the congregation by his word, or in his comming to judgement.

Secondly, to abhorre cogitation and thought of

God, P/al. 10.

Thirdly, to hate the friends of God, that is, those

that love him.

r'seisac: that is, contumeliously, or insolently iniurious. Vnto this head may be referred all wrongs done to our goods, good name, persons. But briefely it shall suffice to speake of the seuerall kindes, and manners of doing wrong, which though they may be applied to all kinde of wrongs, yet shew themselues especially in wrongs done in respect of temporall goods. And this is done principally three wayes. First, by detayning of dues: Pro. 3.27. Compare Iam. 5.4. where it is amplified by a circumstance of the persons to whom it is done, and made in that case a crying sinne. See also Fsal. 37.21.

Secondly, by exaction of that is not due. Example see I Sam. 2. 13. 14. &c. as in sees at this day, as for bare for bearing of money, or wares: so

felling time.

Thirdly, by violent rapine, or taking away, what

is another mans. See Mir. 2.2. God is an auenger of all

such things, 1 Thes. 4.5.

Υ΄ ωερηφάνες. Prond.] Pride may be thus briefely described: the inordinate loue, and admiration of our owne supposed, or reall excellencies.

And bewraies it selfe by these euidences: first, prefumptuous aduenturing things beyond our measure,

and compasse, Rom. 12.3.

Secondly, a disdaine and contempt of others in comparison of our selves, 1 Cor. 8. 1, 2.

Thirdly, discontentment at other mens advance-

ment aboue our selues, Est.5.13.

Fourthly, griefe at our owne little respect, and esteeme amongst men, Est. 3.5.8 5.9.

Fiftly, gate, gesture, lookes, apparell, &c.euidenceit,

See Isay 3.16.

Sixtly, we exactor of our sixtly, we exact of our owne good things; which Paul cals boasting, &c. Let another man praise thee saith Salomon, not thy selfe, Pro. 27. 2.

Seauenthly, Ambition; hunting after honour, and

the like, 3 Iohn 9.

Remedies of it: first, wee have nothing but vyhat we have received, 1 Cor.4.7. and that not of merit but of free grace, R.m. 11.35. 1 Cor. 15.10.

Secondly, labour to be acquainted with thine owne imperfections, and specially with thy sinnes.

Thirdly, God croffeth the attempts of the proud, 1 Pet. 5.5.6. and purposely sets himselfe to hinder affected exaitation. Honor faith one is like your shadow: the more you runneafter it, the falter it runnes from you: like the Crocodile, insequentes fugit, sugientes pre lequitur.

Fourthly, it disgraceth all graces; as humilitie a-

dornes them, &c.

Boafters.

Boasters. Boasting the daughter of Pride. It is of two forts: one for necessarie defence; the other of vaine oftentation. For necessarie desence not vnlawfull; vsed by the Apostle, 2 Cor. 11. & 10. his own good name and edification of the Church, requiring a modelt commemoration of his owne good parts, and deserved respect with the Church of GOD. Yet withall observe in this boasting, Apostolique modestie and humilitie: first hee comes not to it but as it were constrained: 2 Cor . 12 . 11. Secondly, in things of the greatest admiration, speakes of himselfe in the third person, 2 Cor. 12.2.3. Thirdly, chooseth his infirmities: that is, afflictions to boast of: verse 9. Fourthly, acknowledgeth his owner weakenelle, and magnifieth the grace of God, verfe 7.8.9.

There is another kinde of boasting, which is for vaine oftentation, and this is that the Apostle here noteth: sundry sorts of it might be reckoned up; these two shall suffice as the principall, first, of our good things that we have, or have done, to the end that we may seeme some great men amongst our brethren, when we thinke all lost that others know not. See Mat. 6. if wee give almes, the trumpet must sound it out, &c, as if our best deedes were more then duetie;

but such saith Christ, have their reward.

Another is of our euils, or sinnes: as ler. 11.15, Pro. 2.14, to which degree of wickednesse I know not what can be added, Bernard, or he, who so euer was Authour of that Treatise de Conscientia vnder his name, hath excellently expressed the degrees of sinning: first, when a man that hath beene accustomed to well doing, any thing grieuous se sinneth, the burthen therof seemes to be intolerable vnto him, that hee seemes euen to goe downe to hell aliue; in a little processe of

M

time of importable, it is made but heavie; of heavie, it becomes light; of lightlome, delightlome; of delightfome, debreable; of defireable, customary; of customary, exculable; of exculable, defensible; of defensible, matter of boasting; to this height can nothing be added. Nothing, faith Bornard, so much exasperateth the maiestie of that dreadfull Iudge as to sinne; and securely to sinne, and to boalt of vices as if they were vertues. And yet how frequent examples hereof are every where occurrent? The Adulterer numbers up the tale of his strumpets, or virgins, with whom hee hath defiled himselfe; the drunkard his dozens, and how many hee hath drunke vnder the table. Shameleffe wretches, glorying in their shame, Phil. 3.19. should not the Lord be avenged of such people? Ier. 5. their end is damnation. Phil.3.19.

Inventers of eaill things: vvhether wee referre this to cuils of paine, or cuils of sinne, wee may finde examples thereof in heathen: I would they were not

also amongst Christians.

Thalaris propounded a reward to him, that could deuise a new kinde of torment; and what exquisite torments the ten bloudie persecuting Emperours deuised for poore Christians, stories record, and we tremble at reading: yet this I dare say, they never event beyond Papists in bloudie designes, and inventions; witnesse if there were no other, their Spanish inquisition, and tortures of their holy house. Let all such monsters as desight in bloud, consider the Lord is as wittie to revenge crueltie, as they can be to deuise it. And that plague the Lord threatneth to disobedience, shall surely light in the heaviest measure upon such devisers of villanie, Deut. 28.59, their plagues shall be wonderfull, and themselves as signes and wonders amongst men for their afflictions.

Referring

Referring it to euils of sinne; we have it exemplyfied in Sardanapalus, that fleshly Epicure, that having surfetted of the pleasures alreadie in vse, propounded a reward by a cryer to him that could inuent a new kind of pleasure. See also Nero, in Sueton, cap. 27. And how wittie our owne age hath been this way, who can with. out griefe mention? Such strange, and as they are prophanely tearmed, gentleman-like othes, by Ielu, by Christ, as our forefathers never heard of: drinkings by the yard, by the dozens, healthes, kneeling vpon a dye, lying, &c. stranger deuises of sinnes, then of fashions in apparell. It were long to reckon vp the new trickes of colenage, and conicatching, poling and racking, that no vigilancie of Magistrates, nor warinesse of lawes is able to prevent. We maruellat the strangenelle of Gods judgements in diseases, and other kindes that bring our Phylitions belide their bookes; but let vs ceale to wonder at new plagues, while our selues are so wittie in deuising new sinnes, so veterly without vnderstanding to doe well. Ier.4.22.

Disobedience to parents.] Vnder this title of parents are comprised all such, as vnder God are Authours to vs of our being, or wel-being in Nature, Grace, or ciuill societie. In Nature, as naturall parents that begat vs. In Grace, as Ministers, by whom wee are begotten a new through the Gospell. In Civill Societie, as Magistrates, and fathers of the countrey. Here principallie are intended Naturall, and Politicall Parents. Of disobedience to Magistrates it will be fittest to handle when we come to Cap. 13. Now of that onely shewne to our natural parents. The Lord every where testifieth his detestation of it, appointing death in the old law, for him that obstinately lived in it, Dent. 21.18.19.20.21. and as he hath graciouslie promised long life to the obedient. Ephel. 6.3. so hath hee threatned contumely, and

M 2

Suctonin Neron.cap. 27.

shame-

shamefull death to the obstinate. Pro.30.17. as wee reade the execution of this iudgement on Absolom, Adonyah, & c. and let them feare all gracelesse children from disobedience.

A'owets: Without understanding.] This may not be so conceiued as if they were depriued of reason, or had vtterly lost the facultie of understanding; but is to be referred principally to their sottishnesse in spirituall things, 1 Cor. 2. albeit also very natural light in things concerning manners, was much ecclipsed in the multitude: for the better sort of them, many testimonies are extant of their understanding in the course of Nature, and some more then ruders of their wisedome in civilitie, and matters Morall, & politicall. But as for things concerning God and his vvorship, as sottish almost as bruites, ser. 10.14. and their multitude blind in matters of manners, and common honestie.

Now this being without vnderstanding in the things that concerne God, or men, as it was their sinne: so also a searefull judgement vpon them, for their disobedience, and neglect of cherishing the knowledge of God given them by light of Nature. And that vvhich ordinarily solloweth vpon neglect of obedience, and lying in sinnes against conscience, 1 Tim. 1. 19. such a canker is vngodlines, that it eates out knowledge, yea all even capacitie of heavenly vnderstanding. And how evident it is in our people. Compare what Isay hath. Cap. 28.9.10.13.

A'ow Jetzs. Couenant breakers.] Some commendable examples their are of there conscience to keepe couenants, especially confirmed by oath: yea, though it were sometimes with hazard of life, as that knowne

instance of Pirrhus euidenceth.

Yet as frequent are records of their treacherous

and perfidious dealing each with other: But which is our sinne, and shame, nothing so thickeas those of vnfaithfulnesse amongst Christians; such Eeles are many, that they findeholes to winde out of the most cautelous contracts for their best aduantage.

It were long to runne into this large field of complaints of vnfaithfulnesse; a question, or two onely shall be propounded, and so a passage to the next.

Qu. Are all couenants to be performed? Ans. Not so; couenants of things vnlawfull are at no hand to be accomplished, the olde saying of vowes hath place herein. In malis promissis rescinde sidem in turpi voto muta decretum. Repent the making, but sorbeare the performance; binde not two sinnes together.

What if they be made with euill men? Ans. Yet to be performed: Infuab kept touch with the Gibeo-nites; and Sauls crueltie showne on them, contrarie to couenant, brought a famine vpon the land of Israel, not expiable but by the death of his sonnes, 2 Sam. 21.1. &c.

What if rashly made and without due consideration of circumstances? Ans. if the thing couenanted be lawfull, rashnessemust be repented: but the promise performed.

What if hinderance come by performance? Ans. accept it as thy crosse, and chastisement from the Lord;

but thinke not of change, Psal. 15.4.

those Germane affections of loue, that by instinct of nature we beare to those in nature and bloud conioyned to vs: such as are those betwixt parents, and children, brother and brother; &c. how these were extinguished in the Gentiles, those monstrous bloudie sacrifices of their sonnes and daughters vn to their Idols, abundantly witnes, Dent. 12.31.

And

And how euident footsteps of such lack of naturall affection towards children of our owne loynes, besides that rigour of many parents in immoderate corrections, carelessenesse of prouision for families, &c. those often murthers of poore infants by strumpets, testifie: besides those cruell persecutions of children by parents, for truthes sake, foretolde by our Sauiour, Mat. 10.21. And that which at this day is magnified as a worke of perfection amongst papists, exposing of children and families to beggarie, for I know not what pretended holy contemplations.

But here by the way may be observed the follie of Stoikes, commending vacuitie of affections, as a point of chiefe wisedome, which the Lord condemnes as a

fruit of a reprobate minde.

And for lawfulnesse of affections; first, their impression in Nature by the finger of GOD: secondly, the command they have in the law. Mat. 22.37. thirdly, their commendation registred in the Scripture: 2 Pet. 2.8. fourthly, their vse in our Sauiour, Heb. 2.17. sufficiently witnesseth. Indeede here is wisedome, first, in applying them to their right objects: secondly, in keeping them to their iust proportion, that they neither exceede, nor come short of their measure: but to banish them out of man, is to banish man out of man, and to make him a stock rather then a Stoike.

The next vice followeth. & words: Well rendered vnappealable, such as whose malice admits no tearmes of truce, much lesse of heartie reconcilement. Pfal. 120.6.7. And this gracelesse disposition of the heart, how is it now accounted a matter of greatest wisedome, and manhood? And a principle it is amongst politicians, to keepe the coales of malice raked vp vnder the

Exod.32.

the ashes of a friendly countenance, which yet opportunitie, as bellowes, rekindles to such a flame as often-burnes to the destruction of him they hate: yea, such monsters are there in this kinde, that thinke their children vnworthy to inherit their possessions, except they vow also to be heires of their malice and to prosecute vnto death the reuengefull courses set on soote by their predecessours. Yea, our people haue now learnt to distinguish betwixt forgiuing; and forgetting: forgiue they may, but neuerforget, as if he should say, respite their malice till a sit opportunitie of reuenge. See Gen. 27.41.

Now surely, if from any one vicious affection, wee may conclude a nullitie of grace, then chiefely from this: for as the experience of Gods loue in pardoning, enclines to an easie forgetfulnesse of wrongs; so doth the continued prosecution of a reuengefull purpose, argue sufficiently our little, or no feeling of Gods par-

doning mercie.

A'νελεήμονας. Mercilesse. Mercie hath in it two things: first, compassion, and a laying to heart the miseries of others: secondly, an inclination to succour, and relieue their miseries: the want whereof is that here called

mercilesnesse.

Examples whereof wee neede not fetch from the heathen, our owne times abound with them: to heare the outcries of poore widowes, and orphanes turned out of their house, and home, to the mercie of the mercilesse world, by remorselesse landlords, dispeopling whole towne-ships, and leaving no roome for the poore to inhabit, whose bowels that hath any sparke eyther of grace, or good nature, yerne not ? to see the poore perish for hunger whiles not onely hired servants, but even dogges have bread enough: what eye can behold without showers of

M 4

teares? And to consider how in the dayes of dearth the mercilesse rich men of this world, triumph, putting offall bowels of merciewith this, that it is a plague to the poore; whose heart rues not? Let all such remember betimes whose mouth hath spoken it, that there shall be judgement mercilesse to such as will show no mercie, Iam. 2. 13.

Verse 31. Which men though they knew the law of God, how that they which commit such things, are worthy of death, yet not onely doe the same, but also fanour them that doe them.



Nd thus much of the particular vices raigning among the Gentiles, wherein I thought good to insist a little, because they are rife euen amongst our selues; but willingly haue I runne them ouer with more breuitie then was intended

least the volume should grow too great.

Therefolloweth now in the last verse an amplification of the Gentiles sinne, set out by an Antithesis of things divers; they knew, and yet did; secondly, a comparison of vnequals; not only did, but applauded, and tooke delight in others that did them.

Their knowledge is declared by the object; the law of God; secondly, by the measure; that the doers of

fuch things were worthy of death.

First here observe, that knowledge ever addes an aggravating circumstance to transgression. Ich. 9. 41. If you were blind you should have no sinne; Augustine truely interprets, none in comparison, not simply none, Confer. Iam. 4. 17. Luke 12.47. 10b.15. 22. Gal. 4.8.9. &c. for from what fountaine can knowne sinnes

Obser.

sinnes be imagined to proceede, but eyther wilfull neglect, or prophane contempt of the lawgiuers authoritie?

Now it is strange to thinke of the prophane inferences our people make from this ground: that seeing knowledge makes sinne so haynous; therefore vvelcome ignorance. Foolish men that cannot distinguish betwixt nescire, and nolle scire; betwixt simple ignorance, and wilfull resusal of knowledge, the one something lessening sinne and damnation; a poore comfort: the other doubling, yea trebling every iniquitie. Implying first breach of dutie: secondly, ignorance of Gods will: thirdly, wilfull contempt of meanes to know.

Now I would these men would consider, what a poore comfort it is for a man in hel to be tormented less then others; when the least degree of those paines is intolerable. How miserably shall a Sodomite comfort himselfe in that day of judgement, with thinking that a Capernites case is worse then his; when hee is throwne downe amongst the reprobate rabble, into that lake that burnes with fire and brimstone for ever.

Such is the comfort of euerie one that sinnes but of simple ignorance; Luke 12. 46.47. hee is beaten, though with fewer stripes; damned euerlastingly, though not so much tormented.

From them I passe with this aduise, that they striue to avoide all wretched extreames, and is it may be, labour for the meane amongst them. Not to know is damnable simplicitie: to refuse to know haynous impictie: to know and not to doe, intolerable obstinacie. Well is it with them, that labour to know, and knowing endeauour to practise what they know.

Let vs all to whom GOD hath vouchsafed knowledge of his will, adde to our knowledge conscionable practise, Ioh.13.17.

Use.

practife. 2 Pet. 1.5. Ioh. 13.17. If wee know Gods will bleffed are weeif wee doe it: if wee know and doe it not, woe worth the time that euer weeknew, not because vve know, but because knowing, wee neglected to doe what wee knew; and sinned against our knowledge and conscience.

But see wee first the object of this knowledge, what is it that the Gentiles are said to know? The law of God. It may be here questioned how this accords with Psal. 137. verse9. Where it is made the Iewes prerogative to have the statutes of God; and of the heathen said, that they had not knowledge of Gods law. Ans. The law of God according to a double manner of re-uealing, is two-fould, written, vnwritten; the law of God written the Gentiles had not; but yet they knew some generals of those things contained in the two tables; God writing it in their hearts, Rom. 2. and re-uealing to them so much therof as might deprive them of excuse, Rom. 1.19.

This imperfect rule of life vouchsafed vnto the Gentiles, is commonly called the law of nature. Not for that it is borne with vs, or is propagated from Adam; who not onely weakened, but even vtterly soft the image of GOD, one chiefe part whereof consisted in knowledge, Col. 2. but because this knowledge is vouchsafed vnto all by a generall influence of Gods grace, which is indeed as common as nature: and ther-

fore called the law of nature.

Here therefore it shall not be amisse, once for all to shew what the law of nature is, and how it differs from the law written.

The law of nature, is that rule of pietie, and honestie, that the Lord hath written in the hearts of all men: whereby they know confusedly, and in generall, what is good; what is euill; what to be done; what to be forborn.

lt

It differs from the law written, not in substance; they being all one; but first, in the measure: secondly, in the manner, and meanes of reuelation: for vvhat the written law reueales distinctly, and particularly; that the law of nature teacheth consusedly only, in generall. First, that God is to be worshipped the law of nature reueales; but how to be worshipped distinctly it reueales not: secondly, the manner of reuealing is not all one: for the one had certaine, and immediate reuelation from God; and afterwards was deliuered by the same GOD in writing; the other was knowned onely by a generall light set in the soule in creation. In 1.9.

But hence it will easily follow; that the Gentiles even before Christ had knowledge of the law of God. See Rom. 2.14.15. where Paul proves it, first, a facto; they did the things of the law: secondly, a Conferencia testimonio. Confer also, Alls 14.17.& 17.27.

And this sufficeth to cleare God from shew of crueltie in their condemnation: though the bodie of them perished euerlastingly; for besides that the Lord made them all righteous at the first, Eccl. 7.31. he also gaue them more knowledge of his will, then they had eyther will or conscience to obey.

Hence also the collection is sound, that the law of nature is the law of God, and that the transgressions of that law, are the transgressions of the law of God.

Wherein how farre they of Rome have ingaged themselves, those many dispensations by them granted for murther, even of the Lords annointed, for marriages within the degrees prohibited,&c. are abundant witnesses. Things that heathen by light of nature detested are allowed by them as commendable, yea in some cases meritorious, and worthy of canonization.

Obser.

Use.

see we now the measure of their knowledge. They knew the law of GOD: yea the penaltie due to transgression thereof; that they which commit such things

are worthy of death.

Now for the meaning it may be questioned; whether the Gentiles knew that their sinnes deserued euerlastingly death? Ans. As touching corporall death the lawes of Draco are recorded; which appointed death for every transgression lesse, and greater: and being demanded the reason, why when all sinnes were not equall, death was appointed equally for all; his answere was, that hee well knew that sinnes vvere not all equal, yet knew that the least descrued death. But for eternall death it seemes more doubtfull, yet this is euident, that they knew another life, and death after this; as appeares both by their Elisian fields, and their hell, which they write of; as also by the terrours of conscience that they were filled vvithall euen where humane lawes tooke no hold of them. And howfocuer perhaps they thought with Papists, that euerie sinne deserued not hell; yet for the grosse acts of notorious sinnes, it was their perswasson, that hell torments vvere due vnto them. See Tully in Somnio Scipion.

So that here wee cannot but take notice of that more then heathenish ignorance still in our people; whom though wee can convince even of grossest breaches of Gods law, yet can wee not persuade that by committing thereof they are worthy of damnation. GOD they thinke is not so extreame, nor will deale so hardly: vvhom here I would exhort first, to take notice of what the very heathen vvere persuaded of: secondly, to consider the infinitenesse of that maiestie, vvhose law they violate: thirdly, the ransome paid for their sinnes, Christs bloud:

fourthly,

Use.

fourthly, their owne feares wherewith their consciences are possessed, upon the least manifestation of Gods wrath, &c.

Now here the Rhemists entreat vs to obserue, in vvhat sense their Catholique Church teacheth some sinnes to be mortall; some veniall: some mortall, because all that doe them are vvorthy of damnation; others veniall, that is to say, pardonable of their owner.

nature, and not vvorthy of damnation.

This exposition were acknowledge to be theirs; and vvere it not for the exposition could easily allow the tearmes of distinction: were say also that there are some sinnes mortall, some veniall; but this were enterpret as the Scripture teacheth, 1 lob.5.

16. 17. 18. of the euent, not of the natural desert. All sinnes are veniall in the euent, except that against the holy Ghost, Mat. 12. all sinnes of the elect are veniall in the euent, because none are imputed vnto them vnto condemnation.

Not persecution, not blasphemie, not abiuring of Christ excepted; but yet the least deserues death, yea and to a man out of Christ brings death everlasting: not idle words accepted, Mat. 12.36. Compare Gal.3. 10. And heere let mee entreat the reader to observe, how well heathenisme, and Poperie accord, as in many other, so in this point also. For even this vvas the opinion of the heathen, that haynous and grosse sinness deserved death; but petite sins, as of idle words, evill thoughts, &c. were pardonable of their owne nature, and not worthy of damnation.

Followeth now the Text, the second amplification of the Gentiles sinne, by comparison; they did not onely themselues commit them, which perhaps might be imputed to infirmitie: but approued, yea applauded, and tooke delight in others

that

that did them, which was desperate impietie.

Now my purpose is not to handle all those kindes of consenting to other mens sinnes, by applause, counsell, encouragement, instigation, abetting, &c. Which M. Beza well observes to come under this tearme, sweedlows: but onely to propound what the Spirit of GOD here seems principally to point at.

Obser.

That simple committing of wickednesse is not so damnable, as is the applauding, and delight taking in the lewd behaviour of others . Salomon, Pro. 2.14. expressing the nature of a man desperately vvicked, thus sets it forth: they delight in doing enill, and which is more, delight in the fromardnesse of the wicked. Hos. 4. 8. the Prophet speaking of the lewd Priests in Israel; they eate up the sinnes of Gods people, and lift up their mindes at their iniquitie. What it grieueth a good minister to see, that these long after, and reioyce in: to see and heare the vngracious behauiour of the vngodly in respect of that sweet they fucked from the finnes of the people. And indeede it argues a heart set vpon vvickednesse, and taken vp with the pleasures thereof, for a man to reioyce in the dishonour of the name of GOD; as it is the nature of the child of God, to grieve at the sinnes of himselfe, and others, and no greater corasiue to such then that the law of GOD is broken. 2 Pet. 2. 8. Plal. 119.136. so there cannot be a surer euidence of a heart desperately wicked, then to delight in the vngodly behauiour of another.

And let all such as are this way guilty lay it to heart, we have a kinde of people amongst vs, a crue of swaggerers, as they delight to be tearmed; given to all excesses of ryot themselves: and not content therewith, provokers of others to fellowship in excesse; how glads it their hearts when they can draw another to drunken.

neile?

neile? and what greater pleafure to fuch, then to fee the beastly behaviour of those, whom they have with their own cost drawne into drunkennes? wo and a heavie wo to such faith Habukkuk. Hab. 2.15.16. It were strange that amongst the rulers of Gods people, set vp for the terrour of the vngodly, their should any be found, thus ioying at other mens vngodlinesse: and yet vvho sees not, but the Prophets complaint befits the time; they lift vp their mindes at the peoples iniquitie: and hee the vvelcomest guest that brings facks to their mill; tidings of the peoples sinnes to their courts: by which their portion is made fat; men living indeede by the sinnes of the people. I might instance in sundry other particulars, but that

I feare I have beene too long in this chapter.

This onely I will annexe for conclusion. That the sinnes here imputed to the Gentiles, are ours also by naturall inclination. And as the Apostle when hee hath reckoned fundry groffe, and abhominable sinnes, applyes them thus to the Corinthians, such vvere some of some of you, though now you be washed, sanctified, instified in the name of the Lord IESVS, and by the spirit of our GOD, 1 Cor. 6. 11. so may I say of vs all in nature; such vvere all of vs by nature, howsoeuer now washed from them in the bloud of Christ. Tit. 2. 3. And let it euer teach vs to magnifie the grace of our GOD, that hath pulled vs out of that damnable estate, vvherein wee were borne, and once liued; and make vs ascribe our vvhole righteousnes to the mercie of GOD, in CHRIST IESVS our freet Saujour; by whom wee haue beene deliuered from the power of darknesse, and of children of wrath by nature, made children of grace by free Adoption; and calling in him. To the

176

THE II. CHAPTER.

Verse 1. Therefore thou art inexcusable, O man, who so ever thou art that condemnest: for in that that thou condemnest another, thou condemnest thy selfe: for thou that condemnest, doest the same things.



O make way to the opening of this chapter, it shall not be amisse briefely to repeate the Apostles whole proiect. That which hee driues at is this, that all both Iewes and Gentiles are transgressours of the law; and there-

And as touching the Gentiles transgression, hee first proues it, ranging them into these two ranckes.

First, of those that were openly and outragiouslie breakers of the law of Nature; secondly, of such as partooke not with them in these open enormious sinnes; but rather condemned them, though themselues in the meane time lived in the secret practise of them, at least had the seedes of them all vnmortisted in them; against the first fort hee hath dealt in the first chapter, and here begins to convince those hypocriticals censurers of these sinnes in others.

The pallage to these hypocrites is by an inference out of a general laid downe, verse 31. cap. 1. on this manner: seeing all those that know the law of GOD, and commit things worthy of death, are subject to condemnation; therfore thou also that judgest others art inexcusable: neither hast any thing which thou

N

canst

canst plead in Apologie for thy selfe, why the sentence of condemnation due to others, should not likewise passe on thee: the reason is annexed, verse 2. for even thou that judgest others and condemnest them for transgression of Gods law, doest thy selfe the same things for which thou condemnest others: And howsocuer by these shewes thou blearest the eyes of men, that cannotfee nor judge farther then the outward appearance, yet canst thou not thinke to escape the judgement of God, to whose eyes all things are naked, and vncouered, and that judgeth not according to outward shewes, but according to the inward sinceritie of the heart, and the truth of the inward parts.

The conclusion then of this place is this: that the very masters of manners and chiefe controulers of other mens sinnes, amongst the Gentiles are inexcusable before God, and liable to condemnation, ver. 1. Reason prouing it, ver. 2. in this forme, he that condemnes himselfe is without Apologie before GOD, but thou hypocrite condemnest thy selfe: Ergo, &c. If it be demanded how? the Apostle shewes, even by this, that thou judgest and condemnest another, for that whereof thy selfe art quilie: so that out of the same ground from which thou concludest another culpable of judgement, thy selfe art withall shut vp vnder condemnation: Example. When a privie Adulterer shall thus reafon against another detected of whoredome: eueric Adulterer is guiltie of wrath, thou art an Adulterer, Ergo, &c. is not the same inference strong against the fecret, as well as against the open Adulterer.

But let vs now see what may here be observed: first, out of the course and bodie of the Text, wee may obserue, that in the very kingdome of Sathan, all are not of equall viciousnesse in outward practise: as amongst the Gentiles, some not onely open committers of the greatest

Obser.

greatest enormities themselues, but also applauders of them in others; other more modest in their sinnes, burning perhaps with lust, but yet forbearing the open exercise and execution thereof, and condemning the outrages of men more shamelesse. In Israel some declaring their sinnes, as Sodome 2; other digging deepe to hide their sinnes, not from men onely but from God himselfeb, some sácrificing vpon the tops of the mountaines c; other had their secret chambers of Imagerie, to practife their abhominations d: at this day not all alike shamelesse in sinning; some civilly honest and in respect of men vnrebukeable, and yet not purged from their filthinesse: Reasons whereof may may be these: first, that in some men naturall knowledge and conscience is more cleare then in others: fecondly, God keepes fome from occasions: thirdly, God by generall grace restraines corruption in some, more then in others, Gen. 20.6.

And it shewes how fondly many please themselues in comparative righteousnesse; and the Pharisies, Non sum sicut cateri. I am not as other men, an adulterer, a drunkard, or extortioner. Foolish men, as if wickednes lay all in extreamities, or as if civilitie were righteousnes in the fight of God. But let all such know, ciuilitie fufficeth not to the kingdome of heauen e, and a man may liue vnrebukeable in respect of men f, and yet be

for all that a child of perdition.

Another thing here observable is this: the vsuall meane by which an hypocrite climbes to reputation of righteousnesse amongst men; not so much by reforming his owne life, as by seuere censuring and condemning others: this was the practise of those graund hypocrites the Pharifies, the least mote in their brothers life could not escape their censure; their owne beames, that is to say, grosse sinnes dissembled. Very

a Isay 3.9. 6 Isay 29.15.

c Hol.4.13. d Ezech. 8.12.

Use.

e Mat.5.20. t Phil. 3.6.

Obser.2. 184-

5 Mat.7.3.

companying with sinners censured in our Sauiour, as a point of highest prophanenesse, their owne notorious oppression, cruelty, repealing of Gods lawes by themselves, winked at. The Donatists in Austins dayes tooke vpon them to censure the least blemishes in other Churches, their owne in the meane time not onely practising, but even defending grosse corruptions.

Vse.

And at this day, how vsuall with men of corrupt mindes, destitute of the truth to rise by this ladder? Such narrow prying into the lives of others, gladsome entertayning of reports of other mens slips, heavied doomes passed upon other mens infirmities; must not a man needs say of such, sure Saints themselves, that thus rigorouslie censure the corruptions of others? Saints sure, or else divelish hypocrites. I passe from this point with that advise of the Apostle: Let everie man prove his owne worke, so shall be have reioycing in himselfe not in another.

Gal.6.4.

Obser. 3.

1 2 Pct.2.14.

k Pro 6.30.

Thirdly, let vs here consider, how daungerous a thing it is, to condemne that sinne in another, which we practise our selues: as to sentence another man to hell for Adulterie, Couetousnesse, &c. and yet haue our owne hearts burning with lust, exercised with couetousnelle i, surely, such are their owne judges; and as it were the Lords mouth, damning their owne soules to the pit of hell. Neither is this to be understoode of private men onely, but even of men in publique place, as Magistrates and Ministers; when a Magistrate shall censure a poore pilferer to death, that hath stolne perhaps to satisfie his owne soulek, himself in the meane time living in extortion, and briberie, what doth hee but adjudge himselfe to death euerlasting? when a Minister shall out of the pulpit denounce Gods judgement against whoredome, drunkennesse, &c. and himselfe

selfe be as the Priests of Israell, a man given to Wine, and strange flesh, redounds not that sentence vpon his owne soule?

Wherefore let vs be admonished that by calling, or vsurpation, have to deale with the sins of others, first, to plucke the beame out of our owne eye! the heathen could thus aduise, that a man that would be an accuser and censurer of other mens dishonestie, should first sift and purge his owne heart, from what hee meant to blame in another.

And as the reason here given is forcible, so are there other in Scripture very effectuall: as first, that a guiltie conscience hinders the right and couragious exercise of admonition and correction: and it was not for nothing, that Iethro requiring courage in Magistrates, requires also that they be such as feare GOD, and carefull to depart from euill m, and the Lord before he sends Isay to denounce judgements against the Israelites, first purgeth him from his owne sinnes n; because indeede, hee can neuer as he ought, reproue or correct that in another, whereof himselfe is guilty o. How often doth a lewd Minister meete with a Text, that he trembleth to handle? or if for shame hee be forced to fay fomething of the sinne whereof himfelfe is guiltie, how cold in discouering? how trembling in reproofe? how many times shifts he from it, by shamefull meanes? as fearing to be judge and condemner of himselse? other reasons there are many. See Mat. 7. 1. 2. 3. 4.

Now before wee passe from this verse, sundrie questions offer themselves to be decided. First, whether it be lawfull for any man to exercise Magistracie, since no man can say my hart is cleane, I am cleane from my sin: and some possess with a spirit of Anabaptisme, have vrged this and the like scriptures, against the vse

Use.

1 Mat.7.5.

m Exod.18.21.

n Isay 6.7.

o Ioh.8.7.9.

of maiestracie, requiring Angelicall puritie in him, that takes vpon him to correct or chastice the sinnes of others. Anf. First, by as good reason might they proue, that the vse of Ministerie is lawfull, in as much as it is their part to reproue, and censure the sins of others: and yet who of them is perfectly purged from the feeds of all sinnes? know we therefore, that the scripture, when it requires freedome from sinne in those that vndertake the censuring or correcting of other mens sinnes, requires not perfect freedome from all inherence of sinne, so as that it shall be sinne, to a man; himselse subject to insirmitie, to correct or reproue the finnes of others; but only a freedome from the raigne, and dominion of sinne; and taxeth onely impenitencie, rashnesse, and rigour in the controuling of others, and so is that place, 10h.8.7. to be vnderstood, being a reproofe of the peoples hypocrific and rigour, no simple condemning of the fact invrging the punishment of the Adulterelle.

Secondly, it may be demanded, whether a man subject to the raigne of sinne (whereof another is guiltie) sinne in condemning that sinne in another whereof himselfe is guiltie. Ans. The fact of condemning such sinnes in others, is not simply a sinne in it selfe, yea not to condemne it, is sinnep; yet a sinne it is in such a man by accident, as all actions of impenitent sinners are sinnes in them; not materially, or in respect of the matter, as those that are simply forbidden in the law of God, but formally, that is to say, in respect of their faulty manner of performing those things, which for their substance are dueties.

Thirdly, if any demand, what is to be done in such case? must a man forbeare to censure and reproue these sinnes in others? If. Not so 4: but this let him doe, beginne judgement at his owne heart, that is, judge,

P Isay 5.20.

9 Isay 5.20.

iudge, condemne, bewaile, reforme, his owne sinnes, fo may we with comfort reproue the sinnes of others,

Mat.7.5.

Fourthly, it may here be questioned; whether all these controllers of other mens sinnes, were themselves guiltic of those sinnes, which they condemned in others. Anf. Someanswere thus, if not of the same, vet of the equivalent, of sinnes as haynous. Others thus, if not of the facts, yet of the affections and inward motions to the same: this last the best answere: to which let this be added, that the scripture measures all out of Christ, by their naturall inclinations, and iudgeth them guiltie of all sinnes, vnmortified by Gods spirit, or unpurged by Christs bloud.

Verse 2. But we know that the judgement of God is according to truth, against them which commit such things.



Ow the rest of the chapter is spent in preuentions, and remouall of such obiections, as these hypocrites might make in behalfe of themselues : as verse 2. whereas they might say, that the world could not detect them of

any of these crimes, and they had the witnes of men to acquite them: the Apostle answeres, that howsocuer by their outward shewes they might blind the eyes of men, and escape their censure; yet Gods judgement they could by no meanes escape; no vizard could couer their deformities from Gods eyes, neither could hee be mocked with shewes, who searcheth the hearts and tryeth the raynes, and judgeth not after outward

CHAP.2.

appearances, but according to trueth, and inward vprightnelle of heart before him.

For the meaning of the words; by Gods indgement understand, first, his censure, and sentence of mens guiltinesse, or innocencie; secondly, his adjudging to punishment; truth also I take here to be opposed to counterfait shewes, and is nothing else but vprightnetle, and sinceritie of the heart, which David cals truth in the inward parts, Psal. 51.6.

Now the note hence is this that how foeuer coulouring may preuaile with men, yet nothing but truth can steedevs in Gods judgement; for he seeth not as man

feeth, neither judgeth he, as man judgeth.

And it should teach vs, to cast away the cloakes of shame's, and ever in Christ to walke in sinceritie, and as in the fight of God^t, but on the glozing of these disfembling times; what a world of hypocrites are we fallen into? fuch studying to seeme so, no care to be what we defire to feeme.

Take but these notes of hypocrisic, and apply them,

and then judge whether the complaint be just.

First, an hypocrite is carelesse to reforme his owne life, curious to lift the liues of othersu: an Israelite indeed, a true Nathaniel, so busied in sifting his owne deceitfull heart, that hee findes small leasure to looke out to others.

Secondly, an hypocrite hath eyes like the windowes of the temple w, broad outward, narrow inward; other mens moates are beames in his eyes, his owne beames moates x, contrary with him in whose heart there is no guile; other mens finnes are apprehended as little, being further of, his owne being nearer, greatest in his owne eyes. Paul stiles himselfe the chiefe of sinners y, imputes the crucifying of Christ to the ignorance of the Iewes z; so littleing a sinne more gricuous.

Thirdly,

179-

Obser. r Isay 29.15.

Ve.

16.

s 2 Cor.4.2.

1 2 Cor. 2.17.

u Mat. 7.3.

w 1 Reg.6.4.

x Mat. 7.4.

y 1 Tim. 1.15. 2 1 Cor. 2.8.

Thirdly, an hypocrite is rigorous in censuring, and punishing others slips; still ouer-milde in judging himselse a: a true Christian most sharpe toward his owne. tender toward anothers infirmitie, Gal. 6.1.

Fourthly, an hypocrite is scrupulous in the least, remorfelesse of the greatest sinnes; straines at a gnat, and

fwallowes a Camel.

Fiftly, precise in the lesser, regardlesse of the waighti-

er points of duetie.

Sixtly, strict in vrging and obseruing mans traditions, desperately dissolute about Gods commandements; holdes it the highest breach of dutie, to omit an humane ceremonie; tolerates, yea approues, yea countenanceth, yea legittimateth, wilfull rebellion against the law of God b, I passe from this point with that faying of an auncient Father. Hypocrite, is it good to feeme good? then fure much better to be good: Is it euill to seeme euill? farre worse to be euill; therefore hypocrite, eyther be as thou showest, or else shew as thouart. And this remember, that how ever shewes may shadow thee from mens censure, it is truth onely, that shall steede thee at Gods judgement seate.

d Iohn 8.5.7.

Mat. 23.24.

bMat.15.1.2.2. 4.5.6.7.

Verse

Verse 3. And thinkest thou this, O thou man, that condemnest them which do such things, and doest the same, that thou shalt escape the indeement of God?

Verse 4. Or despisest thou the riches of his bountifulnesse, and patience, and long sufferance, not knowing that the bountifulnesse of God leadeth

thee to repentance?

Verse 5. But thou after thine hardnesse, and heart that cannot repent, beapest up as a creasure unto thy selfe wrath against the day of wrath, and of the declaration of the full judgement of God.



Here followeth now a denunciation of certaine and most seuere judgement vpon those hypocrites, and is disposed very fitly into a Rhetoricall Dialogisme, and communication. Wherin first hetpreoccupates the foo-

lish thoughts of these hypocrites, after resolues of the

certaine issue, on this manner.

Tell me hypocrite, thinkest thou that when Gods iudgement is against all that doe such things, thou shalt amongst all be exempted? or that thou shalt escape the judgement of God? what a madnetle is this? when as other mens facts escape not thy censure, which art but a man, thou shouldest thinke thine own euill deeds should escape the judgement of GOD; or how else? thinkest thoughat because the Lord hath hitherto forborne thee, and heaped his blessings upon thee, thereforche approues thy courses? and sends these as testimonies of thy rightcousnesse? here see how ignorantly thou abusest, and mistakest the end and vse of Gods boun_

bountifulnesse; which tends indeede, to bring thee to repentance, no way eyther iustifieth thy courses, or se-

cures thee from future judgement.

The resolution sollowes: well how ever it be, whether one or other, this I am sure of, thou by thy hard-nesse and vnrepentant heart, heapest vp vnto thy selfe a treasure of wrath, against the day of wrath, &c. this the disposition of the Text; in the words may be observed: first, an expressing of a secure hypocrites thoughts, with a consutation of them annexed: secondly, a denunciation of certaine and most heavie judgement to be inflicted, the cause whereof is, first declared, hard-nesse of heart, and impenitencie: secondly, the time pointed at, in the day of wrath, &c.

Thinkest thou this that thou shall escape?

Where first note, the fond persuasion of a foolish hypocrite, thinking that though all other mens sinnes be punished, yet he alone may escape Gods wrath: the Prophet Isay thus bringeth in these men triumphing; we have made a Couenant with death, and with hell we are at agreement, though a scourge runne ouer, and passe through, it shall not come to vs; for wee have made falshood our refuge, and vnder vanitie are wee hid c: so little thinke they of Gods impartial instice, al-seeing providence, and vnresistible power.

And is not this the conceit of our owne people? Itil promising themselues impunitie, even in those sinnes for which Gods wrath even in this world lights on some of the children of disobedience? how many drunkards see we clothed with ragges? adulterers filled with rottennesse, and brought to a morsell of bread? Robbers trussed at the gallowes? Vsurers plagued in their posteritie, &c. and yet for all that, men living in the same sinnes, thinke they may escape the same judgements of God. The heathen could say and truely, Rex Supi-

Verse 3.
Obser.

c Isay 28 15.

Application.

ter omnibus idem; and here the Apostle, with God their

is no respect of persons.

A second fond thought of an hypocrite here mentioned, is this; that as he escapes mans judgement, so he may Gods; and thinkes all well so man justifie him, &c. But how vainely, the Apostle here shewes by their own fact; reasoning from the lesse to the greater, thou a man as another, judgest the facts of others, and nothing can passe thy censure how then canst thou thinke that thou canst escape the strict censure, and judgement of the Lord Almightie: Saint Iohns speech is not much vnlike, 1 10h.3.20. Compare it.

Or despisest chou, &c.

They are said to despise Gods bountie and patience, because they abused it to another ende, then it tended vnto: for whereas it was vouchsafed vnto them to bring them to amendment of life, they abused it, as an occasion to encourage them in their sinnes.

So vsuall is it with vngodly men, to abuse Gods goodnesse and patience to impenitencie: because sentence is not executed speedily against an euill worke, therefore the heart of the children of men, is set in them to doe euill d: for hence they gather, as Atheists, that God regardeth not the things done on earth, and count hell and judgement but a sable o, or else that their courses, though vngracious, are well pleasing to himf, that thus multiplyeth his blessings vpon them in their prophanenesse.

Now brethren, consider whether this practise of prophanemen, be not euident among vs?marueilous hath God been in his mercies towards vs in this kingdome; giving peace within our walles,& plentiousnes within our palaces, our tennes have chased our enemies hundreds, our hundreds their thousands; hitherto he hath made vs the head, and our enemies the

taile:

Verse 4.

Obser.

d Ecclef. 8.11. Pfal. 50.21. e 2 Pet. 3.4. fPfal. 50.21.

Application.

taile: defeating their policies, turning their mischiefes, deuised for vs, vpon their owne heads: multiplyed our kingdomes, encreased our friends; in a word, what could he have done more for vs, that he hath not done? And what hath all this bounty of our gracious GOD wrought amongst vs, but even a blessing of our selves in our wickednes, and an adding of drunkennesse to thirst; yea, with the wife this goeth for current, God hath bleffed our gouerment with peace, &c. therefore

amongst vs nothing needs reformation,

And for particulars, how often is the reason heard from them, thus and thus long have I lived in this course (as Preachers say) of sinning, but I see not but God liath bleffed meeas well as the precifeft of them all, &c. Now vnderstand (ye vnwise among the people) must all needs be well, because God forbeares to punish? or shall we therefore continue our sinnes, because God continues his mercy towards vs? God forbid. Other reasons there are of Gods bounty and patience; it may be for the greater good duties he hath winked at the leffe infirmities g: It may be the dreffers of the barren figge tree, haue obtained respite of our cutting downeh: It may be sinnes, though haynous enoughamongst vs, have not yet come to their full ripenesse : perhaps a remnant there was yet to be gathered from amongst vs, &c. howsoeuer, this once I am fure of, Gods patient forbearance, and rich bounty, are no arguments of mans innocencie, nor tend alwayes to countenance received courses, but to give occasion to alter accustomed euils; and to shew Gods loathnesse to destroy, if man were not too loath to forgoe his sinnes.

But what odious contempt of Gods grace is this, for a man to take occasion by Gods bountifulnesse to continue, and increase his sinne? In things of this life wee g Exod. 1.20 27

h Luke 13.6.

i Gen.15.16.

hold

k Gen.39.9.10

¹ Pfal. 130.4. Hof. 3.5.

Obser.

m Acts 14.17.

nIsay 1.24.

o Gen.6 3. P Gen.15.16.

9 Rom. 10.12. Ephel.2.7. Exod.34.6. Application.

r Exod. 34.6.

hold it detestable, if a servant should thus reason from his maisters bountie; he dealeth thus and thus liberally with me, hee hath advanced me from nothing to this estate, wherein now I am, &c. therfore I care not how I prouoke him, &c. how vnworthy should we deemehim, to have kindnes continued vinto him? Toseph reasons contrarily k, and yet how frequent are such reasoners from Gods mercie to our vnfaithfulnes? well this once I dare say, there cannot be a surer euidence of a graceleffe heart, then thus to abuse Gods louing kindnesse; and Gods children I know reason otherwise 1, there is mercie with thee (saith Dauid) therefore shalt thou be feared; they feare the Lord and his goodnes; feare to offend him in regard of his goodnes: but'let vs now fee the attributes given to God, with their Epithete, as also the end of them.

The attributes are three: first, bountifulnesse; it is that holy inclination in the nature of God, to expose himselfe to the vse of his creatures, and to communicate his blessings vnto them ": secondly, patience and forbearance, wherby he tolerates the vngracious behauiour of men as it is ἀνθρωπιπάθως, given vnto God, not vvithout some burthen": long suffering, whereby he defers the fiercenesse of his wrath, that it breake not out to our destruction: see examples in the olde world o, in the Amorites P, In Ierusalem, Mat. 23.37. in our ownekingdome.

The Epithete is riches of patience, &c. that is plentifulnes, and abundance of bounty 9, &c. plentious in

goodnesse and truth.

Now brethren which is there of vs, that cannot from experience acknowledge this riches of Gods bountie, long suffering and patience? and feelingly subscribe to that description the Lord makes of himselfer: The Lord, the Lord, strong, and mercifull, and gracious, flow

to anger, abundant in goodnesse and truth: whether wee respect his dealing with our Nation in common or his gracious benificence and patience, vouchsafed to our particular selves: what Nation is there under heaven to whom the Lord hath come so neare, as he hath done to vs in all things that wee call vnto him for? what a long Iubile hath our land had? when as our neighbour Nations have been e wearied with bloudy warres, and scarce received any other dewe then the bloud of the inhabitants. What plenty of all things? what liberty of the Gospel? notwithstanding, our many prouocations of the eyes of his glorie by our crying sinnes? Come to our personall kindnesses that we have all tasted, and that long suffering and forbearance the Lord hath shewed vs; what a course of sinning ranne we along in before our calling? walking according to the course of the world, and after the Prince that ruleth in the Ayre, even the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience's. And who of vs can say, that the Lord hath been hastie? or extreame to marke, or punish our doings amisse? Now it were well with vs if the Lords rich bounty and forbearance had had that vse in vs, for which hee hath youch safed it vnto vs: see we what it is.

The end of it is (though prophane men through wilfull ignorance miltake it) to leade vs to repentance, and amendment of life to not as Epicures thinke, as if the Lord regarded not the euils done vpon earth u, or liked their wickednes w: or had forgotten the truth of his promise, as those mockers dreame x: but hereby to give space of repentance y: and to allure to amendment of life a: as a kinde sather deales with an vngracious childe; often admonishing, sometimes scasonablie correcting, sometimes vsing satherly conniuence, neuer neglecting supply of necessaries; yea, bountifully providing

s Ephes.2.2.

t 2 Pet 3.9.

u Pfal.33.11.

vv Pfal.50.21.

x 2 Pet.3.4

y Apoc.2.21.

^{2.} Pet.3.9.

prouiding, and bestowing all fauours, in hope at length by kindnesse to ouercome, and make the heart melt for disobedience; with such cords of loue, doth, our heauenly father draw vs: Hos. 11.4.

Use 1.

b Gen. 39.8.9.

c Iude 4.

d Rom. 12.1.

e 1 Pet.1.18.

f Tit.2.14.

Vse 2.

g Col.3.13.

And happie wee, if wee thus vse Gods bounty, and suffer our selves to be lead to repentance. Ioseph could make this vse of his masters bountieb; my master hath dealt thus kindly with me, committed all things to my trust, advanced me to the highest dignitie, and a place of command in his family, kept nothing from me but thy self, his wife, how then can I committhis great wickednesse? &c. why doe not wee reason thus from the rich kindnesse, and superabundant bountie of our gracious God? and furely thus I presume Gods children reason. But to see the frowardnesse of prophane worldlings, in turning the graces of God into wantonnesse: how often heare we this Apologie returned, when all other defences faile? God is mercifull; Christ dyed for vs,&c.But what? because God is mercifull, wilt thou be sinfull; because Christ died for thee, wilt thou by prophaneneile crucifie him afresh? and tread vnder thy foote the bloud of the Testament, whereby thou wast fanctified? Oh, saith the Apostle, I beseech thee by the mercies of God, give vp thy body a living facrifice, holy,acceptable vnto God^d,& confider, that Christ dyed to redeeme thee from thy vaine conversation e: and gaue himselfe for thee, to purge thee, to be of his peculiar people, zealous of goodworkes f: and remember, what the prouerbe hath; Patientia lesaste foror: there is no wrath so justly, or furiouslie outragious, as what riseth from patience long and obstinately abused.

Now something else we may learne, from the riches of Gods patience, and long suffering: and that is, as good children, to tread in the steps of our heavenly father g: and as our selves taste of Gods goodnesse to:

wards

wards vs, so to practise, the like towards our brethren: such effect hath the experimentall and feeling know-ledge of God in all his; themselves are transformed into the same image of GOD, from glorie to glorie: therefore see how the Apostle vrgeth this to a Minister h; to be are and tolerate the euill men: proving if God at any time, (marke that, at any time) will give them repentance; and to all Christians, to be are each others burthen: Beloved that hot-spur spirit, of calling for fire from heaven for everie disobedience, weeknow how it was reproved k: and let vs ever have an eye to the rich patience of our gracious God, provoked by vs everieday; learne to tolerate the froward infirmities of our ignorant brethren with meekenes: instructing, rather then by rashnes of zeal rejecting them.

Now followeth the issue of this patience and bountie of the Lord contemned; thou after the hardnesse and heart that cannot repent treasurest to thy selfe, coc.

.The fruit and issue of this contemning Gods goodnesse, and refusall to be lead thereby to repentance, is double; and the very thought thereof dreadfull.

First, in that hereby hardnes ouer-growes the heart, and casts it into an indisposition, and almost an impossibilitie of repenting: wo full experience of many at this day shewes it 1: and this befals vs partly through a naturall effect that sinne, especially custome of sinne hath: and it is well observed by Divines both Popish and Protestant, that everie sinne hath init, not onely anomie and guilt, but brings with it maculam, a spot: that is, not onely a blemish to the soule, but as some interpret, a stronger inclination to the practise of the same sinne, then was before in the soule: vse of swearing makes swearing habituall, and every new oath is as oyle to the tongue, makes it more glib in the fleet vtaterance of prophane oathes, and custome is turned into

h 2 Tim.2.24.;

i Gal.6.2.

k Luke 9.54.

Verse 5.

Obser.

1 Ephel.4.19.

into an other nature: Ier. 13.23. partly, through spirituall descrition, GOD is thereby prouoked to depriue vs of his grace, and gives vs vp to the power of Sathan, and swinge of our owne lusts. Rom. 1. 24. Ezech. 24.13.

U/e 1.

Now brethren, I would to God these desperate daliers with the Lords rich mercy had grace to lay this to heart those I meane that throw off all exhortations to present repentance, with this, time enough yet, and repent when we are olde, and that divelish proverbe, young Saints, olde diuels: a people rife in all places, who as though they had repentance in a string, and could performeit when please them, put off from day to day, age to age, till at length their case growes desperate; of youth they say, it must have his swinge: when manage comes, marriage will bring staiednesse, &c. so still delaying from youth to man-age, from man-age to marriage, from marriage to oldeage, from old age to dotage, from dotage to death, and from death to the Diuell; that is the end of most of them: In bodily diseases we are wiser, and euer hold speediest cures safest, because by experience we see inueterate diseases, having gotten into the habite of the bodie, are seldome or neuer remoued: how are weenot as wife for our foule, feeing as frequent experience hath taught, a blackemore may as soone change his skinne, and a leopard his spots, any thing throw off his nature, as a sinner accustomed to do euill, can learne to do well m? marke how continuance in sinne hardens the heart, hardnesse brings indisposition to repentance; God iustly leaving them to perish in their filthinesse, that have neglected the season of his gracious visitation; that one place, Ezech. 24.13. reade often and diligently, and know whosemouth bath spoken it, that no deceitfulnesse of sinne bewitch thee.

m Ier. 13.23.

The

n Heb.3. 13.

° Exod.23.4.5.

P 1 Cor. 9.9.10.

9 Iam.5.19 20.

r Gen.4.9.

s 1 Reg. 20.36.

t Heb. 3. 13.

The Apostle vpon this ground aduiseth, that wee should every of vs, in a brotherly care each of other. dayly exhort one another, and while it is called to day, least any of vs be hardened through the deceitfulnesse of sinne n: and though it be true, that ordinate charitie begins at our selves, yet shall it be inordinate selfeloue, so to regard our owne, as to neglect our brothers saluation: It was the Lords charge to his people, that they should help euen an enemies Asse lying vnder his burthen, and reduce his Oxe or Asse going astrayo: Hath God care of Oxen? or faith hee, it not altogether for our fakes P? furely hee that would have vs bring back an Oxe from going aftray, would hee not much more have vs turne our brethren from going aftray, and deliuer their soules from death 9? and if an Asse, ouerlade with his burthen, must be succoured, much more our brethren pressed with the burthen of their sinnes. It was a prophane speech of Cayn; Am I my brothers keeper ? furely the Lord hath made vs every one keepers, and guardians each of other: perhaps also with that fearefull memento's, that our life shall goe for their lives, if through our default they be lost:see Paules reasont, why this must be done dayly, and while it is called to day, least, &c. Proceede wee now to the second fruit and issue of

Proceede wee now to the lecond fruit and issue of such delayes, and abusing of Gods grace to wantonnes, then treasurest up to thy selfe wrath, &c. The speech is metaphoricall, and may thus be unfoulded; that as it is the course of the world, to lay up for time to come that wealth whereof they have no present us; and still to be adding to their heape as meanes of increase are offred; so doth the man that abuseth Gods bountie and rich patience, by adding to his sinnes, adde to his owne vengeance, whereof though hee seele not the present smart, yet afterward he hath the sull mea-

fure thereof powred downe vpon him: if not in this life, yet in that day of wrath, and declaration of the just judgement of God; vnderstand this not of a sinners intention, but of the euent.

Obser.

Here then see a second m schiefe, issuing and ensuing upon contempt of Gods bountie, and increase of our linnes; what brings it, but a heauler waight of vengeance vpon our selues, when the Lord begins to enter into judgement with vs? The heathen man excellently expressed this, Lento gradu ad vindictum sui, &r. Gods wrath comes flowly to inflict vengeance, but makes amends for delay, with the waight of vengeance: flow hee is to wrath, but when hee comes hee payes home: wee foolishly flatter our selues in our wickednelle, because the Lord doth not presently strike vs; when all this while, the Lord is but fetching his blow, and the higher heelifts; the heavier it lights. The Prophet David hath excellently expressed this dealing of the Lord, in delaying his vengeance u: where he compares the Lord to a man of Warre that meaning to make his sword drunke with the bloud of his enemies, takes sometime to scoure vp his sword, and to sharpen both edge and point, that it may pierce the better; to an Archer, that before hee goes out to strike his pray, first bends his bowe, makes readie his arrowes, takes time to fit them to the string, and when he meanes not to mille his marke, holds vp long to the leuill, drawes farre, where hee meanes to pierce deepe: lo is the Lord, while we thinke him negligent, but whetting his fword, that hee may wound the deeper stands long at leuill because herwill certainely hit. and not miffe; drawes farre, even to the head, that his arrowes may pierce, even through the thickest skinne & callum of the foule: many such like comparisons the scripture is full of, euidencing the point now in hand; that

"Pfal.7,12,13.

that though the Lord delay, yet he neglects not; and therefore delayes, that when he strikes, hee may pay home.

Oh consider this you that forget GOD, least hee teare you in peeces, and there be none to deliuer you'v: know, the long suffering of God brings saluation x, if thou have grace to be led thereby to repentance; or otherwise heavier damnation, if thou abuse it to impenitencie. Neuer did peopletaste more of Gods patience, and mercifull forbearance, then that of Israel: neuer any dranke deeper of the cup of his indignation: witnesse those irreparable ruines of their Citie and Temple, and that horrible blindnesse whereinto they are justly plunged: examples are infinite in this kind, and would God the desperate amongst vs had grace to considerit: But to heare the wretched resolutenes of hopelelse men, whose heart rules not? all is but damnation; damned, they know they shall be, and as good take pleasure here, &c. Now had they eyes to see that which I perswade my selfe their hearts are sometimes affrighted to heare, what this is to be damned: could they thus speake, or practise? to be separate from God, and his Christ for euer, to be cast out to the diuell, and his Angels for euer, &c. Is this of so sleight regard? Againe, though it be true that damnation belongs to euerie impenitent sinner, yet are there degrees of torment in hell, proportioned to the number and meafure of transgressions here committed y: and if they will needs to hell, whether the Lord will or no 2, yet let them labour to abate something of the waight, and horrour of their vnspeakeable torments. The time of this vengeance followeth.

In the day of wrath.] Where might be observed, that what sinnes escape here vnpunished, shall at that day

receiue their full vengeance.

O 3

And

Vse. vv Psal.50.22. x 2 Pet.3.15.

y Luk. 12.47.

² Ezech. 18.31

Obser.

Vse. a Psal.37.1.2

Eccl. 8.12.13.

Obser.

6 Luke 21.28.

Use.

c Mat.7.22. Luk. 13.25.26. Mat.25.11.12. And let it stay vs from doubting of Gods care of things here done^a, in respect of his long patience shewed on the wicked: though a sinner doe euill an hundred times, and GOD prolongs his dayes; yet shall a day come when anguish and sorrow shall oppresse them that have not seared before the Lord.

But further here note the Epithetes or titles given to that great day of the Lords iudgement; it is called first, a day of wrath: first, in respect of the wicked, to whom indeede it will proue a blacke day, and a day of terrour, though to Gods children it be a day of redemption b: secondly, in opposition to the time of this life, that is indeed a time of grace, and a day of saluation, wherein the Lord offers mercie and saluation to all those in the church, that will accept it, leaving no place for grace, though sought with teares, when once this season is ouer-slipt.

And let it teach vs, whiles the time of grace lasts, to labour for reconciliation with God; and now while the Lord will be entreated, to supplicate vnto our judge: reading the places to this purpose c. Mee thinkes I see the behaviour, and fruitlesse importunitie of these delpifers of Gods grace in these dayes of mercie; running, like men distraught, to the mountaines to fall on them, and the hilles to couer them, from the presence of the lambe, and him that sits vpon the Throne; begging and yelling with the voice of dragons for mercie, mercie, Lord, Lord, open vntovs, to a judge in that day iustly inexorable, I say againe, iustly inexorable, as whose many louing invitations by temporal mercies, earnest beseechings by his ministers to accept reconcilement, often knockings at the doore of their conscience by his spirit for entrance, they have so scornefully and proudly rejected. Oh that men would consider, that one teare or figh of a penitent heart, might here

proceede wee in the next verse.

Verse 6. Who will reward every man according to bis workes.

Connexion.

E hath immediately before, stilled the day of judgement, a day of declaration of Gods sust indgement, vianiea. Now here heeshewes a reason of that Epithete, given to that day of reckoning; and withall more then intimates, how

the Lord shall then declare the equitie of his judgement, here patfed in our consciences, that is, by rewarding euery man according to his workes, as the best euidences of faith or infidelitie; (as if he should fay) and if ye demand how the Lord shall evidence the equitie of his fecret proceedings, I answere; by rewarding enery man according to his workes: which generall is farther amplified by the specials . ver. 7.8.9.10.

In the words observe these particulars, serving to euidence the iustice of Gods iudgement : first, his fact, he shall reward; secondly, the object, every man; thirdly, the rule, according to his workes; fourthly, the explana-

tion of this generall by particulars, verse 7.&c.

Shall render or reward. The viual collection of Papists from this place, and the like is; that therefore good workes of men justified and in grace, are meritorious causes of eternall life: reason because Paul tellisieth, that G Q D shall reward, and that according to workes Here therefore fitly offers it selfe to be discusfed, that large controversie of the merit of good workes: in handling whereof, this shall be the order: first, the state of the controuers shall be explaned; secondly, the truth proued; thirdly, the contrarie arguments answered.

The

The question then is this: whether the good works of Gods children, done in grace, doe truely merit at Gods hand everlasting saluation? The summe of the aduersaries opinion is this, as the Councill of Trent hath expressed it e, that the goodworkes of a man justified, are so the gifts of God that they are withall, the good merits of the iustified man; and that a man justified by the goodworkes done by him, through the grace of God, and merit of Christ, doth truely merit or deserve increase of grace, life eternall, and the attainement of eternall life (if so be he die in grace) as also encrease of glorie: our judgement is this, that howsocuer good workes done in grace, are acceptable vnto God through Iesus Christ f: and shall be by him rewarded g: yet that acceptance, and reward is not deferued by our workes, nor is there any worth or value in the best of our workes, for which wee may challenge eternall life; no nor any accesse of glorie at the hands of GOD: and this wee proue in this man. ner.

First, for that the scripture teacheth eueric where, eternall life to be a free gift of grace h: and therefore not a reward due to the dignitie of our workes: for it is truely said, and agreeablie to the scripture i: non ist quo gratia intret, volvium meritum occupanit: there is no place for grace to enter, where merit pleades possession. To this argument Bellarmine, and the Rhemists in Rom. 6. 23. thus answere, that eternall life is called grace, not because it is not the reward of merit, but for that we have the merits themselves of grace. How fondly? for first, weigh the antithesis, how is death called the wages or stipend of sinne? is it not because it is given as a reward due to the merit of sinne? and how shall the Antithesis intended hold? except we say, that eternall life is given, not as wages due to the me-

e Ses.6.Can.32.

f 1 Pet. 2.5. g Mat. 10.41.42

h Rom.6.23.

i Rom. 11.6.

Lib.5. de iustif.cap.5. rit of fanctification, but as a gift given freely without the defert of our workes? secondly, I demand is life eternall, therefore onely called a free gift, for that the workes to which it is given, are from grace? and not rather because, as the workes, so the reward both come from free grace? for that wee doe good workes, wee haue it from grace; and that these workes, done in grace, haue a reward, it is also of the grace of the prouiser: thirdly, if good workes be from grace, as the sole cause, then must the reward needs be of grace meerely.

Our second reason, is from the requisite conditions of meritorious good workes, which are these: first, a proportion betwixt the person meriting, and the perfon of him, at whose hands we can merit; and in deed what obligation can there be wrought, by the worke of a creature, to binde the Creator to recompence? and it is truely said, that the obedience of Christ himfelfe, could not have merited at the hands of God, had hee not beene; in respect of his Divine person equal vnto his Father k, and howfoeuer, if we had stoode in state of innocencie, and obedience, eternall glorie should have beene recompensed vnto vs, yet could it not have been challenged, as due by merit, but as by Couenant and promise freely made by God.

k Heb. 9.14. I Ioh. 1.7.

Secondly, that they be done by persons free from

finne, Heb. 7.26.27.

Thirdly, that they be our owne, wrought by our owne strength, not by power ministred by him that rewardeth.

Fourthly, that they be more then dutic, Luke 17. 9.10.

Fiftly, that they be profitable vnto God. Sixtly, that they be perfect. Gal. 3.10.

Seventhly, primo equabilio, that they be in some

fort

fort proportionable vnto the reward.

Now then, first sith we are creatures; secondly, sinfull creatures 1; thirdly, our workes not ours, but Godsm; fourthly, due debt, not supererogatedn; fiftly, stained with imperfections o; fixtly, no way beneficiall vnto Godp; seauenthly, no way comparable vnto the glorie that shall be reuealed q, how shall wee fay that they are, or can be meritorious, that is, such as by any value, or worth of them should binde the Lord to recompence them? especially with such a transcendent excellencie, and waight of glorie, as is that of the kingdome of heaven? I might be infinite in this kinde, but abstaine purposely from prolixitie. Heare we now the reasons brought by our adversaries to stablish the me-

rit of good workes, done in grace.

The Text now in hand, is as oft, as any alleadged; whence they must thus reason; if GOD shall reward euery man according to his workes, giuing to those which continue in well doing, eternall life, verse 7. then are good workes meritorious of eternall life; but the first is true, therefore the second also. Ans. The consequence is not good; for though GOD give eternall life, to those that continue in well doing, yet followes it not, that well doing deserues eternall life: neither doth this place intimate the cause for which eternall life is given, but the qualification of the persons that shall have admittance into eternall life; which breefe answere well weighed, brings much light to the controuersie; for indeed the question is not, whether men continuing in well doing shall have eternall life; which we grant, but whether such as are saued, are saued for the merit of their well doing. And in this whole question, there is a double enquirie to be attended: first, of the subject or persons, to whom eternall life is giuen; as also of their qualification; to which the anfwere

1 Tam.4.1. 1 Ioh.1.10. m Phil. 2.1 3. I Cor.4.7. n Luke 17.10. o Gal.5.17. P Psal 16.2. Rom 11.35. Iob.22.2.& 35. 7.

9 Rom.8.18.

2 Cor.4.17.

fwere is that it is given to fuch as by faith are vnited vnto Christ, and bring forth the fruits of faith, holy obedience: secondly, of the cause for which it is given; to which the scripture neuer makes other answere thenthis, for the merits and obedience of Iesus Christ apprehended by faith. Workes are Regula retributionis, non causa mercedis: and if in any place, there be any thing said to the contrarie, it is spoken according to the tenour of the legall couenant; not after that couenant of grace which is made with vs in the Gospell; where the condition is altered: for whereas the Couenant of workes runnes thus; doe this and line; the Couenant of grace hath this condition, beleeve and thou shalt be saued: Instance. But in the giving of eternall life, according to the Couenant of the Gospell, Saluation is said to be given according to workes; as here. Ans. True, according to workes as testimonies of Faith, not for workes as causes of saluation; and in a word, that we may see this answere to fit this place, consider the drift of the Apostle, in alleadging this prouerbiall sentence, as I may terme it: hee had called the day of iudgement, a day of declaration of the just judgement of God; and in these words renders a reason of that appellation; and shewes withall, how the Lord shall declare the equitie of his proceedings, in accepting some to faluation, rejecting others to damnation: the Lord shall declare his instice and equitic hereby; by giving to every man according to his workes, as the best meanes to declare the truth of faith, hidden in the heart, and the certainest euidences of infidelitie lurking in hypocrites.

But let vs heare what they object further, against this exposition, labouring to proue, that saluation is given according to workes, not onely as testimonies of Faith, but as causes of eternal life. Object. The scrip-

ture

ture testifieth that the heavenly reward is given according to the measure and proportion of workes and labour; therefore good workes deserve everlasting saluation: and eternall life is given not onely according to workes as testimonies, and fruits of faith, but for workes as causes of saluation. Ans. To omit that the places alleadged to this purpole, are for the most part impertinent to the purpose, I answere, that the scripture, where it speakes of proportioning glorie to our labour, meanes it not of the lubstance of eternall life simply : how then? An/. Themselves have a distinction fit for this purpose; for thus they distinguish of cternall glorie, that it is eyther effentiall, or accidentall; the first they call Auream, the crowne; the second Anreolum the crownet: that is, an emminence of gloric greater then other, according to the excellencie, and measure of their workes.

Now for the substance of eternal happinesse it comes vnto vs as an inheritance, and by right of Adoption in Christ, or if by purchase, rather Christ then ours: but for the measure of gloricit is indeed proportioned to the measure of sanctification, and obedience here performed; yet not so, as if the best measure or degree of glorie, were deserved by the greatest measure of obedience 5: but onely for the promile and bounty of our heavenly Father; and our Divines in this controversie, to shew that this proportion of glorie, ariseth not from any proportionable worth of our labours, vie this similitude: as if some wealthy king, should out of his bountieto some choise of his subjects, whom in his loue he meaneth to aduance aboue others, propound ten seuerall prises, the least of them equalling the value of a kingdome; on these tearmes, that he that comes first to the goale, should have the greatest, he that seconds him the second, and so in order; the

r Mat.25.34. Rom.8.19. 1 Pet.1.5.

. s.Rom.8.18.

race

race being ended, the seuerall rewards are, and may be said to be given according to their running, and proportion of speed therein, and yet it were fondly concluded, that they by their running deserve such rewards, &c. so the application is easie.

Obiettion.

But yet they object on this manner; if good workes be in the day of retribution respected onely as testimonies of faith, not as causes meritorious of saluation, then must it follow, that sinnes also are regarded onely as signes of infidelitie, not as causes of damnation, but the Apostle, in this and the like scriptures, alleadgeth finnes, not only as signes of infidelitie, but as effectuall causes of damnation, Ergo, &c. Ans. Some Divines graunt the consequence, and deny the assumption, yeelding, that in these scriptures no more is affirmed, then that euill workes are the rule, not the cause of damnation: not but that fins are also causes effectuall of damnation, as otherscriptures teach plentifully, but that this is all, that these scriptures affirme; yea & some haue gone so farre, (prestent ipsi) as to say, that infidelitie onely damnes; which they thus explane, desiring to be vnderstood, as speaking of the event, not of the naturall defert of other sinnes.

And as they explane themselues, their words have some truth, though perhaps impertinent to the argument in hand; for it is true, that there is no sinne, in the euent, seuering from saluation but what is not repented of, and for pardon whereof, the committer hath not apprehended satisfaction in Christ; it being the Lords promise, that the sinnes of a beleeuing penitent, shall be all pardonable vnto him. But secondly, I answere, by denying the sequell; and that, partly in respect of the great desparitie between the two; partly also by explanation of the Apostle; good works are respected onely as testimonies of faith, not as causes deserving

faluation:

saluation: because indeed they are signes of faith, and by reason of their imperfection, can be no meriting causes of saluation; euill workes are regarded both as fignes of infidelitic, and as causes of damnation; having in them a nature to deserve endlesse torment, being committed against an endlesse maiestie: And this is the same, that the Apostle teacheth, Rom. 6.21.22.23. where having proposed the contrarie issues of sinne, and Gods seruice; of sinne, shame and death; of obedience, holinesse and saluation: verse 23. as it were purposely to cut off all such reasonings from paritie, thus concludes; the wages of sinne is death: but eternall life is the gift of God: as if hee should say, though it be true, that death followes sinne, and life holinesse, yet follow they not from like principles: for death followes sinne, as a deserved stipend; life holinesse as a free gift of God, in respect of his promise; and of the first objection thus farre: in the rest I will be more breefe.

Their second reason is drawne from those places, where eternall life is called a reward : Ans. First, that it is so called not properly, but by Analogie: because as wages followes worke; so life eternall holinesse: hence called the ende properlie : therefore also the terme sometimes put to signific any free blessing given of bounty, without respect to merit w: secondly, therefore the Apostle makes a double kinde of reward; one properlies called, another analogical x, besides that the place cited, speakes rather of accidentall, then of effentiall gloric.

Their third reason, from those scriptures that testifie life eternall to be so given to good workes, that the workes are said to be the reason why eternall life is given, as Mat. 25.34.35.

Ans. Who knowes not but these conjunctions (for)

t Mat.5.11. 12.

и т Ре.. 5.

w Pfal, 127.

x Rom. 44.

(for) &c. serue to intimate any argument, as well as the cause: Ex. If I should thus say, Sommer is neere, for the figgetree sprowteth: the tree is good, for it beares good fruit; who sees not but my (for) shewes rather the effect, then the cause? secondly, these words seeme to be a reason, not so much of their admission, as of the cause of their admission into life eternall: the kingdome is prepared for you; for you have shewen by workes, that you were chosen to life: to that which is objected from paritie of Reason, in the first contrarie, see the answere to the first objection.

Fourthreason, from those places where reward is said to be rendered to good works of iustice, as 2 Thes.

1.7.2 Tim.4.8.

Ans. Iustice of God sometimes signifies the truth of God, and his fidelitie in performing promise y: and so in iustice, that is, in respect of the promise of God, and his truth therein, reward is rendered to our labours: and if in any other scripture saluation is said to be given as of iustice, it hath relation to the merit of Christ, not to any desert of our owne.

Fift reason, from these scriptures wherein is mention made of our worthines, 2 These. 1.5. Luk. 20.35. Apoc. 3.4.

of any worthinesse of vs before God, by reason of our workes; but how worthy? first, by acceptation in respect of Christs worthinesse imputed vnto vs: secondly, comparatively vnto men, that have neglected holinesse, and withdrawne themselves from the crosse; other reasons will be more fitly answered in their severall places, and of this question thus farre: other particulars of this verse will be best explaned in the explication annexed by the Apostle.

y Rom. 3.3.5. 1 Ioh. 1.19. Verse 7. That is, to them which through patience in well doing seeke glorie, and honour, and immortalitic, eternall life.

Verse 8. But unto them that are contentious and disobey the truth, and obey unrighteousnes, shall

be indignation and wrath.

Verse 9. Tribulation and anguish shall be upon the soule of enery man that doeth entill: of the sew first, and also of the Grecian.

Verse 10. But to every man that doth good, shall be glorie, and honour, and peace, to the Iew first,

and also to the Grecian.



N these verses the Apostle drawes out into particulars, that which hee hath summarily set downe in the former. Now whether these sentences be legall, or euangelicall it matters not much to enquire; for rightly explaned, they

haue their truth in the Gospel; their drift is to proue by particulars, the generall before laid downe: God shall giue euery man according to the qualitie of his workes continuers in well doing, shall have life eternall, men obstinate in euill doing, sudignation and wrath. Hence might be observed, what before was touched, that the rule of recompence are the workes of men; they are Kegula, though in good workes, not causa, yt supra: Reason also was before given; because the end of the last judgement, being to justifie vnto the very wicked, both men and Angels, the equitie of Gods secret judgement, workes seeme the best outward evidences of faith, and insidelitie.

Verse 7.

To them which by continuance, &c.] Wherein are two things: first, how they are qualified that are admitted to life eternall: they are such as continue, &c. secondly, the blessed issue of a manthus qualified; life eternall.

Obser.

Pfal.15.

Heb.5.9.

z Heb. 12.14.

Now whereas the heyres of life eternall must thus be qualified, wee may collect, the necessitie of good workes to the attainment of faluation, such and so absolute, as who so wants them shall never be saued z: vnderstandit of growen men, that have opportunitie: and thus farre Papilts and wee agree: the question betweene vs, is, how they are necessarie; whether necessitate cause, or necessitate presentia, that is, whether as causes procuring by their merit saluation, or as antecedents onely, and as it were dispositions in the subject, which if they were absent, life eternall should not be giuen. The former is that which Papilts defend, and wee haue before confuted: the latter we acknowledge, God having required them, first, as testimonies of thankefulnes, for the grace of redemption *: secondly, as euidences of faith, to the stopping of all saunderous mouthes b: thirdly, as meanes to draw others to Christ, by our vertuous example c: in a word, according to that olde saying of Bernard, as viaregns, not as causaregnandi: as the way to the kingdome, not as causes of raigning.

² Luke 1.47. 6 Ifay 2.3. c I Pet.2.12. & 3.1.2.

U/e 1.

The more to blame are Papilts, so fallely to slander vs and our doctrine, as enemies of good workes, which wee euer call for in the life of a Christian, though we exclude them from power of iultifying: yea, and as our Sauiour, fo we teach, that except our righteousnesse exceed the righteousnesse of the Scribes and Pharisees, our good workes the workes of Papifts; wee shall neuer eater into the kingdome of heauen: and is this a doctrine tending to liberty? or enemie to good works?

yes, (fay they) for except men be perswaded, that they shall by their good workes deserve heaven, they will neuer be drawne to good workes. No? is it not a fufficient spurre to obedience, that God hath so freely chosen vs to saluation, redeemed vs by his sons bloud, promised, a glorious recompence to be given of grace, except they may also merit it at the hands of God? such mercenarie obedience beseemes flaues, not sonnes; such thoughts of pride, what shew they but a loathnes to be beholding to the Lord for saluation? as if they would

none but what they pay for.

Come wee now from their saunders, to our peoples negligence; whose hypocrisie hath brought that staine of solifidians, vpon our doctrine of justification by faith onely: a company of fuch hypocrites in the Church of GOD: and what maruell? (when Saul also was amongst the Prophets, and Indas numbred with the twelue?) that professing to know GOD, and beleeue in Iesus Christ, in their workes denie him; so abhominable, disobedient, and to euerie good worke reprobate d; faith onely iustifies, what neede good workes? furely to iustifie thee they are needeleffe, and fruitleffe; but to approve thy instification to thy selfe and others, good workes are needfull e: if a man say he hath faith, and hath no workes, can that faith faue him? Consider the Saints of God, and see whether faith that iustified them before God, was not fruitfull also by good workes amongst men f: Abrahams faith, was it not a working faith? Rahabs faith, was it not a working faith? and furely, what ever men talk of their good faith to God, if thy want good workes of obedience to God, and loue to men, they confute by their life, what they profetle with their tongue.

And therefore, let vs all that have by grace receiued to beleeue in GOD; be carefull to shew forth

good

V/e 2.

d Tit, 1.16.

e Iam. 2. 14.

f Gal. 5.6.

U/e 3.

5 Tit. 2.8. 14.

h Gal. 5.6.

i 2 Pet.1.10. k Tit.2.10. 11 Pet.2.13.

Obser.

m Apoc.

V/e.

n Hol.6.4. 9 2 Pet.2. 10.

Obser.

P Heb. 11.25. 26.

2 Cor.4.18.

V/e.

good workes; that wee be not vnfruitfull g: It is an holy truth, that fire and water may as well agree, as faith and workes concurre in the act of iustifying; and as easily may heate be seuered from fire, or light from the sunne, as good workes from faith in the life of him that is iustified h. Their vses might at large be showne, but that I see still the volume growes greater, then mine opinion: they seruc to alfure vs of our election, and calling i: secondly, to adorne the doctrine of our GOD and Sauiour k: thirdly, to stop the blasphemous mouthes of the faithlesse 1: fourthly, to winne euen aliens to the truth, &c.

I might here also note the necessitie of perseuerance in holy obedience; yea, though perfecutions arise, to this end that wee may enjoy saluation; how often is that iterated by our Sauiour? hee that continues to the end, it is hee that shall be saued; againe, be faithfull unto death, and I will give thee the crowne of life m: and if any man withdraw himselfe, my soule shall have no pleasure in him, Heb. 10.38.

And would to GOD those epipuseon, diary demy Christians, whose goodnesse is dissipate as soone as euer the sunne beholds it, would conscionablie remember it n: to desist from good beginnings, is farre worse then neuer to haue begunne o: they loose what they wrought; and what euer they have done, is invaine vnto them, Gal. 3.4.

Seeke glorie and honour.] So then the observation hence is easie, that it is lawfull in obedience to have respect to the ende of obedience, as a motive stirring vp to all holy duties P: neither is it for nothing, that it pleaseth the Lord to propound it in the promise, to the end to quicken our dulnes, &c.

And it is but a slanderous cauil that Papists haue

against

against vs, when they affirme vs to teach, that it is vnlawfull for vs to worke intuit u mercedis: this indeede wee teach, that our principall end and motive, ought not to be the reward, but Gods glorie. Reasons, for this were to subordinate the Creator to the creature, and to seeke to our selves: secondly, that though there were no reward, or happinesse promised, yet ought wee to performe dueties enjoyned: for the principall motives to all obedience, ought to be: first conscience of dutie: secondly, loue of Gods maiesty: third-

ly, zeale of his glorie.

Eternall life, glorie, honour, peace, &c. This is the issue, and end of obedience 9: of which blessed issue to speake as it deserves, what tongue of men or Angels is able ?? a little part of it here obtained, how patfeth it all vnderstanding s? and vvho can veter the sweetnesse of that peace of conscience, and spirituall reioycing in GOD, which himselfe hath tasted? but sure, if the beginning be so sweet, what shall the fulnesse be? What delight is it to a Christian soule, in this Tabernacle of the bodie, but even, as in a glasse, to behold the face of GOD manifested in Iefus Christ? how glads it a child of GOD, when hee can but in the least measure, maister his corruptions? and how joyfull, when hee hath occasion to manifest the sinceritie of his affectionate love to his maker and redeemer? &c. which graces when they shall be perfected, and wee freed from all grieuances inward and outward, what accelfe (imagine wee) shall come to our happinelle? note what the Scripture speakes to set out the excellencie of this happie estate; first, by perfection: secondly, varietie: thirdly, perpetuitie of all good things: fourthly, by absence of all things that can in the least measure impeach our happinelle.

See Bernard de diligendo Deo. cap. 3.

Verse 7.10.

9 Rom. 6.22

r I Cor.2.

5 Phil.4.7.

Where

Vse I.

t Mat.3.14.

V/e 2.

Where are they then that cry out, it is in vaine to serue God, and unprofitable to keepe his commandements? Oh, had they ever but tasted the sweet comforts that are in the very workes of religion, and that heaven upon earth, the scass of a good conscience, could they thus speake? or if the Lord vould set them as hee did Moses, upon some Neboth, to behold but the sace of the heavenly Canaan, how would it ravish their thoughts and affections; and make them say there were no life to the life of a Christian, that here hath the first fruits, and upon certaine evidences, expecteth the sull harvest of happinesse.

And let it be our comfort amidst all the discouragements of this life; that wee know, that vuhen once the earthly tabernacle of this bodie is dissoluted, wee haue a building of God, not made with hands, but

eternall in the heavens, 2 Cor.5.1.

Versc

Verse 8. But unto them that are contentious and disobey the truth, and obey unrighteousnes, shall be indignation and wrath.

Verse 9. Tribulation and anguish shall be upon the soule of enery man that doeth exill: of the sew first, and also of the Grecian.

Verse 10. But to enery man that doth good, shall be glorie, and honour, and peace, to the lew first, and also to the Grecian.



Ee we now the contrarie qualitie, and issue of an obstinate sinner; his description is first to be considered, then his usue.

They are fet out by four properties: first, contentious: secondly, dif-

obaying the truth: thirdly, obaying vnrighteousnelse, fourthly, working euill, and exercising it as it were a trade.

Now contentions they are called especially in respect of their vaine iangling against Gods truth, as vnwilling to let the light therofshine to their hearts^v: and this humour of wrangling, this spirit of contradiction, ariseth, first, partly from that naturall enmitie that is betwixt carnall wisedome, and that of Gods spirit w: secondly, partly from vaine glorie x: whiles they hold it a point of wit, to be able to reason against the plainest truthes: and thirdly, from an euill conscience, desirous to maintaine peace in cuill, which knowledge and yeelding to the truth cannot but disturbe, Ioh. 3.20.

Now if there be any truth so clearely euidenced, that they cannot but acknowledge it, yet in this second they

Obser.

u 2 Tim.3.8.

vv Rom.8.7. × Phil.2.3. 7 Iude 10.

² 2 Cor.4.4. ² Ephel.2 2.

b 2 Pet. 2.19. c Rom. 6.12.

d Ephel.4.19. 2 Pet.2.14. Pro.4.16. Ifay 5. 11. Ier.6.7.

e Iam.3.17. f Isay 52.14.

8 2 Cor.10.5.

h Rom.6.12. & 7.23. they shew their rotten harts: they yeeld no obedience thereto y: and this is the diuels policie, if hee cannot blind the eyes of the vnderstanding, that the light of the glorious Gospell of Iesus Christmay not shine vnto them z, if that succeede not, yet labours he to keep in wilfull disobedience a: but which yet increaseth their wickednesse, they not onely withhold obedience from the truth, but willingly yeeld obedience to vnrighteousnesses : seruants of corruption c: obay sinne in the lust thereof; sinne sitting in their hearts, as the Centurion in his house, bidding one goe, and hee runnes; another come and he comes.

To which adde the last, and you shall have a wicked carnall man in his coulours: he is ordinarie in the committing of sinne, that is his trade d: as the fountaine calteth out her waters, so they their malice: how euident those notes of vnreformed, and damnable sinners are amongst vs, it were long to speake, and is doleful to consider. This onely letall such wrangling, rebellious workers of wickednesse consider, their present estate and future miserie; that if it be possible, they may rid themselues out of the snare of the diuell, of whom they are taken, to doe his wil; furely, faith Iames the wisedome that is from about is township e, and as it is faid f, that Kings should not open their mouths against Christ, so is it true of all Gods children, they labour to captivate everiethought to the obedience of Christs, and though they faile in many particulars through frailtie, yet this they can say; sinne raignes not in them h; neither walke they after the flesh, but after the spirit, Rom. 8. 1.

Well, seewe secondly the end, issue, and just recom-

pence of such obstinate sinners.

To such shall be indignation, and wrath, tribulation and anguish.

Note

Note here how thicke the Apostle lets come on with vengeance against such sinners; not content with once naming, or denouncing Gods wrath, hee iterates it in a Rhetoricall exaggeration; reade the Text; compare also, Psal. 11.6. Mar. 9. 43.45. such changes and armies of sorrowes hath the Lord prouided for all the vingodly. Reasons thereof seems these, first, to teach that no sinne is little: secondly, to rowse vs to consideration of our naturall miserie, and to melt our hearts is thirdly, that wee might hunger and thirst after reconciliation by Christ's fourthly, to deter vs from practice of sinne.

Now hence let vs learne, rightly to poyle the waight of our sinnes, in the balance of the sanctuarie: and by the fearefull iffue and afterclaps that they bring, judge how hay nous they are in the fight of GOD: can wee thinke them little, that prouoke the fierie, and furious wrath of the Lord? or that cast vs into such intolerable anguish and tribulation? it is the folly of carnall men, euer to little their sinnes: because commonly they measure them by the smalnesse of the matter wherein they offend: a little recreation on the faboth,&c. Nowbrethren know wee, that sinnes are to be esteemed rather thus; by the measure of malice in the heart, from which they proceede, then by the small matter wherein we offend 1: a small matter it scemes, to gather a few stickes vpon the sabboth; but yet the presumptuousnes of the offender made it great in the eyes of God: fecondly, by the greatnesse of the person whom wee offend, that is, the maiestie of God: thirdly, the price of the Ransome; the bloud of God himselfe m: fourthly, the waight of vengeance, whereinto they plunge vs: yea, and marke, how the smalnesse of the matter may aggrauate the sinne; for how great contempt of Gods maiestie shewes it? when for a trifle, a man will incurre

i Nehe.1. Ioel 2.13. 2 Reg. 22.20. k Gal. 3.24.

Use 1.

¹ Numb. 15.35.

m Acts 20.28.

his

218	CHAP. 2. An Exposition upon the three
A	his displeasure; to transgresse for a peece of bread, as the just man speakes.
Vse z.	And this should be as a bridle vnto vs, to restraine vs from sinning against the maiestie of God: who shall dwell with continuall burnings? or who can beare the fierie wrath of the Lord? Nahu. 1.2.3.4. &c.
Obser.	It may not be omitted, that the Apostle iterates the denunciation of Gods vengeance to our transgressions:
	and such repetitions are neuer idle in the scripture, but as Ioseph speakes of Pharaohs dreames, they are dou-
. ,	bled, because the thing is decreed with God, and the Lord hastens to performe it, Gen. 41.32.
Vse 3.	And would God this perswasion of the certaintie, and speedie execution of threatned vengeance, could sinke
n Gen. 19.14.	into our hearts: but how seeme we vnto our people to mocke, when we presse these things 1? and how doth euery man almost, blesse himselse in his wickednesse?
o Deut,29. 18. 19. P Luke 12.45.	perswading himselse, that he may have peace, though he walke after the stubbornnesse of his owne heart of and ever we think our maister delayes his comming P: Oh, saith the Apostle, be not deceived; no who remonger, drunkard, &c. hath any inheritance in the king-
9 1 Cor.6.9.	dome of Godq: and let no man deceive you with vaine words; for such things sake, commeth the vyrath of
r Ephel. 5.6.	God vpon the children of disobedience r. And thus much of this period.
. 541,000	Verse

Verse 11. For there is no respect of persons with God.

Ow followes a reason, prouing the Lords impartialitie, both in mercie and iudgement: \pi golum \text{legas}, whether Iew or Gentile, it matters not, if they obay, they shall live, if they be rebellious, they shall perish. Reason, from

the propertie of the Lord; with God there is no respect of persons; explained, verse 12. especially in that part

that respects punishment.

It may be demanded, what it is to respect or accept persons? Ans. By person or face, as some reade agreeably to the phrase of scripture, wee must here vnderstand, not simply menthemselues, but their outward condition, and qualitie, as countrey fexe, wealth, pouertic, friendship, enmitie, and whatsocuer outward thing impertinent to the cause: to accept or respect persons, is, when a man is moued to reward or punish, onely by these and the like outward circumstances, so that the meaning, as I take it is this: that the Lord, in his judgement, respects no man according to his outward condition, or state; as nation, parentage, pouertie, wealth, &c. But whosoeuer hee is, Iew or Gentile, male or female, poore or rich, bond or free, that feareth God and worketh righteousnesse is accepted with him s: and who foeuer workes euill, is without partialitie punished, 10b.34.19.

Now the viual inference of Papills hence is this, that therefore there is no such absolute decree of election or reprobation as wee teach; whereby the Lord chuseth

Explanation.

s Acts 10.34.

CHAP.2.

Antonin,
part.2.Tit.1,
cap.20,
Aquin.in
locum.

t Mat. 20.15.

v Luk. 16. 22.22

chuseth one to saluation, and refuseth another, of his meere εξεσία, and free power and right he hath ouer all, but that the decree is respective, to different behauiours foreseene: Reason, otherwise God shall be a respecter of persons. Ans. It followes not: for though herein vnequall things be given to equal persons, yet is not the Lord moued hereto with any externall circumstances, such as before are mentioned: secondly, it is a found rule, that schoole-men give, that in grainitis acception of persons hath no place; neither is hee guiltie of accepting mens persons, which prefers one before another, in things which dutie bindes not to giue: acception of persons is opposed to iustice, and hath place onely in things given as of debt. Exemp. that God gives grace to one sinner by calling, denies grace to another, here is no acception of persons; for God is not bound to give to eyther, but of free mercie and grace giues to one, what hee iustly denies to another, being bound to neither t: this easily applyed to the purpose.

Hauing thus cleared the meaning of the text, see it verified in the Lords owne practile; the Lazars pouertie hindered not his saluation; neither the rich mans pompe free him from damnation. It was no impeachment to Cornelius that he was a Gentile, nor immunitie to any, that hee was a Iewe; Saules scepter was no shelter from Gods wrath, nor Danids sheep-hooke an hold-backe from Gods blessings: Esaus eldership removed not Gods hate, nor Iacobs minoritie hinder his love, &c. What needs more words? Sith the Apostle pleaseth to instance in one part, follow wee his steps, and tell mee who ever perished in obedience, or prospered in rebellion? Godspared not the Angels for their excellencie, nor the olde world for their multitude, nor Ierusalem for faire buildings,

nor

nor Saul for his personage, nor Absolon for his beautie, nor Sodome for her satnesse; but all these perished equally for disobedience.

And what may this teach vs? first comfort in our meanes, if wee be such as feare GODW: it is not enquired how wealthy or honourable, how olde or young, how learned or vnlearned, but how obedi-

ent, &c.

Terrour in euill doing, and filiall feare in all our carriage, what euer our personall qualities are x: it is not Gentrie, nor Lordship, norkingdome, that can steede thee, if thou be a transgressor y: GOD accepteth not the person of Princes, nor regardeth the rich more then the poore, seeing they are all the worke of his hands: Now Lord, that we could thus perswade our selues: and that wee could ever consider, how impartiall a judge wee shall all one day stand before z: that Princes could thus thinke their swearing, epicurisme, whoredome, sabbath breaking, &c. layes equal guilt vpon them, as vpon others, if not greater, because exemplarie, &c. but what times are we fallen into? wherein greatnesse and smalnesse, riches and pouertie, profession and Atheisme, are made so many exemptions from obedience, and taken as dispensations for wilfull transgressions: the poore man thinkes his pouertie will excuse his pilfering; the rich, that riches shall beare out his oppression, &c. Now had wee grace to remember that great day, when wee shall stand before GOD, stript of all our personall qualities, and regarded onely according to our workes, how much of this libertifme would be abated? But let vs see other vses.

Thirdly, we are here taught, to imitate the example of this great judge in all estates and conditions, wherein the Lord hath placed vs; and you shall observe this

Use 1.
vy A & 10.34.

Vse 2. × 1 Pet. 1.17. y Iob.34.19.

2 Apoc. 20. 12.

Vse 3.

a Deut. 1.17.

b Exod.13.3.

c Leuit.19.15.

d r Tim.5.21.

e Tam. 2.1.

this dutie pressed by the Scriptures, to euerie estate and condition of men; to Iudges and Magistrates a; you shall have no respect of persons in iudgement, but shall heare the small, as well as the great, not seare the sace of the mightie, nor esteeme a poore man in his cause b: not sauour the person of the poore, nor honour the person of the mighty c: and would God we had not just cause to complaine of our lawes, in respect of their execution, as Anacharsis did of those of the Scythians; that they were like Spyders webbes, the great slyes breake through, and the smaller onely are holden.

Secondly, to Ministers vrged with straite charge and adjuration d: that they thus walke as in all parts of their ministerie, so especially in reproofe of sinnes; observing these things without preferring one before another, and doe nothing partially.

Thirdly, to common Christians, that they have not the faith of GOD in respect of persons e: &c. Where the forme of such regard to persons is expressed, and reasons of dehortation given; first, God hath chosen: secondly, rich in faith: thirdly, heyres of the kingdome: fourthly, contrarie is sinne. But hereof thus farre.

Verse

Verse 12. For as many as have sinned without the Law, shall perish also without the Law: and as many as have sinned in the Law, shall be indged by the Law.



Ow followeth the proofe, and explanation of what was generally propounded.

They that sinned without the Law, &c. This seemes a paradoxe; no Law and yet transgression f? and every sinne is

an anomie, the breach of some Law g: Ans. It is true, where there is no law at all, there can be no transgression; but of lawes of God wee may thus distinguish, according to the different manner of their deliuerie; there is a law written, and there is the law vnwritten: which commonly we call, the law of Nature : written in euerie mans heart: they differ not in substance, but in circumstance touching manner of deliuerie. The meaning of the words then is this, they that sinned without the law written, shall perish without the law written, that is, without imputation of the writing of the law; that shall lay no more guilt or aggravation on their consciences, in as much as the Lord vouch fafed not that mercie vnto them; neither requires more of any, then hee committed vnto them: but see wee the observations.

First, hence it is easily collected, that even such as sinne of inuincible ignorance, in respect of the scripture, are for their sinnes culpable of damnation, Luke 12.47.48.

Reasons: first, God made man righteous b: secondly, amongst no people leaves himselse without testimonie i: Sense.

f Rom.4.15. g I Ioh.3.4.

Obser.

h Eccl. 7.31. i Act. 14.16. CHAP. 2. An Exposition upon the three

i Act. 14.16. k Rom. 1.20.

I Ioh. r.9.

m Jude 10.

V/e.

monie : hauing laid open before euerie mans eyes, the great booke of his creatures k, and given them Reason, and vnderstanding, whereby, in discourse, they may arise from the creatures to the Creator 1, euen in things knowne naturally, there is in all, eyther malitious corruption m; or wilfull omission, Rom. 1.21.

Whence it is as easily inferred, that the plea of ignoramus, will not serue turne at the day of reckoning and accounts; especially for vs in the Church, to whom the law written hath been committed: surely, if any should be excused for ignorance, it should be the Gentiles: and euen they sinning, perish: and yet, euen this the best pillar of hope and confidence, our poore people haue; and heare what a company of Figge leaues they have fowed together, to hide this their nakednes: first, one saith he hath that knowledge, that GOD hath giuen him: Anl. Not so: for hee gaue thee more in Adam n: secondly, Gods gifts are to be measured, according to the meanes youch fafed; and meanes plentifull haue been granted vnto our people, which if we refuse to make vse of, we cannot blame God for not giuing, but our selues, for not receiving what the Lord tendered vnto vs.

A second, pretends multiplicatie of worldly businesses,

Ans. See Luke 14.18. Mat. 6.33. Pro. 8.18.

A third, not booke learnd: Ans. First, the booke of the creatures lyeth open: sccondly, the fault thine owne: thirdly, euen without booke-learning, may a comfortable measure of knowledge be attained by attendance to the publike ministerie, conference, prayer, &c.

A fourth, obscuritie of Scriptures; which yet are plaine: first, in things necessarie: secondly, to Gods children o: thirdly, having will to vnderstand P: fourthly, and vling the helpes the Lord hath prouided,

n Gen.1.27. Col.3.10.

> 0 2 Cor.4.4. P Pro. 8. 18.

> > Ier.

Ier. 3.15. Mal. 2.7. Ephef. 4. 11.12.13.

A litt, that their guides shall answere for them. Ans. Not if they have beene skilfull, and industrious 9, fecondly, if blind or malicious seducers, they shall perith with thee, but not without thee. Mar. 15.14.

Reasons deswading ignorance: first, that none excuseth, no not inuincible wholly is secondly, no service done in ignorance, pleaseth God, though done in greatest heate of deuotion's: thirdly, ignorance makes vasfals of Sathan t: fourthly, excludes from faluation. Ioh. 17.3.2 Thef. 1.8.

· Shall perish without the law.] That is vvithout imputation of the breach of the law as it was writ-

ten.

Now here it may be demanded, whether the breach of the whole Morall law, shall not be imputed to the Nations, to whom it was not committed in writing? but onely the breach of so much as was known evnto them by that divine light, that remained to them afer the fall? I take it yes; that everie breach of euerie Morall precept, is imputable to euerie child of Adam, Reason, because wee were all created in him righteous, and had by creation the knowledge of the wholelaw Morall, Col. 3.10.

It may againe be demanded, whether infidelitie in respect of the Gospell, shall be imputed to the Gentiles, vnto whom it was not reuealed? Ans. I thinke no; because, that is no doctrine knowne by nature, neither had Adam the knowledge thereof reuealed vnto him; but by especiall grace, after the fall, when

he stood in esteeme of a private person.

And they that sinned in the Lam.] That is, the Iewes to whom the perfect Doctrine of the law was renewed in writing shall be judged by the law, &c. quatenus, it was written, and redeliuered vnto them.

9 Ezech.3.19. Gal.6.5.

r.Luke 12.48. s Rom. 10.2. Eccles.4.17. t 2 Tim.2.25.

u Eccles.7.21.

Now

Obser.

** Mat. 11.21. 22.23.24.

x Leuit.4.5.6.

Use 1.

Luke 12.48.

Now these two positions compared, afford this observation: that where are less meanes, shall be lighter
vengeance, where greater meanes of knowledge and obedience, heavier damnation for transgression w: easier for Tyrians, and Sodonyans, Sodomites, and Gomorheans, then for the people of Capernaum, Corazin and Bethsaida. Reason, because to them sewer, to
these greater meanes of repentance vouchsafed.

Meanes are of two forts; first, outward, as creatures, scriptures, preaching, sacraments, afflictions, &c. secondly, inward, naturall reason, wit, capacitie, Arte, &c. acquired by education, 2. supernaturall, the

spirit of God, the belt schoolemaister.

Reason; as sinnes have more or lesse of wilfulnes, so are they more or lesse hay nous in the sight of God : secondly, even humane equitie requires little, vwhere little; more, where more is committed. Luke 12.48.

Now I would our people would take this doctrine to heart, that, comparing themselves with their forefathers that lived in the times of darkneile, and ignorance, in the midst of this glorious sunneshine of the truth, please themselves in this, that they equal their predeceifors in knowledge: our fathers (fay they) had not halfe that preaching, or knowledge that wee have attained: and yet no doubt, were many of them faued. To which wee may answere; that how so cuer their little knowledge, and more affection, might steed them: yet shall it not suffice vs, to attaine to their mediocritie; in as much as the Lord hath dealt more bountifully with vs, in vouchsafing vs more plentifull meanes of knowledge, then he granted them; and therfore may justly expect at our hands greater measure of fruits, then at theirs: to whom men commit little, they require the leise; to whom more, they expect more y: and that might ferue them for faluation,

which

which shall not steede vs.

Secondly, let vs all be admonished, to be in some measure answerable to those meanes the Lord hath vouchsafed vnto vs; compare our selues with the Gentiles, that were before Christ; with our foresathers in times of Poperie; with Turkes, Pagans, Papists at this day; with other congregations in our owne Church; and wee shall see, the Lord hath been rich in his grace towards vs, in respect of them; and let it be our shame, that they should outstrip, or keepe pace with vs in obedience: so for personall gists, as wit, memorie, leasure, &c. know we, that according to our meanes, the Lord expects a proportion of knowledge, and practise: neither will it suffice, that we equal others of lesse meanes, &c.

Thirdly, let no man swell with sonceit of his great meanes, or abundance of knowledge: greater cause of humiliation, then of pride, have all such: in as much as the Lord expects a greater measure of obedience from them, then from others: apply these things.

Before wee paile from this place, it shall not be amisse to propound one doubt, that perhaps may disquiet weake consciences: shall they all perish that sin in the law? and that sinne without law? who then shall be saued? Ans. To this the answere is, that the Gospell points at a remedie for this rigour of the law; these senceptions: such as these: first, except Christ satisfie: secondly, except faith apprehend his satisfaction 2: and thus must all legall sentences be vnder-stood.

It was the Apostles discretion, to conceale these Euangelicall exceptions, because hee here dealt with men pust vp with conceit of their owne righteousnesses, Mat. 19, 17.

Vse z.

Vse 3.

² Ioh.3.13.
Gal.3.13.

Obser.

CHAP. 2. An Exposition upon the three

Use.

^a Iona.3.4. A&.2.36.37. Iud 23. And it warrants the like wisedome and practise in a minister, whiles he is to deale with insticiaries, men vn-humbled in respect of their vnrighteousnesses words of comfort must be ministred in season: Isay 50.4. vvhich season may as well be preuented, as overshipt.

Verse 13. For the hearers of the Law are not righteous before God: but the doers of the Law shall be instified.

Verse 14. For when the Gentiles which have not the Law, doe by nature the things contained in the Law, they having not the Law, are a Law unto themselves.

Verse 15. Which shew the effect of the Law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witnesse, and their thoughts accusing one another, or excusing.



Hese three verses included in a parenthesis, contains answere to objections that might be made out of the twelfe verse. As first, whereas Paul had said, that the Iewes, sinning in the law, should be judged and condemned by

the law: the Iewes might thus except; that they are hearers of the law, and therfore not culpable of judgement, by the law: Anf. The Apostle answeres to the consequence: though hearers, yet not therefore free from the sentence of the law; reason, for not the hearers, but the doers of the law shall be justified; hearing sufficeth not, except there be doing also; the Gentiles plea for themselves, is also propounded and answered, ver. 14 as we shall anone see.

For the meaning of the words, shall be instifted, it may

may be demanded what is here meant by justifying, whether absolution and acquitalt from guilt of sinne. and acceptation for righteous: or rather being made righteous by inherent inflice. R hemists here triumph ouervs as making iustification, in this and all other places, to fignifie nothing else but acquitall from finne. and acceptation for righteous. Ans. Wherein first they flander vs; for none of vs teach that to be justified alwayes signifieth to be absolued from sinne. Vi suprain Capite primo. ver. 17. Neither in this and other like legall sentences, vrge wee that signification of the word; but to be iustified, we say in the tearmes of the law, signifies to be righteous by inherent iustice; and accordingly to be accepted as iust in the fight of God: now whereas they hence inferre, that therfore good works iustifie a man in the sight of God, and to that purpose alleadge this place, the doers of the Law shall be suftified. Ans. Wee answere, that this sentence is to be understood hypothetically; that is thus; that a man shall be iustified by the workes of the law; if he do the workes of the law; as the law presembes them to be done; & this is indeed the drift of the Apostle, as appeares to any man reading the place with attention, and judgement: not simply to shew how a sinner is justified before God, but to shew what is requisite to justification, according to the tenour of the law: to wit, not the hearing onely, but doing; and that in such fort, as the law prescribes: and sowe say, that if there be any man that shall bring vnto the Lord the perfect obedience of the law, of his owne performing; that manshall be justified, yea and faued by his workes; but in as much as no man, neyther naturall nor regenerate, can so fulfill the law as the law requires, therefore still wee conclude, that a man is justified by faith without the workes of the law. Thus much of the meaning: see now the instructions.

And

230	CHAP. 2. An Exposition upon the three
Obser.	And hence first may be collected, that the bare hearing of the law, sufficeth not to instification, in the sight of GOD; because it is so plaine, proofes needs not to be annexed: it hath truth both in law and Gospell.
Vse.	And it may be applyed to the ouerthrow of all that vaine confidence, that our vulgar people have in the worke done of religion: as how see wee in common experience, our people thinke it enough to saluation, that they keepe their Church, are baptised, receive Sacraments, say ouer their Pater-noster evening and morning? things that even Atheists may performe, Luke 8.12.13.14.13.14.13.14.1.22.
Obser.	But more specially here observe, that the Couenant of the law requires perfect obedience to righteousnes and saluation, Mar. 19.17. Gal 3.13. In which obedience these things must concurre, according to the tenour of the law: first, that it be performed by our selves, for the law reveales not the mediatour: secondly, it must be inward, as well as out-
^b Rom 7.14.	ward b: thirdly, perfect in parts and degrees c: fourth-
Deut.6.5.	ly, constant and continual from the conception, without the least interruption, through the whole course
d Gal.3.10.	of the life d: the least thought dissonant from the law, laying vs open to the curse.
Vse.	Whence it will easily follow, against all insticiaries: that no sless can be instified in Gods sight, by the works of the law, in as much as we are altransgressors.
eRom.8.3. f fPial.143.3.	which reason concludes as well men in grace, as those in their pure naturals fethis question will be more seasonablie discussed in the processe of the Epistle; and therfore I here passe it ouer: onely I say as Paul, Gal. 4.21. When hee had fully proued this point: tell mee saith he, you that will needs be under the law, and require
1	righteousnesse and saluation thereby; do you not heare the

the law? you must perfectly keepe the law in all points, if you will be instified thereby; which is it be impossible to slesh g, and wee have all slesh, though mingled with spiriraster grace h, why stand we out at the staues end with the Lord? resuling his gracious offer of righteousnesse made vs in Christ Iesus. But to proceede.

g Rom.8.3. h Rom.7. Gal.5.17.

Verse 14. For when the Gentiles which have not the Law, doe by nature the things contained in the Law, they having not the Law, are a Law unto themselves.

Verse 15. Which shew the effect of the Law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witnesse, and their thoughts accusing one another, or excusing.



Auing answered what the Iewes might object, he now preoccupates the Gentiles plea for themselves: he had said, that even they that sinned without the law, should perish also without the law, verse 12. Some Gentile might

here object, that not having the law, they could not transgresse; neither were culpable of judgement; the Apostle answeres, that though they had not the law written in tables of stone, as the Iewes; in vyhich respect they might be said not to have the law: yet had they the knowledge of the law, in respect of the outward workes thereof, written in their hearts, and this he proves: first; a facto, by their deede; they did the workes of the law, therefore knew it; secondly, from testimonie of conscience; accusing in breaches, excusing in observances of the law; therefore had some

speakes. The things of the law : indefinitely spoken, and is particularly to be understood; for all they knew not, but some things prescribed in the law they knew, and practifed; some worship they performed vnto God, and were strict observers of civill instice, and honestie; as appeares both by lawes, enacted for observance of both, and by histories, recording the excellent vertues of many heathen. By nature. Nature is here opposed, eyther to scripture, or to grace; and may thus be vinderstood; eyther that the things they did, they did by instinct of Nature, and by the dictamen of reafon naturall, without any direction from Scripture, or speciall reuelation; or else thus, by power of Nature, without any assistance of renewing grace; but the first sense seems most pertinent; which sense standing, this Text is too narrow to inferre so large a conclusion, as Pelagius would hence inferre: for how will it hence follow, that there is in Nature since Adams fall, a power perfectly to fulfill the whole law, vvith performance of outward and inward obedience in all things; because Paul here testifieth, that the Gentiles, by light of nature doe some outward workes prescribed in the law? What logicke, but Pelagian, would out of particular premitses, inferre so generall a conclusion?

That which wee may take notice of, for our instruction, is this: how forcibly the divine light of Nature inclined the Gentiles to obedience; something of pietie and honestie they knew; and what they knew many practised. I might be infinite in exemplifying their conscience of oathes, love of parents, instice, temperance, truth, &c. Histories are knowne to the learned, and let others reade the historie of Abimelech!, and call to mind what Paul speaketh of the incest amongst the Cotinthians, 1 Cor. 5.1.

And it shall be the just condemnation of many in

Obser.

1 Gen.20.

Vse.

^m Mat.12.41. 42.

n Isay 5.22.

the Church of GOD, at that great day, that the Heathen by nature shewed more conscience of obedience, after their measure of knowledge, then those in the Church whom the Lord hath so plentifully instructed by his word, and dayly exhorted to obedience? what Christ speakes to the Iewes of his time, we shall one day see verified; the Niniuites, Queene of Saba, the heathen, shall rise vp in judgement with the Children of the Church, and shall condemne them m. To reade of the extreame detestation of drunkennesse amongst the Lacedemonians, and see the bezelling of these swaggering times; how, what they counted brutishnesse, our gallants call manhood n: how makes it vs pittie those poore heathen, and loaththose, that vnder the title of Christians, practise intemperance more then heathenish? Lucretia, how priced she chastitie? that chose to redeeme it with lolle of her life? and shall it not judge those prostibula amongst Christians, that set sale of honestie, and all womanhood? what should I speake of their hatred of briberie? reuerence of their priests, conscience of promises, religion of oathes? &c. surely what Paul speakes of one particular, weemay make more generall, such viciousnesse seene amongst vs. as was scarce named amongst them; many vertues admirable in them, whose very names are worne outamongst vs, and their mention argued of noveltie: I say no more, but if Christ teach, that it must be greater righteousnelse then heathenish or pharisaicall, that shall give admission vnto Gods kingdome, what hope of saluation have those, that come not neare the vertuous life of heathens? But proceede wee in the Text.

They having not the Law are a Law to themselves.] Sense; that is, are as a law, doing the office of the law; ordering and directing their lives, prescribing what is to be done, what to be avoided, by that dictamen of reason GOD hath imprinted in them; the note will be best gathered, after the explanation of the next words.

Which shew the worke of the Law written in their hearts.] The worke of the Law, some interpret the office of the Law; which is to commaund things to be done, to forbid things to be forborne: others the fentence and summe of the law; which is, to love GOD aboue all, and our neighbour as our selues: I take it. they best interpret, that by the worke of the law vnderstand the substance of external lactions therin prescribed; which is well nigh all that the Gentiles had knowledge of: for as touching the right fountaine, manner, end of our actions, they knew not: the workes they knew and performed, but fayled in the right manner of performance; written in their hearts: to wit, in respect of knowledge; shewed by practiling them; which they could not have done, had they not knowne.

Hencethen the observation offers it selfe that was made in the first Chapter, the nineteenth and twentith verses; that the Heathens themselves knew something of the law of God. First, to deprive them of excuse; Secondly, for preservation of civill societie, by exercising instice, honestie, &c. against which if any shall object, that it is the priviledge of Gods children in grace, to have the law written in their hearts; and the place is to understood. First, of distinct and particular knowledge, which Heathens had not. Secondly, in respect of sincere affection, endeuour, and some acceptable measure of performance.

If againe it be objected, that some of them were brutish, both in vnderstanding and practise. Ans. They were such as did sufficate, and extinguish the light of

Obser. 102 -

o Icr. 3 1.33.

nature

P Rom.1.18. 19.20.21.28. Ioh.1.9. nature in them; keeping downe the truth in vnrighteousnesse; and therefore iustly given vp to a reprobate
minde. The vses of this point, see in the annotations
vpon the first Chapter, the nineteenth and twentith
verses. And thus much of the first evidence, proving
the Gentiles knowledge of the law: the second solloweth; which is, the concordant testimonic and works
of conscience. The opening of these words and their
application to the Apostles purpose, see above in the
coherence.

That which is to be observed out of this verse, I will comprise as breisly as may be, in handling shortly the common place of conscience; so much of it I meane, as the text seemes to lead vnto: as, first, the nature, secondly, the kindes, the offices or works of conscience: thirdly, the manner how conscience performes her office.

For the first, what conscience is: many have elegantly given descriptions of it, from effects, adiuncts, &c. One cals it a little register, sitting in a mans heart, with a penne in hand, to make record of all thoughts, desires, speaches, actions of life. Another domesticus index. Another domesticus carnifex; another thus; it is that that is either the best friend, or the greatest foe; another thus, a friend soonest offended, hardliest appeased; another, it is a bridle before sinne, and a whip after sinne: another, that that most men bragge of, and sewest have; these are elegances not altogether unprofitable; see we more exactly the nature of it.

Touching the name, Conscience; so called either because it is ioyned with science, exercising its offices, by principles of knowledge imprinted in the vnder-standing; which principles they call Synteresis, wechniques, evvolves; or else, because a ioynt science or know-

ledge

ledge, wherein some other communicate with vs, as God and our selues.

For the generall nature, it is commonly enquired, whether it be an act, habite, or power of the soule: that it is not a bare act appeares, because sundry actions are given vnto it; as to testifie, accuse, excuse, acquit, condemne; as also because it can never be lost. That it is not habite, appeares also, because it is borne with vs, it remaines therefore, that it is a power or faculty of the soule.

For the subject wherein it is; that is either common or proper: the common subject, are reasonable creatures, all and onely; men and Angels; diuels tremble. Iam. 2.19.

Proper subject, is the vnderstanding, not the will as all divines accord: the faculties of the vnderstanding, are thus distinguished diversly. First, by their manner ofaction; one that simply considers things singular: fecondly, that ioynes or disloynes things thus singularly considered. Thirdly, that discourseth either by inferring one out of another, or by ordering things one with another: againe according to things confidered, and ends why they are considered: the vnderstanding part is thus distinguished: It is eyther contemplatine, whose object is truth and falshood: or practicall, whose object is good, and euill: whose end knowledge of good and euill. Now in these and many other distinctions of the faculties of the minde, conscience is not yet found; what then? if wee say it is that facultie of the minde whereby it beholds it selfe; and all things in man by a kinde of reflexion, that it hath in it selfe; answering perhaps in office, to the common sense, in respect of the particular senses. Hugo librosecundum de anima. Seemes not to dissent: his words are these out of Bernard: the heart knowes it selfe by its

Bern.pag.366.

owne

9 I Cor. 2. VI. & 4.4.

r Eccles.7.24. 1 Cor. 2. 11. Rom.g.r.

owne knowledge; and many other things: vvhen it knowes, or takes notice of it selfe, it is called consciences when other things besides it selfe, science 4: in vvhich respect especially, it hath obtained the name of a vvitnelle; testifying on this reflexion, as I may terme it, of the minde upon itselfe, of all that is in the heart, &c.

Out of all which particulars ariseth this summe, wherby in part the nature of conscience may be known: that conscience is a facultie of the minde, taking notice of all that is in the minde, will, affections, life of a man: and this seemes to be the general notion of conscience, and the proper and first act thereof; other things ascribed thereto belonging vnto it secondarily onely; Whence it will follow, that a man by power of conscience may know vvhatloeuer is in him, as vvhat hee knowes, thinkes, beleeues, wils, purposeth, greeues at, delights in &c. this being the proper office of conscience, to see, know, testifie, vvhat is in man r: vvhich conclusion hath some vse in the controuersie, betwixt vs and Rome, touching the knowledge of the graces of God in our hearts, teltifying our election and effectuall calling.

Butto proceede, Schoole-diuines speake more narrowly of conscience, making it a facultic, or act rather of the practicall vnderstanding, concluding out of principles in the understanding, that things are to be done or not done; well done or ill done; where is omitted one speciall act, and office of conscience: that is, testifying of things already done or omitted: but to omit these speculations, which may perhaps distaste the learned, and amaze, rather then edifie the simple reader; the nature of conscience will best appeare by viewing: first, the actes or offices of conscience: secondly, the objects of the scuerall actes: thirdly, the manner how these actes are exercised. For the first.

The

The actes of Conscience are these, sirst, to testific or give witnesse; the object of voluch action, are all things in man, as was before said; but here we will restraine it to his actions. Ex: If the question be volucther a thing overe done by vs, or no; conscience voitnesseth of the doing, or not doing thereof s: I have sinned, said conscience in Danid, in Indas t: I have not conspired against Sanl, saith Danid by testimonie of his conscience; I have had my conversation in the world in sinceritie (saith the Apostleu:) and this act of conscience, is performed voithout any such practicall syllogisme, as some have imagined to be the very nature of conscience; some help indeed it hath from memory, but none from the illative discourse of the minde.

Since conscience is set in vs as a vvitnesse to give testimonie of all actions, inward and outward; good or cuill, done or omitted of vs; therefore first, let no man sinne in hope to lye hid for lack of witnesses; hee carries a thousand vvitnesses in his bosome, his conscience testifies, vvhen the Lord cites it to answere, even

of the most secret sinnes. Iob. 24.13.14.

Secondly, hence vve are taught, not to huntafter eye-witnesses of our good vvorkes; conscience alone

giueth sufficient, and comfortable testimonie.

Thirdly, comfort thy selfe vvith this vvitnesse of thy sinceritie and innocencie, in midst of all slaunders, and vncharitable surmises of malicious men * let it suffice, that thou hast God, and thine owne conscience vvitnessing vvith thee.

Asccond act of conscience is, to give judgement; and this act, according to the divers considerations of the object, is divertified. Things whereof conscience judgeth, are first, facienda; things to be done, or not to be done; and these are considered eyther vniversally, vvithout respect to particular circumstances, or else

s 2 Sam. 12, 13. t Mat. 27.3.4.

11 2 Cor.11, 12.

Vie 1.

Conscientia milletestes.

Vsez.

Vje 3.

x 1 Cor.4.4. 2 Cor.1.12.

else particularly, with respect to such time, place, perfon, manner, &c. and in things of this nature, the practicall syllogisme hath vse; Ex; when a man is tempted of Sathan to commit Adulterie; the minde hath this reasoning with it selfe, whether it be to be done or not; the symerific or principle naturall is this; all filthinetle is to be avoided; the dictate of Reason asfumes this minor, adultery is filthinesse; and conscience infers the conclution, therefore Adulterie is to be audided: so in good duties, where this conclusion comes into deliberation; whether warre to be undertaken against the enemie of the countrey; the principle naturall is this; our countrey is to be defended; but this warre tends to defence of our countrey, faith the dictate of Reason: therefore infers the conscience, this vvarreis to be vndertaken.

To this act of conscience, there are two other conioyned; in euill things so apprehended at least by iudgement and conscience, remurmuration and a voice, as it vvere, of inhibition; doe it not: as in Ruben touching the murther of Ioseph; conscience, no doubt, suggested to him that inhibition: slay him not, for he is a brother.

In good things so apprehended, there is also another act of conscience, vivilin vive call instigation, and a voice, as it were, of prouocation to doe them; as in-Pilate, in that deliberation touching the murther of Christ: vpon euidence of his innocencie, conscience concluded that hee vivas to be absoluted: and suggested that duetie; absolute him, or seeke to loose him, and the like see wee in common experience of our selves; stirrings vpto good duties: restraints from sins by conscience; and vivine duties thus suggested, and after a sort exhorted vnto by conscience, are omitted; when sinnes disdained by conscience, and from vivinch

first Chapters of the Romanes. Verle 15.	241
it discourageth, are committed, these are called sinnes	
against conscience.	<i>'</i>
And herein see we the great mercie of God towards	Use.
vs, that knowing our head-strong inclination to e-	
uill, hath set in vs this bridle, as it were, of conscience,	L. S 1 1 .
to restraine vs; and being privic to our dulnesse in ho- ly duties, hath given vs this spurre, to stirre vs vp to	
obedience. Well is it with them, that heare in all	
things, and follow, the voyce of conscience rightly en-	
formed; and discomfortable their estate, that turne the	
deafe eare to her fuggestions.	
A second thing whereof conscience gives iudge-	
ment; is, the qualitie of things eyther done or omit-	,
ted; as that they vvere vvell, or ill done or omit-	
ted: and herein is also vse of the practicall sillogisme.	
Ex in that sinne of Indas betraying Christ Iesus; there	
was no doubt this reasoning in his minde. The Synteri-	1
si laid this proposition; hee that betrayeth innocent	
bloud, sinneth; thou Indas hast betrayed innocent	·
bloud; therefore thou half finned, saith conscience in-	-
ferring the conclusion. So in good actions by the same kinde of reasoning, conscience gives judgement of	
good actions that they were good, & well performed.	
Dependent vpon these actions, are others: as first, in	
euill, accusation: &c. that is, a continual laying off our	
sinnes to our charge, as it is said of David, after num-	y 2 Sam. 24.10.
bring the people y, and after the cutting off the lappe of Sauls garment, that his heart smote him; that is,	
of Sauls garment, that his heart smote him; that is,	
his conscience accused him, told him he had done euil.	
Secondly, condemnation z, by applying the cutse	z 1 Ioh.3.20.
of the law to the soule of the delinquent, in the like pra-	
Aicall syllogisme as before.	
The consequents wherof, are feare and trembling ^a :	² Iam.2.
griefe and sadnesse b: desperation, &c. as in Cain, Iu-	b Dan.5.
das, &c.	
III	

c 1 Ioh.3.21.

Use 1.

In good duties or innocencie, excusing or clearing of our selves from guilt; & so absoluing from punishment. Whence followes comfort in the soule, ioy, boldnes to come into Gods presence, even in the midst of judgement : & these are the actions & offices of conscience: and we have seene the manner how it performes them.

The vse of all is this; first, that we therefore searce to wound conscience, or to sin against it: we need no other accuser, judge or tormentor; it is all these to a sinner.

Secondly, that vve hereby encourage our felues in vvel-doing, though we see no outward acceptance, or encouragement afforded vs by men: an excusing and peaceable conscience is comfort aboundant to a child of God. 2 Cor. 1.12.

Proceede wee a little farther; there are accident to conscience in the performing of these offices of judgement, two things: first, errour: secondly; scruple arising there from. Errour hath place both in things to be done, and in things done: scruple especially in things deliberated, and not yet performed.

Error of conscience in both kindes, is of two sorts: it erres two waies; either by a false assumption, the Synteresis being corrupted, or by false applying of true prin-

ciples in the conclusion.

By a false assumption; as when a man mistakes good for cuill, or cuill for good; that is, thinkes that good which is cuill, or that cuil which is good: by which missaking, it comes to passe, that coscience resolues, where it should resolue; condemnes, where it should acquite; acquites, where it should condemne. Exemp, It shall come to passe, that bethat killeth you shall think, that he doth God good serviced: that is, having his judgement blinded, taking cuil for good, his conscience shall cleare, acquit, comfort him, even where it judgement were not erroneous, it would condemne him: examples of this kinde of erroneous conscience

d Ioh. 16.2.

are frequent amongst our people: so see we many practiling vsurie, "without any remurmuration of con-

science, through errour of judgement, &c.

The second manner of conscience erring is by false application of true principles; instance wheroschooledivines make this: this principle is true; that GOD is aboue all, and onely to be beloued: here is the errour of conscience, when it concludes from this true principle misinterpreted, that therefore our neighbour is not to be beloued: againe, that thank sgiuing is part of Gods worship; therfore no thankes to be given to men, as instruments of Gods blessings vntovs; and of this kind of erroneous conscience, the world is full: Recreations are lawfull; a true principle: Ergo, this recreation. suppose cardes and dice in this measure, at this time, lawfull; here is the errour. Religious adoration is not to be given to creatures; a true principle; therefore wee may not kneele in the act of receiving the Sacrament; an erroneous conclusion. Christians haue libertie in things indifferent; a true principle; therefore not bound to obay Magistrates in things indifferent : an erroneous application. Ostentation to be avoided in prayers; a true principle; therefore wee may not pray with our families; an erroneous conclusion, &c.

Causes of these errours assigned are these: first, ignorance, eyther vniuersall or particular: secondly, neglect of meanes of reformation: thirdly, selfeloue, fourthly, pride, and that which Peter cals singing our ownesong, and a disdaine to yeeld to the

judgement of men better enformed.

Before I passe from this place, it shall not be amisse to propound that vivall question; whether, and how farre erroneous conscience bindes; for explanation.

Exempl. A man through errour of judgement, is persuaded, that it is a necessarie part of Gods worship to be present at a Maisse; the question is, whether that man

be bound in conscience to be present at a Masse, so that the omission thereof, shall lay guilt of sinne upon his conscience; or thus, a man is perswaded in judgement, that to kneele at the Communion, is a kinde of Idolatry, and vtterly vnlawfull; it is demaunded whether a man having this settled perswasion, be bound to abstaine from kneeling; and whether kneeling, hee lay guilt of sinne vpon his conscience; or thus, a Papist liuing amongst vs, is perswaded, that it is veterly vnlawfull to be present at our Church service; the question is, whether he be bound in conscience to abstaine from our congregation; so that if hee be there present, hee shall sinne against God? Ans. For distinct answere to this intricate question; we must distinguish of things whereabout the errour is; they are eyther such as are simply commanded, or secondly, simply forbidden, or thirdly, of indifferent nature, betwixt both: simply neyther commanded, nor forbidden.

Now if the question be touching the seried errour of judgement in things simply commanded, or forbidden; theanswere, as Liudge, is this: that the omitting of a thing forbidden of God, erroneouslie judged lawfull to be done, is a sin in the omitter interpretatine, as the schooles speake; and yet secondly, the conscience is not bound to doe the things so erroneously judged lawfull; and I judge hereof with an auncient schooleman; that there is much difference betwixt these two propolitions in this cale; the conscience fuggesting that an Idoll is to be worshipped; to say the partie, during that errour of judgement and fuggestion of conscience not worshipping the Idoll, linnes : and to fay, that while that suggestion of conscience continues, heeis bound to worship the Idoll: the first proposition is true; the second falle; Reason of the first proposition is, because according to such a

Hieron,ab Hang.in moral.

mans

mans judgement, that was a dutie, and therefore neglect thereof may be reduced to lack of feare of GOD. Reason of the second this; because erroneous conscience absolues not from Gods precept, which bindeth, not to commit Idolatry: more plainely thus; it sufficeth vnto sinne, to doe against conscience it sufficeth not vnto dutie, to doe according to conscience, except the conscience be ruled by the word of God; the same is to be holden of the second instance, and the like; where the conscience erres, in judging that vnlawfull, which is a commanded dutie; he shall sinne, that judging it vnlawfull to come to our churches, yet comes; because he doth that which he judgeth to be a sinne: yet will it not follow, that hee is bound not to come; for the error of conscience cannot dispense with the bond of Gods precept.

So then you wil say, that not doing or doing in such a case he sinnes: true, but yet here is no absolute perplexitie; for there is a third thing required; that is, deponere erronea conscientiam, & that is the remedy in such cases; labour to be better informed, that thou may est free thy selfe from this dangerous necessitie of sinning.

As touching errour about things indifferent, the case is something different; while a man judgeth of a thing indifferent that it is vnlawfull; for during such judgement, both he shall sinne in doing that which hee judgeth vnlawfull, and secondly he is bound not to doe it: because the Lord hath commaunded touching things indifferent, that they shall not be done with doubtinge; much leffe where the judgement is fully perswaded of their vnlawfulnes: and thus much of the letled errour of conscience.

A second accident befalling conscience, is scrupulousnesse arising from some errour, or ignorance more or leffe in the judgement, and it differs thus from that other !

R 3

eRom. 14.23.

fRom.14.14.

other conscience commonly called erroneous; for that there is a judgement and resolution passed (though erroneously f) here, no judgement passed eyther way, but a desceptation and debate in the minde, whether the thing to be done, be lawfull or not. Rom. 14.23.

And of this there are degrees: first, where the minde hangs in aquilibrio, as it were, in even poyse, inclining neither way, which is called ambiguitie: secondly, where the minde is inclined more one way, then the other, yet not fully resolved; and is called suspition; more proper-

ly coniecture.

Causes of it as of the other; ignorance especially particular: secondly, a nimium, as some terme it, of pious humilitie and seare.

Concerning this state of the minde and conscience, it is viually demanded in things indifferent whether during scruple, the thing doubted of may be done. Ans. I take it no; for the Apostse affirmes that what so

ener is not of faith is sinne. Rom. 14.23.

What then is the course to be holden in such condition of the conscience? Ans. This is the principall: make conscience to vse the meanes of resolution; such as are conference, studie, &c. without prejudice, and with sincere desire to be rightly enformed, and humble purpose to yeeld to the truth once enidenced; prayer vnto God, &c.

For absoluing of this place, this question shall be propounded; whether in case of such errour, and scruple of conscience, the Magistrate may vrge to doe things lawfull; contrarie to indgement whereof vvee are not yet throughly resolved. Ans. I take it yes with these cautions: first, that there be care taken for better information, of the erring, and for resolution of the doubting conscience: secondly, that aduice that Antonine, Archbishop of Florence, once gaue, is not to be omitted

omitted; that scrupulosi be not too hardly dealt with all: thirdly, that punishment and compulsion be not hassened, so long as there appeares a desire and godly endcauour to be better informed: these specially in things in different.

Whether sinnes a man in abstaining from acting an indifferent thing, lawfully commanded by the Magistrate, during his scruple? Ans. Yes, for his scruple frees him not from the obligation of Gods precept, touching

obedience to the Magistrate.

And should he also sinne, if during his scruple hee should do it? Ans. Yes; Rom. 14.23. these three conclusions pertinent to this purpose, let them be considered.

A man doing or abstaining from any action, contrarie to the dictate of erroneous conscience, sinnes: Reason, because God hath commanded that we goe not a-

gainst conscience.

A man doing or abstaining according to erroneous conscience, as erroneous, is not free from sinne; nay sinneth, because GOD hath no where commanded or warranted to doe according to conscience simply: but with this supposition, that conscience be rightly informed by the word of God, and as was before said, the errour of conscience dispenseth not with obedience to Gods law.

Thirdly, in such case there lyeth a bond upon the conscience, to free it selfe from errour, wherewith it is intangled: and continuance of errour through neglect of meanes of better information, is censurable of obsti-

nacie before the Lord.

Now the viewhich we make of all this now spoken, is this: that therefore we be diligent, by all meanes, to informe our judgements, touching all actions of life: great is the force of conscience both wayes, either to comfort if thou do well, or to cast downe, if ill: secondly;

Vse.

g Tit. 1.15. Rom.14.23.

1.16

there is nothing that thou canst do wel, whiles thy conscience is polluted, or erreth, or doubteth g. Thirdly, conscience erring may excuse but vainely, terrifie needleffely; and would God in these scrupulous times, this care of right information, might be conscionably vndertaken; perhaps the peace of our Church might be more, and without all doubt the peace of our conscienences would be farre more solide; and that distaste of conference for information, vpon preiudice and too much stiffenesse in our owne opinions, how continues it the maime of our Church? and robs the people of much of their comfort? beloued, I blame not any for abstayning while scruple lasteth; but this is that I lament, and pray in the bowels of Christ Jesus, may be reformed: that conferences of things now controuersed may more conscionably be endeauoured, and lesse scornefully rejected. In things substantiall, Houe resoluednesse: in matters of lesse importance, thus I am minded, and I thinke with warrant; not so to resolue, but as willing to change sentence when better reason shall fway mec.

Proceede we now breefely to the last thing in this common place; that is, the kindes or divisions of conscience; which are diversly assigned: first, according to the light that directs it, thus: one directed by principles naturall, which they call naturall conscience; another inlightened with the knowledge of the scripture, and by it directed in all his functions. Of naturall, and as vvee may tearme it, heathenish conscience, this scripture now in hand treateth; vvhose light (though impersect,) directs notwithstanding to do some duties; & restraines from some sinnes, as we see by those many vvholesome lawes made by them against periury, murder, whordom, &c. and those terrours, tearmed by their poets suries, wherwithin grosse sins, their conscience was possessed.

Conscience Christian, hath, besides this divine light of nature that other cleare light of the scriptures to directand guideit; whose guidance is more large and more distinct, then that which heathnish conscience had: these things might be profitablic pressed further. but I feare I have beene alreadie too long in them. Bernard (if that Treatic of conscience be his) makes foure sorts of conscience, first, mala o tranquilla secondly, mala & turbata; thirdly, bona & tranquilla; fourthly, bona & turbata: an euill quiet conscience; an euill troubled conscience; a good quiet conscience; la good troubled conscience. Of euill quier conscience are three sorts; first, the large conscience, that swallowes small sinnes (as they are termed) without scruple or remurmuration: stirres onely in grosse enormities; trembles at murther; pleaseth it selfe in rash anger: restraines from great oathes, by wounds blood, &c. makes no conscience of those by faith, troth, bread, fire, &c. "107"

bring and fleeping conscience; that stirres not, till it please God by affliction, or feare of death to awake it has then all the world for a good conscience.

Third the seared or brawnie conscience that in life and death, prosperitie and aduersitie, is senselesses a stone; as is said of Naball k, I spare the large handling of these particulars; reasons or causes of this euill quiet conscience, these first, ignorance is secondly, errour m, thirdly, commonnes of sin, when it is growne into sassining thus it is thought, the Patriarches swallowed up their Polygamie, sourthly custome of sinne, sistly, want of a sound and faithfull ministerie, a Core 14.

But hence are these two consectaries; sirst, that every quiet conscience is not present a good conscience, because quiet: for even evill conscience may be at peace;

Dilatata.

Sopita.

h Gen. 42.21.
i Ephef 4.18.
1 Tim. 4.12.
k I Sam. 25.37.
i Ephef. 4.18.
m Ioh. 16.2.

and many proclaime their miserie and shame, that liuing in grosse sinnes, thanke God they were neuer troubled in conscience.

Secondly, that therefore the not stirring or recoyling of the conscience, is no good rule to walke by 10b. 16.2.

Afecond kinde of euill conscience is the stirring or troubled euill conscience; which also hath three differences: first, which stirres in small things, swallowes vp greater in silence, n grudgeth at breaking the fridayes fast, at slesh in Lent, but at no time stickes at strange slesh. This generally popish Conscience.

Secondly, which accuseth and terrifieth for doing good, or omitting euill, through errour of sudgement.

Thirdly, that too forely accuseth, though rightly, as in Cain, Gen. 4.12. Indas, Mat. 27.3.4.5.

To remedie the iust terrour of the conscience this doe: first; humble thy selfe vnder the mightie hand of GOD, acknowledging thy guiltinesse : secondly, begge earnestly of the Lord, to have thy conscience sprinckled with the bloud of Christ, Heb. 9. 14. Rom. 5.1.

Adde as signes of an euill accusing conscience these: first, to slie the stroke of the ministerie, secondly, searcfulnesse and trembling in darknesse and solitude, euen at the noise of a leafe shaken 1: thirdly, generall disquietnesse in the heart. Isay 57.20.

A consectarie hence is this, that even stirring conscience is not a good conscience. Followes nove that which we call good conscience.

Of good conscience I herespeake, not intending an absolute goodnesse; which is none since the fall. I know conscience perfectly, and throughly good, is alwayes stirring, yet excusing and comfortable: as appeares

n Mat. 23.24.

o Pfal.32.3.4.5.

P Ioh. 3.20.21.

9 Iob.15.21.

peares by Adams fearelesse conversing with Gods Maiestie, in time of innocencie and therefore all that deadnesse and dulnesse of conscience, all accusations and terrours of conscience, let them be as so many remembrances of our naturall guiltinesse, and falling from our first estate.

But of conscience, as it is good in part after regeneration, so speake I, and so would Bernard be understood: this also is of two sorts, good and quiet, good and troubled; not that the goodnesse of conscience stands in disquietnesse, but that there may be a good conscience, where there is trouble, and some degree of terrour.

The good quiet conscience, is that that rightly excuseth in Christ Iesus, vpon privitie of performing the conditions of remission, faith and repentance, this that Salomon cals a continual feaster, the Iewell of a Christian, and as one well tearmes it, heaven vpon earth. It hath ioyned with it boldnesse and libertie to come into Gods presences, secondly, comfort in independents, yea in the expectation of that independent of the great day, I loh. 4.17. 2 Tim. 4.8.

Meanes to obtaine it: first, to judge and condemne our selves: secondly, stablish faith in Christs bloudus thirdly, desire in all things to live honestly, Heb. 13.18.

A good troubled conscience, is that that accuseth, for the breach of the law, and sometimes fils the heart with disquietnesse; see Danids heart smiting him * and this I call good, not simply, but first because it tends to good in Gods children: secondly, for that it is in a measure in those that are sprinkled in their hearts from an euill conscience y: thirdly, because there is some rectitude in the performance of these functions.

This

r Pro.15.15.

s 1 Ioh.3.21.

t 1 Cor.11.31. u Heb.9.14.

x 2 Sam. 24.10.

y Heb. 10.22.

This state of the conscience is felt, ever now and then in some measure in Gods children; and as Bernard saith well, some of Gods Saints have more of the quiet good conscience; some, more of the troubled good conscience: the sirst is the happier, the second the stronger, but both righteous. Wouldest thou then know whether God be well pleased with both? that God that frees one from temptation, strengthens the other in temptation the one feeles that the Lord is sweet, the other proves that the Lord is strong; strong in deede and mightie in battaile, wherein though hee suffer his servants to be pressed, yet not to be oppressed through the multitude of his compassions.

Notes of goodnes of conscience ioyned with terrour: first, except in violence of temptation, it holds the principle; yet God is good to Israell, as in another case David speakes 2: secondly, resolves, though the Lord kill him, yet to put trust in him b, the violence of temptation being ouer-blowne: thirdly, earnestly feekes to God by prayer: fourthly, carefully, and with an hungring delire, hangs on the ministerie of the word: and no Philition more welcome in the deadliest sicknesse of the bodie, then an interpreter, that one of a thousand, that declares gods righteousnes to a man thus troubled c: fiftly, continues the wayes of Gods commandements, viually with more strictnesse, and more zeale, then others leile troubled; as Bernard well faith, the one in sweetnesse, the other in sharpnesse, runnes the way of Gods commandements.

And thus much of the nature, offices, accidents, and kindes of conscience; vwherein, if I seeme to have beene long, consider in what times we live: secondly, how necessarie the knowledge of conscience is; and for amends of this prolixitie, expect even compendious breuitie in all that followeth.

² 2 Cor.12.9.

² Pfal.73.1. ⁶ Iob.13.15.

c Iob.33.23.

Now

Now by this that hath been spoken, the meaning of the words is explaned; the applying of this verse to the conclusion, see in the Analysis; the thing proued is, that the Gentiles that knew not the law of Moses written, yet knew by nature some things of the morall law of God, this proued by two arguments: first, their fact in outward doing the things which the law containes: secondly, their conscience, excusing in well doing, accusing in euil: συμμα είνε κόμς, Coattestants. That is, together with their fact; as their fact testified their knowledge of the law, so their conscience also vvitnessed the same. Novioual, their thoughts, or rather their reasonings and discourses; because conscience performes these offices by discourse, which we called the practical syllogisme: μεταζὺ ἀλλήλωυ; that is, as I take it vicissim; not as our English reades, one another: but as it wereby turnes; one while accusing, to vvit, in euill doing; another vvhile excusing, to wit, in doing well. on the property of the second

we the second second

and the constant of the

Verse

Verse 16. At the day when Godshall indge the secrets of men by lesus Christ, according to my Gospell.



N the day when God shall indge the secrets of men, &c. The 13.14.15. I indge with M. Bezato be included in a parenthesis: and these words to be referred to the twelfe verse; expressing the time, when the Gentiles shall perish for their

breach of the law of Nature; and the Iewes be judged by the law, that is in that day, when God shall judge the

secrets of men, &c.

Now the particulars of this verse are these: first, the time, when we shall be judged, at that day: secondly, who shall judge, God: thirdly, what he shall judge, the secrets of men: fourthly, by whom hee shall judge, by Iesus Christ: fiftly, the proofe hereof, or reference of the people to the euidence hereof, according to my Gospell.

Obser.

d Eccles. 12. 14.

In that day.] So though the Lord for a time delay to punish the rebellion of wicked men, yet will a day come when every transgression shall receive its recom-

know there is a prouidence, taking notice of all: not all here punished, that we might know there is a iudgement to come; other reasons of the delay; first, to proue the patience of Gods children: secondly, to ag-

grauate the torments of the wicked that have despised his patience.

For the vse, see Annotations on the second chapter, the third verse.

Vsez.

Let vngodly men hence conceiue terror for sinnes,

Vse 1.

first Chapters of the Romanes. Verse 16.	255
and speedily turne from their iniquities: AEts 17.	
Let vs all learne conscience and sidelitie in our cal-	Use 3.
lings, 2 Cor. 5.10.	Vse 4.
Let it patient our hearts in al afflictions, lam. 5.7. & 11. God: 1 that is, the Father: although it matters not	11:4.
much whether wetake it here effentially, or personal-	
ly; this act, for the substance of it, belonging to all the three persons; though with some difference in the man-	, , , , , , , , ,
ner of administration.	
This aggravates the terrour of this judgement to	Use.
the wicked, confidering they have to deale with God, vnto whose eyes all things are naked, and vncouered e,	, Heb.4.13.
whose iustice is infinite, whose pure eyes can indure no	f Hab. 1.13.
yncleanneise, whose power is ynresistable, and that in his wrath is a consuming fire g: and let this terrour of	g Heb. 12.29. 1
the judge perswade vs. 2 Cor.5.11.	
The secrets of men.] So that our secretest sinnes shall	Obser.
not escape his notice and strict censure, Eccles. 12.14.	
Vaine therefore that digging deepe of hypocrites to	Use I.
hide their counsels from the Lord hin in vaine doth the Adulterer waight for the twilight i, and the strumpet	h Isay.29.15.
couer her with darknesse, as with a mantle; GOD	kNG.
seeth in secret k, and shall one day reward them o-	k Mat.6. 4.
And let it teach vs to avoide, as vvell secret as open	Vse 2.
sinnes; considering wee deale with such a God, as to	17061
whom darknesse and light are both alike is and learne, as the Lord speakes to Abraham, to walke before God,	1 Pfal. 139, 12.
and to be vpright. Gen. 17.1. Ingrammed I all books	TEC.
Hence learne to refraine judgement of mens se- crets, least thou encroch vpon Gods Royall preroga-	Vse3.
tiue: and preuent not the time which the Lord hath ap-	
pointed for discouerie of secrets, least by ouer hastie	(
censure,	}

.

1	256	CHAP. 2. An Exposition upon the three
The second secon	Obser.	censure, thou condemne the innocent, 1 Cor.4.5. By Iesus Christ.] As the immediate executioner of that judgement: Compare Als 17.31. And all the proceedings therein; to which also may be applyed that place, Ioh. 5.22.23. this being a part of the admi-
	^{sn} Phil. 2.9.10.	nistration of the world committed vnto Christ the mediatour, compare also Att 10. 42 partly as a recompence of his humiliation m: partly because the proceedings of the judgement being visible, it seemed convenient that the judge himselfe should be conspicuous.
	Vse 1.	Now brethren, how vnspeakeable is the comfort
	Heb.2.11.	of this one meditation to the Children of God? that Christ their brother n, their redeemer, their mediator
	° 2 Tim.4.8.	shall be also their judge; and how should we not rather loue and desire his appearing of then sauishly feare the
	VJe 2. P Apoc 1.7.	mention of it; compare Rom. 8.33.34. And how should this assonish with feare and trembling, all those that have pierced him through P, despised his grace, and trampled vnder their feet the bloud of the Testament? Heb. 12.18. to the end.
		See wee now the euidence to which wee are referred for the proofe hereof.
	Rom. 1.2.	According to my Gospell.] Paules gospell not in respect of revelation q: but in regard of dispensation. 1 Cor. 4. 1. 1 Cor. 9. 17. Rom. 16. 25. for the fiction of an Euangelical story written by S. Paul, as by Ma-
	11 -11 -1	them, Marke, & c. Papilts themselves beginne to be ashamed of.
r	Obser. 1 Cor.5.11.	Sense. According to my Gospell.] That is to say, according as I have taught in preaching of the Gospell. Hence then may be collected, that the doctrine of the last iudgement is a part of the Gospell, and that not onely as a preparative to grace, by breaking the heart, but first, because it serves to explane the office of Christ, and

and is a part of his kingly function; and secondly because it is also matter of greatioy and reioycing to the people of God s: and thirdly, a meanes to keepevs in continuall awe of Gods maiestie, and a spur to all faithfulnes in his service, 2 Cor. 5.10.11.

So that they are foolish exceptions of gracelesse people against the pressing and explaning of this Article of our faith; we are ministers of the Gospell (they say) and therefore may not so terribly thunder out the indgements of GOD, nor meddle so much with the doctrine of the last indgement: Ans. as who say the doctrine of this last indgement, belonged not to the gospell; but thus bewray they their guiltic conscience, and give evidence of their little or none assurance, or hope, of remission of sinnes, Als 24.15.

And thus have we absolued the first part of the Apositles assumption; wherein hath beene proved; that the Gentiles are transgressors of the law of God written in nature; and therefore subject to condemnation: so far is it, that they should be justified by the workes of the

law.

s Luke 21.24.

Vse.

Verse 17. Behold, then art called a sew, and restest in the Law, and gloriest in God.

Verse 18. And knowest his will, and allowest the things that are excellent, in that thou art instructed by the Law:

Verse 19. And perswadest thy selfe that thou art a guide of the blind, a light of them which are in

darkneffe,

Verse 20. An instructer of them which lacke discretion, a teacher of the unlearned, which hast the forme of knowledge, and of the truth in the Law.

Verse 21. Thou therefore which teachest another, teachest thou not thy selfe? thou that preachest, Aman should not steale, doest thou steale?

Verse 22. Thousbat sayest, A man should not commit adulterie, doest thou commit adulterie? thou that abhorrest Idols, committest thou sacriledge.

Verse 23. Thou that gloriest in the Law, through breaking the Law, dishonourest thou God?

Verse 24. For the Name of God is blasphemed among the Gentiles through you, as it is written.



He fecond remaines touching the Iewes: and is handled from this place, the 17. verse of the 2. chapter, to the 21. verse of the 3. chapter.

The conclusion of which vvhole place is this, that the Iewes are also

transgressours of the law; and therefore cannot be justified

iustified thereby. This conclusion is laid down from the 17. verse of the 2. chapter to the 24. proued in the 24. by testimonie, as also in the 3. chapter from the 9. verse to nineteenth; cleared from exceptions from the 25. verse to the ninth verse of the third chapter.

The passage to this conclusion, is by preoccupation and preuenting of such things, as whereby the sewes laboured to keepe off from themselues, the sentence of condemnation, wherein is, first, an enumeration of their priviledges whereof they boasted, with a concession of them, verse 17. 18. 19.20. secondly, a correction, wherein he shewes the vanitie of such priviledges, without obedience; and in a Rhetorical Dialogisme, consisting of many galling interrogatories, propounds the conclusion obliquetie; viz. that the sewes notwithstanding all their boasting, were transgressours of the law: vyhich he proves from an effect of their transgression, consirmed by testimonie of the Prophet Ezech. 36.23.

The priviledges whereof they boasted, were partly externall; partly internall; outward priviledges are first, the title of Iewes, and that as it seemes in this regard, for that the Messiah was promised to come of the tribe of Indah t: secondly, the giving of the law, that is, because the Lord had vouchsafed them that dignitie as to commit vnto them the oracles v: vvherein they are said to rest, as in a matter of much grace and fauour from God, as indeed it was; saue onely that they pleased themselves too much in the very giving of the law, without regard to the obedience of it, or respect to the ende thereof, which is Christ for righteousnetle to them that beleeue x: there was the outward Couenant vvhich GOD had made with that people, passing by all other Nations: in regard the Lord had called himselfe the GOD of Israell, and in the Coue-

t Gen.49.10.

u Deut.4.8.

× 2 Cor.3.13.

14.

S 2

nant

nant promised to be their GOD. These in the 17.

Inward priviledges; knowledge of the will of God, and that in an excellent measure; in so much that they were able to discerne, and put difference betwixt good and evill; to judge of things agreeing to the law, and to discrythings differting there-from: not onely so, but able also to instruct others; which he enunciates, first, metaphorically; then plainely, as expounding his

metaphor, verse 19.20.

Where by the way, he shewes that these things were in many but vaine bragges; residencing their vaine boasting sitly to the sashion of our people: I trust I am not now to learne my dutie; I hope I know what my dutie is, and for neede am able to instruct others. Τὰμ μωρφωσινίξης γνώσεως, whereby he meanes as I take it, the very Idea as it were of knowledge, and that truth taught in the law; and the platforme of knowledge gathered into a compendious methode for their owne, and other mens information, &c. These their priviledges.

Followes the correction, in a vehement obiurgation: vt supra, where the words are plaine, and free from difficultie: verse 22. Sacrilege; vnderstand here general-

ly the robbing of God of his honour.

Now from the body of this Text: and drift of the Apostle, observe this one generall conclusion: that no outward priviledge, nor inward grace availeth any thing to salvation without obedience; it hath truth both in law and Gospell; great were the priviledges of the Iewish Nation, many the personall graces of many: compare this place with Rom. 9.4 5.6. yet no shelter from Gods wrath, while severed from obedience: a wonderfull dignitic it was, as ever had creature, to be the mother of our Sauiour; yet this

Obser.

was not that, that made the Virgin bleffed; but her hearing and keeping of the word of GOD y. An excellent fauour, to be brought vp at the feete of Christ, and to be of his houshould servants; yet what availed it Indas? an unreformed reprobate. An high dignitie to be a preacher, and an interpreter of the vvill of God to his people, to worke miracles, cast out divels, yet nothing auaileable, if thou be a worker of iniquitie 2: what profited it Esau, to be circumcised, to sorrow for sinne, continuing in prophanenesse ? What Iehu, that he was icalous for the Lord of hostes b? not regarding to walke in the way of the Lord, nor departing from the sinnes of Ieroboam? What Indas, that hee was one of the twelue, an Apostle a doer of miracles, a forrowfull penitent c, his heart hanging after his couetousnesse? What Balaam, that he prophesieth, wisheth to die the death of the righteous, refusing to liue the life of the righteous d: what the lewes, to have eate and drunke in Christs company, to have heard him teach in their Synagogues, confidering they were for all that, workers of iniquitie e? or what vs, to be baptized, to heare, reade, know the will of GOD; while wee are no doers of it? for search both Couenants, law and Gospell, see, whether they require not both, obedience. Exod. 19 5. ler. 3 1.33.

To apply these things to Iusticiaries according to the law, as the Apostle doth; see wee how sandie a soundation the hope of all such men is built upon, that for outward priviledges, promise themselves saluation and righteousnesses, there is no such covenant, that if we be circumcised, borne in the Church, know Gods vvill, &c. wee shall be justified; the law requires doing, and perfect doing of all the commers

thereto.

Giue leaue also to apply it after the tenour of the Gol-S 3 pell, y Luke 11.27. 28.

² Mat.7.22.23. ^a Heb.12.16 17 ^b 2 Reg.10.16.

c Mat.27.3.

d Numb.23.10.

c Luke 13.27.

V/e I.

Heb.5.9.

g Ioh. 13.17. Luc. 12.48.

h Mark. 6.20. Heb. 6.5. Mat. 13. i 2 Reg. 10.16. 31. Rom. 10 6. k Mat. 27.3. l Heb. 13.16.

Vse 2. m 2 Cor.7.1.

n Rom 10.10.
2 Cor.6. 20.
o Heb.10.22.
P Mark 6.

pell; and couenant of grace, wherein also new obedience is required to saluation, though to other ends,& on other termes s: and let all such as boast of their Christendome, and other common personall graces, lay it to heart: knowing that their idle saith cannot saue them, if it be seuered from obedience; not that it hath place in iustifying, but yet is necessarie in the person justified.

There be that thanke God for their knowledge; and it is indeed a thanke-worthie gift of God; time was (fay they) when I knew neither God nor his will; now, I thanke God, Iknow when I doe well when I do ill, &c. Ans. but if thou know and doe not, how is thy state bettered g ? others praise God they finde sweetnes in the word; whereas it was death to them to heare a Sermon, now they have a delight in hearing. Ans. a good gift of God, but nothing in availe without reformation bothers boast of zeale; let them see lehu i :0thers of griefe for sinne, their heart akes to thinke of them; let them see Indas k, Esan ; others of desires and fleeting purposes to leave sinne; others of some momentanie externall reformation; this is much; yet read we of manie foule relaptes and fearefull apostalies. 2 Pet. 2.20.

And let it teach vs, to joyne to all these worthis priuiledges & graces of God, through reformation of our hearts, and lives, read 2 Cor. 17.1. wherein the Apostelle hath comprised in a short summe, the whole doctrine of Christian reformation, first, the nature, secondly, the measure, thirdly, the grounds, sourthly, the meanes. The nature may thus breistly be collected out of the text: to be the purging of our selves from all silthinesse both of slesh and spirit, and growing to full holinesse in the searce of God; the heart is not all n, nor theoutward man all o: many things suffice not p, but all silthinesse must be for sken: nor yet to cease from

euilla

130.4. Hab .3.19.

uing their truth also after a sort in the Gospell.

Sundry other perticulars might be observed out of this Text, one only I will insist on: & that is this, whereas the Apostle thus sharply chides the dissolute, life of those, that would bemasters in Israel, and yet liued in wilfull disobedience; we may obserue, that there cannot be a more odious thing, then for a man to take vpon him to teach others, neglecting in the meane while, to teach and reforme himselfe: and how bitterly the spirit of God euerie where inueighed against such teachers: see Psal.50.16.17. Math. 23.4

And it should admonish euery of vs in place of teaching, to begin instruction and information at our selues: sundry reasons there are mouing to this dutie; first, our admonitions otherwise grow vaine, contemtible, and odious "; euill practife in our selues, not onely impayring the authoritie of our teaching, but even making all admonitions loathsome vnto our people, secondly, more hurt doth ill example then all instruction, 54

23. Doctrine. 180-

V/c I.

u 1.Sam. 2.12. 13.14.17.

Aion, admonition, or correction can profit; thirdly, besides that, the people vsually attend more to example, then to doctrine, till that wisedome prescribed Math. 23.3. be learned: fourthly, and what a wofull case is that Paul intimates 1 Cor. 9.27. of such teachers, that preaching to others, themselves through disobedience become castawaies, fiftly, to say nothing, that such men can never with that power, and servencie reprove that sinne in another whereof their owne conscience is guiltie; yea it sares with such a man, as with him that holds a madde dogge by the earcs, hee knowes not whither is best, hold him or let him goe: and what combates such men have, when they fall vpon texts that point the singer at their owne sores we see in experience.

Verse 24.

But proceed we in the text. In this verse is the proofe of the conclusion by a testimonic of Ezechiel, cap. 26.

20. The Iewes were transgressours, proofe: for the name of God was blasphemed amongst the Gentiles through them; partly in respect of the wrath of God vpon them for their transgressions, partly, for other their abhominable actions, which opened the mouthes of the heathen to blasphemethe truth, mercie, power, puritie of the Lord, whiles their sinnes drew down the vengeance of God vpon them,

Obser.

V* 2 Sam. 12.14.

But here observe, how the lewd life of Gods people professing his name turnes to the blemish and dishonour of the Lord w: experience hereof wee see dayly; let a prophane man, that neither searces God nor reverenceth man, live in the grosest sinnes that can be thought of not a word of reproofe or dislike vt-tered; let another that professeth religion, through instrinction, but once in his life to be overtaken, then are the mouthes of all the prophane multitude opened against the very truth, and profession it selfe: these are your

your bible-bearers, your professours, your men of the holy house, see their fruits, &c. The sinne fearefull is such as give occasion, see 2 Sam. 12.

Verlezs. For Circumcision verily is president if thou doe the Law: but if thou be a transgressor of the Law, thy Circumcisson is made uncircumcisson.

N these words is a new prolepsis, the objection whereof is this, if these former priviledges availe not to righteousnesse and saluation yet circumcision at least may steed vs, else what vse is there of circumcision? Circumcision is profitable

to iustification: Ergo, workes of the law serve to iustifie. Ans. The answere followes, of three members: first, a concession; Circumcision indeede is profitable: secondly, a correction, wherein is first a limitation, if thou keepe the law; illustrated by an Antithesis, verse 25. and a comparison from the greater to the lesse, verse 25. Secondly, a distinction; circumcision is of two sorts; literall, spirituall, outward, inward; literall availes not except with men; the circumcision of the heart is that onely that stands in force, and hath acceptation with God. verse 28.29.

In this period I must professe a swaruing from the course I held in publike handling, as judging my second thoughts here somewhat wifer; circumcision I then tooke as a Sacrament, sealing vp vnto vs the couenant of grace, and conceaued the profitablenesse of this sacrament here mentioned, to be as of others, a meane to confirme faith; the law also I restrained to that con-

dition

condition of faith and new obedience, to which the Sacraments binde vs, as Rem. 6.3.4.

But now observing the scope of the Apostle, mee thinks this exposition though sutable enough to the anologie of Faith, yet sitting not the drift of the Apostle.

That wee may better judge of the sense; consider we a little the purpose of the holy Ghost: which is, as I take it, to take from the Iewes all their vaine considence in circumcision & such like ceremonious observances in the matter of justification: they object circumcision is profitable to justification: Paul yeelds it with a limitation, and a distinction as before, not to be long.

Consider we what it is whereto the Apostle affirmes circumcision to be profitable. Ans. to institution in the sight of GOD: for that is it which the Iewes ex-

pected from circumcision.

The question then is, how the Apostle could affirme circumcision to be auaileable to right coulines of works in the sight of God: seeing Rom. 4. 11. it was instituted for a signe, and a seale of the right coulinesse of faith. Ans. Circumcision is considered two wayes; generallie as a ceremonie, or a branch of the law ceremonials; more strictly as a Sacrament sas a part of the law, it may truck be said to be auaileable to instituction, proparte sua, as every part of obedience auailes that way. Now whether in this sense the Apostle affirmes it to be auaileable, I dare not determine; how be it this is everie where evident, that the Iewes vsed, vrged, trusted more in it as a part of obedience, then as in a sacrament of the Couenant of grace, reade Als 15.5. Gal. 5.23.

Yea, what if we take it as a Sacrament, and lay that it assured even insticiaries of saluation by workes, if they could bring such workes as the law required? Surely, all that the Lord requires in both Covenants, is obedience vnto the law: and that is the condition of both

both Couenants; that obedience to the law be performed; this onely the difference, that the legall Couenant requires righteousnelle to be performed by our selves; that of grace admits this dispensation, that if we can bring it performed by our furety, it shall availe to righteousnesseand saluation: in summe, Circumcision seales vp saluation euen to insticiaries hypothetice, if they keepe the law; to beleevers certainely in refpect of the euent, if they but beleeue in Christ, and

performe new obedience, see Gal. 5.3.

But here I thinke the observation is easie out of the bodie of the Text: that the worke done in Sacraments auailes not to righteousnelle or saluation, except the condition of the couenant be performed, by those that partake them: first, the condition, then the Antithelis shewes it, if thou be a breaker of the law, thy Circumcifion is made vncircumcifion; that is, all one to thee as if thou hadst neuer beene Circumcised: yea; a Gentile wanting the Sacrament, having obedience, nearer heaven then thou, that half the Sacrament, and neglectest obedience y: and weigh well that the Lordin promising, or sealing, bindes not himselfe to performance, but conditionally; that we performe our restipulation, & whence Sacraments should have efficacie, but from the promise and grace of God, I see not.

And therefore that is a meere collusion of Papilts, when they teach that the worke done in Sacraments; is availeable to those that participate, yea fine bono motu vients. See we first their explication, secondly, their reasons: in Sacraments therefore they assigne a double worke; one of the worker, that is, of him that receives the Sacrament: another which they call barbaroufly opus operatum: that is, the act exercised about the Sacrament, as in baptisme; opus operantes, is the devotion of him that receives it; the worke wrought, the very fprinkling |-

y 1 Cor. 10.1. 2.2.4.5.

V(e.

Bellarm. d**e** effectu Sacr. lib.2.cap.3.

sprinkling or dipping in water, and pronouncing of the words by the minister; which very worke wrought, (except there be Obex, that is, an impediment in the seceauer, id est, except he set himselfe purposely to hin. der the effect of the Sacrament,) confers saith, iustification, pardon of sinne, &c. This the ancient exposition, and howfocuer Bellarmine and some other Neoterickes amongst them hunts after other expositions and take themselves slandered, when this opinion is assigned vnto them; yet when they have all done, their owne explanation is coincident herewith, for what ods betwixt these two? that the verie act of Sacraments confers grace without the deuotion of the receauer; and that it is nothing else for Sacraments to confer grace by the worke done, butto confer grace by force of the verie Sacramentall action ordayned of God for this purpose, not by the merit, that is to say, disposition of the suscipient: this being the generall doctrine of the most, that Sacraments confer the grace which they signifie, where is no predisposition of him that receaues them.

Nowwhen they come to proue this conclusion, they thus enunciate it, that the Sacraments are true instrumental causes of grace; which if they would thus explane, that the Sacraments are instruments or meanes of grace which they are ordayned to confer, potestate: we would easily accord with them; for it is true, that they are not emptie signes, but by Gods ordinance have a sitnesse, when they light upon a subject right-lie disposed, to worke that whereto they are ordayned: but to say that they are actuals causes of grace where they are applied to subjects not disposed, is a thing senselies, and without ground in the word of God.

But let vs see their reasons: which are, first, testimonies, secondly, artificiall arguments: first, testimonie

is, Math. 3. 11. whence they thus conclude Christs baptisme is more powrefull then Iohns, but Iohns Baptisme did nourish faith where it was already, therefore Christ confers it where it is wanting. Ans. all this wee easily yeeld being rightly vnderstood; but they deceive vs in the humonumic of Christs baptisme, which they thus interpret; that by Christs baptisme we must vnderstand, that which he instituted for the perpetual vse of the Church, vnder the element of water, whereof Math. 28.19. whereas it is manifest, that here we are to vnderstand the baptisme which Christ ministred in shedding out the gifts of the holy Ghost vpon his Disciples. &c.as Act. 1.5.

Testimonie the second, Mar. 16.16. He that beleeveth and is baptised shall be saued. Ans. To omit their cauils against vs, it will be vnpossible hence to conclude, that baptisme confers grace, or saluation where it is wanting by the worke wrought: all that is here affirmed, is that saluation belongs to them that beleeue, and are baptised. Now how absurdly hence is concluded therefore baptisme confers grace and saluation ex opere operato? whereas first, faith foregoeth; and secondly, it is added that who so beleeueth not shall be

damned, yea though he be baptiled.

Testimonie the third, John 3. 5. Hence they thus conclude, baptisme of water is cause of new birth; therefore it workes regeneration by the worke wrought. Ans. sirst, yeeld that by water we are here to understand the Sacrament; yet followes not the conclusion; yea the very coupling of the holy ghost with water, intimates thus much at least, that if the holy Ghost worke not with the element, (which is possible) the Sacrament auailes not to regeneration: secondly, it is not without cause, that our Sauiour oft makes mention of the holy Ghost onely, and his purpose is this onelie to shew

Thew the necessitic of new birth to saluation, verse 7.8. yea and otherwise this absurditie would follow, that all lacking baptisme should be damned; and that some having the vvashing of the spirit should not besaued, because they vvant the Sacrament.

Testimonie the fourth, Att. 2.38. Att. 22.16. Repent and be baptifed for remission of sinnes, be baptifed and wash away thy sinnes in calling on his name: therefore baptisme confers remission of sinnes, by the worke wrought, Ans. first, the Text it selfe requiring some things, as dispositions, plainely euerts that inference of opus operatum; for if baptisme of it selfe confers remislion; vvhy doth Peter require repentance? and Ananias innocation? secondly, vvhy doe themselues confelle, that both the Iewes beleeved and Paul repented ere they were baptifed, and yet would have vs beleeue; that vvhere is no disposition of the receauer, the Sacraments confer grace? but hence it is easilie collected, that the meaning of the places is this; that baptisme was to be receaued as a scale for their further assurance of pardon; for who knowes not but that to beleeuers, and true penitentiaries remission belongs by the promise of God? vvhy then must they be baptised? Anl. For further confirmation z, receauing the Sacrament as a testimonie and vvitnesse of their reconciliation with God.

Testimonie the sist and sixt, Ephe. 5. 26. Tix. 3. 5. wher baptisme is made the instrument of purging and regeneration. Ans. First, doubtfull voluther there be anie speach of the Sacrament, or voluther onelie of the blood of Christ, and the spirit a sistement, let that be granted, yet their voorke done will neuer hence be concluded: voe yeeld them instruments and meanes this way: but yet so as that their efficacie, requires a predisposition, in those that receaue them.

Testimo-

² Rom.4.11. 1 Iohn 5.8.

a Ezech.36 25

Testimonie last 1 Peter 3.22. Baptisme saueth. Ans. the place it selfe affords solution: baptisme saueth, but there is a twofold baptisme; one elementarie; whereby the bodie is washed; the other spirituall, whereby the conscience is purged; hereof this place is to be understood; at least they are to be taken conjunctly, so that without this latter, the former hath no sauing efficacie.

See we now their artificiall arguments, first, a subiectis, or persons to whom Sacraments are administred, they are rightly and profitably administred to infants, deafe, dumbe, madde, fleeping, therefore are not fignes onelie stirring vp faith, but immediatlie conferring fanctitie by the worke wrought. Anf. First, their antecedent of generall containes a most absurd falsitie; for who, but a madde man, would minister the Eucharistoa child, a madde man? &c. Not being able to examine themselues, or discernethe Lords body? or vvith vvhat profit should they partake them b? secondly, if particularly vnderstood of baptisme, the consequence is abfurd; for what though it may profitably be administred to infants? (for as touching madde men notable to professe faith) me thinks Papists shold demurre of administration: must the profit needs be conferring of fanctitie, vvithout their disposition? and not rather their obligation and binding to obedience through their whole life? we minister rightly to infants of beleeuing parents thereby initiating them into the couenant, binding them to perpetuall obedience; but whether baptisme any otherwise profit them, till they have discretion to make vse of baptisme by meditation, to me it is doubtfull.

Second argument ab efficiente, they are signes of diuine institution and therefore practicall, and haue power by the worke done, to effect some thing supernaturall. b 1 Cor. 1 1.28,

pernaturall. Ans. We yeeld all; but yet their conclution remaines unproued; for what though they have by divine institution a power to vvorke supernaturals effects; yet actually they effect them not without the præuious disposition of the receiver.

Third argument, Sacraments depend on God in the very vse, who workes by the minister, therefore by the worke done they confer grace. Ans. It followes not: for what though concurrence of the principall agent to be required to make the instrument effectuall? will it follow therefore, that the effect, to which they are designed, is vvrought wheresoeuer the in-Itrument is applyed? I take it not: except it be shewed, that as the cooperation of the principall is necessarie, so it is alwayes certaine and present; which who can af-

firme to be perpetuall in the Sacrament?

Fourth argument, Sacraments depend vpon Christs death and passion, Christs death hath given a power to Sacraments to worke grace, Ergo, &c. Ans. first, that Sacraments respect Christs death, rather as the object, then as the efficient: secondly, be it graunted, that Christ by his death hath procured vnto his children the gifts of the spirit, by vvhich Sacraments are effectuall vnto vs? yet vvill it not follow that therefore thy are effectuall to all receauers, in as much as the efficacie of Christs merit reacheth not to all, and againe the spirit by which they are made effe-Ctuall, is not given to all. Iohn 16.

Fift argument, Sacraments are effectuall to affure vs. of pardon of sinnes; therefore are practicall signes, that have a kinde of inherent vertue to confer grace: Antecedent is too narrow to inferre so large a consequent. It is true that they are effectuall meanes to affure beleeuers in greater measure of the pardon of sinnes; not true, that they are thus

effectuall

Verse 25.

effectuall by the very receiving, to those also that are not pre-disposed. And Bellarmines speech is not to be forgotten; though sacraments of themselves be infallably effectuall, yet may it come to passe that to vs they are not effectuall, in respect of our owne indisposition.

Sixt argument, sacraments respect saith, otherwise then the word; for the word goes before saith, sacraments follow it b: therefore sacraments worke their effect not by meditation or discourse, but by inherent vertue. Ans. A meere calumney; for what if Sacraments and the word be in that respect vnlike, that the Word goes before saith, & Sacraments follow it? shall it thence follow that Sacraments require not our meditation, to this end that they may be essectual? or that they conferre grace where is no disposition in the receiver? Nay, doth not the contrarie hence sollow, that for as much as Sacraments may not be administred but to beleevers c: that therefore they are not essectuall to vs without our predisposition?

Seauenth argument, Sacraments are effectuall to the receiver though ministred in an vnknowne tongue; therefore by the worke done. And. If by this not vnderstanding of the language, they meane an vniuersall ignorance of the tenour of the Couenant; so we deny their Antecedent: if such an ignorance of the tongue, as that the word of promise is vnderstood by the suscipient, though not as propounded by the minister; so their Antecedent infers not the conclusion.

Eight Argument: if they availe not, save onely by meditation and vnderstanding, then were there no cause why the learned &c. should be baptised. Ans. It followes not, for even they that vnderstand may by renewing their meditation in the vse of the seales be confirmed. Rom.4.11.

b Rom.10. Acts 8.

c Acts.8.

d 1 Cor.10.1.2 3

Argument the last; otherwise no difference betwixt sacraments of new and old testament. Ans. We yield it in respect of esticacied; though in the signes, manner of signifying, and such other circumstances differences be manifold.

Many other both testimonies and reasons are produced by the aduersaries, which partly for breuitie sake, partly, because they are not so vrgent, nor so nearely concerne the question, I have omitted; if any shall say that I have imposed upon them a conclusion which they maintaine not. Ans. Eyther this must be their conclusion to which their reasons must be applyed; else prove they nothing against vs: for weeyeeld them to be not onely signes to signific, but instruments effectuall to conferre grace to Gods children in the right use of them.

In this question my stay hath beene the longer in as much as I see the dregges of this popish leaven to stick in our people that hold them pomehresta pharmaca, salues for all sores, and potions so powerfull to cleanse them from sinne, that though there be no care of performing what they binde vnto, yet the grace therein offered they thinke shall be by the worke done, communicated vnto them. Let them reade. I Cor. 10.1.2.
3.4.5. Rom. 6. Mar. 16.16.1 Pet. 3.22. Proceed we now in the Text.

ic I cxt.

Verse

Verse 26. Therfore if the uncircumcision keepe the ordinances of the Law, shall not his uncircumcision be counted for circumcision.



He first amplification, by Antithesis: summe wherof is this; that as circumcision without obedience auailes not to saluation; so obedience, though severed from circumcision, is in some case comfortable.

Vncircumcision; vnderstand metomynically, the Gentiles vncircumcised, if it keep the ordinances of the Law. Quest. First, whether possible to keepe the law? Ans. This scripture teacheth no such thing, being hypothericall. Ob. It seemes obedience is requisite to instification. Ans. so we grant; but then this we distinguish; that the obedience of the law necessarie to instification is performed by beleeuers in their suretie Christ Iesus; and this requisite to every person instified: not by our selves, in as much as first nature corrupted cannot possibly performe it is secondly, nature sanctified is but in part sanctified. Kom. 7.

Be counted circumcission.] That is, as well esteemed, as if he were circumcised. Now here mee thinkes, is more then intimated, that bare want of the Sacrament condemnes not a beleeuer; and that a beleeuer though he want the sacrament, (that want not arising from contempt, but from ineuitable necessitie) may be saued. See verse 26. Not to be long this way: it is that the Rhemists yeeld vnto Gentiles before Christ not of the stocke of Abraham. Whence thus were reason; that if vnder the olde testament sacraments were not absolutely and every way necessary to salvation, then may wee

c Rom.8.3.

Obser.

T 2

not imagine such an absolute necessitie vnder the new: but before Christ not absolutely necessarie. Ergo, &c. For this were to make our condition worse then vvas the state of Iewes, &c. Object. If any shall suy, that circumcision was not then inioyned with so straight charge as baptisme now. Ans. The contrarie appeareth. Gen. 17.14

Secondly, infants many dyed without Circumcision before the eight day; of whom if wee shall conclude they were damned, we shall first impose cryme of tyranny upon Gods Commaundement; and secondly, (which is not probable,) be able to say of some particulars, they are damned. Now if bare want of Circumcission damned not them, neither doth bare want of Baptisme throw us out of hope of saluation.

Thirdly, without the Sacrament, righteousness and instification may be obtayned g: if instification, then saluation: betwixt which two, the connexion is inseperable. Rom. 8.30.

Fourthly, Quid quod? Some of the aduersaries confelle, that there are some cases wherein Baptisme of water is not absolutely necessarie as in martyrdome; secondly, speake of a kinde of Baptisme in vow, or desire which in some case may availe to saluation.

Fiftly, were authours of that distinction of necessitie: first, absolute; secondly, ordinata potestatis.

Whence me thinkes is easily concluded, that though Baptisme be ordinarily necessarie to saluation, when it may be had; yet not absolutely necessarie, where is a bare want seuered from contempt.

And therefore audacious and vncharitable is that sentence of Papists; that Baptisme is necessarie as a meane to saluation, and that in such fort, that if a man be not Baptised, he perisheth euerlastingly, although perhaps for his ignorance, hee may be excused from breach

f 2 Tim. 2.19.

g Rom.4.10.11

Votibus Baptismus.

Use.
Bellar.de
Sacr.Bapt.
lib.1.cap.4.

1 11 5

breach of the commandement to that end given.

Now sure their proofes should be pregnant for so heavie a doome patsed on so many infants, borne in the Couenant, and yet dying vnbaptised. Let vs heare them.

First, loh. 3.5. Except a man be borne againe of water and the holy Ghost, bee cannot enter into the kingdome of God. Anf. How strange is it? that so peremptory a conclusion, should be built vpon a Text, of so doubtfull and ambiguous interpretation? how often doth water fignific the spirit h? nay doth not our Saujour intimate a disparitie of necessitie? when he first propounds, after repeates the absolute necessitie of regeneration, without mention of water; and Mar. 16. 16. having said that who so beleeues and is baptised shall be saued, in the Antithelis saith not, hee that is not baptised, but only, he that beleeves not shall be damned.

And as to their second reason from humane testimonies, let it suffice to oppose that one sentence of Auoustine De Baptis, contra donatat. Libro 4.cap. 22. that fuffring sometimes doth the steed of baptisme, S. Cyprean hath no sleight proofe from that theefe to whom (being not baptised) it was said, this day shalt thou be with me in Paradice. Quod etiam atque etiam considerans, (saith Austine;) inuenio non tantum passionem pronomine Christiid quod ex Baptismo deerat, posse supplere; sed etiam sidem conversionemá, cordis, si forte ad celebrandum my. sterium baptismi in Angustiys temporu, succurinon poterit. Neque enim Latro ille pro nomine Christi crucifixus est. sed pro meritis facinorum suorum : nec quia credidit passus est, sed dum patitur, credit. Quantum itaque valeat etiam sine visibili sacramento Baptismi quod ait Apostelus Rom. 10.10. Corde creditur ad institiam, ore antem fit confessio ad salutem, in illo Latrone declaratum est : sed tunc impletur inuisibiliter, cum mysterium Baptismi, non con-

h Ezec.36. Joh.4.

Ve.

Thus farre Austine; whose summe amounteth to this, that though contempt of baptisme be damnable, yet bare want damnes no man.

And let it admonish vs, as to forbeare sentencing to hell all those that dye without the Sacrament: so not to aduenture, vpon this false supposition of absolute necessitie, intruding into the Ministers function: disconfortable is contempt, dangerous omission of this ordinance, where it may regularly be had; and as dangerous without calling to vsurpe the honour of Aaron, Heb 5.5.

Verse 27. And shall not uncircumcision which is by nature (if it keep the Luw) indge thee, which by the letter and circumcision art a transgressour of the Law?

Verse 28. For he is not a lew, which is one outward: neither is that circumcisson, which is outward in the siesh. I and the heart are

Verse 29. But he is a lew which is one within, and the circumcission is of the heart, in the spirit, not in the letter, whose praise is not of men, but of God.

He next amplification followes, in a comparison of vnequals: bare want of circumcision shall not hinder the saluation of a beleeuing Gentile; nay more, his obedience performed in want of the sacrament, shall judge thee, which by

the letter and circumcision art a transgressour of the law. Circumcisson by nature: that is, a Gentile vvanting outward circumcisson: letter and circumcisson,

that

that is, literall and externall circumcision seuered from the grace of the Sacrament, shall iudge, that is, condemne: iudgement of men is properly ascribed to God, as the principal agent; sometimes to the word i, as the rule or witnes; sometimes to the Ministers and Saintsk: First, in respect of their testimonie; secondly, example; thirdly, approbation: so here, the Gentiles shall iudge the Iewes, viz. by their example, occasioning the Lord to pour out the seueritie of his wrath vpon such, as having more meanes, and provocations, performe lesse ductie and obedience. Compare Mai. 12.

Then how heavy shall be the condemnation of many in the Church, exceeded of the heathen in devotion, instice, and common honestie? how odious was periurie amongst them? how rife amongst vs? Vsurie with them matched with murther; with vs vsed as a lawful trade. Papists, to make proselytes travaile sea & land; wander (good God) how farre, to the shrines of Saints by them made Idols? instruction of families with vs

neglected, and our affemblies desolate,&c.

Which by the Letter and Circumcission. Letter and Circumcision, that is literall circumcision having the element, wanting the substance. Interpreters note an Enallage of the præposition (Aià) for (èu:) perhaps fitly; and yet, it may be the Apostle would intimate, the occasion of their transgression; vaine confidence in the outward element received by them. This once is evident in common experience, that trust to the elements incourageth many to libertinisme in their liues. Sa. craments rightly meditated leade to obedience in: milapplyed through corruption, occasion licenticulnes; as how often doc our people, reproued by the word GOD, for swearing, drunkennesse, who redome, &c. take sanctuarie at Baptisme? as if it assured of remillion T4

i 1 Ioh.12.48.
k Mat.19.28.
1 Cor.6.

Use.

¹1 Cor.10. 1.2.3. mRom.6. Verse 28.

ⁿ Rom 9.6.

Obser.

o Apoc.2.9.
P Rom.9.6.7.
V/e I.

mission; mentrading in transgression. Let such consider, what now followes, in the Apostles answere; and that is, the distinction of Iewes and Circumcision, and analogically of Christians and their Baptisme.

He is not a lew, namely approued of God, and within the Couenant, that is one ontward, &c. that hath onely carnall generation of Abraham n: neither is that Circumcision availeable to salvation, that is outward in the slesh; and cutting of the fore-skinne; but hee is the lew indeede, that is such in secret: that is, in heart; whose heart is vpright before GOD, in performing his restipulation, sincere obedience; and circumcision which is of the heart; whereby corruption of the heart is abated, in the spirit: by the worke and operation of the holy Ghost; whose praise is not of men onely, which judge after the outward appearance; but of God, which pondereth the hearts.

Thenthere is more required to Christendome, then to be borne in the Church, or to be sprinckled with the water of Baptisme, or to make profession of Christianitie; there be titular Iewes, that are in truth the verie sinagogue of Sathan o: not all Israel that were born of Iacob p: not all Christians that are Baptised. Att. 8.

What a witlesse conclusion then is that of Papills? from the name to the nature of Catholikes: because named Catholiques by themselves, and there adherents (for for vs we give it them Associous onely) therefore the onely Catholique Church in the world; and what a Church have they framed vnto Christ? whereof secret insidels, open offenders; men lacking all true grace, may be after a sort, true members; so they professe saith, partake sacraments, performe outward subjection to their visible Cerberus; indeed secret insidels, professing saith, and not bewraying insidelitie by lacke of reformation, may till discouerie be reputed of

men

V(2.

men, members of the visible Church; but that they should be in any fort true members of that Church, which Christ hath bought with his bloud, to vvhom those benefits of remission of sinnes, resurrection to glorie, and life eternall belong, passeth (I dare say) S. Pauls skill, to conceiue, and Bellarmines logique to proue. Reade this Text.

And let it teach vs, not to content our selucs vvith these outward titular prerogatives; but rather, labour wee to finde the solide nature of these in our

hearts.

Notes of a true Christian indeed; generall: oyntment of the spirit. 1 loh. 2.20. More particular, first, they are kings in ruling their owne affections, gouerning their samilies, losur 24. Gen. 18.19.

Prophets, instructing themselves. Rom. 2.21. and

others within their compate. Ephel.6.

Priests, gifted with the spirit of deprication, Zachar. 12.10. Carefully and delightfully exercising it; in behalfe of themselves and others. 1 Car. 1.2. lob. 1.5.

Notes of an heart truely circumcifed: first, such worship GOD in spirit: secondly, rejoyce in Christ Iesus, having no confidence in the flesh 4: thirdly,

put off the sinfull bodie of the flesh. And of this second Chapter hitherto.

^q Phil.3.3. r Col. 2.11.

THE

THE III, CHAPTER,

Verse 1. What is then the preferment of the Iew? or what is the profit of corcumcision?

Verse 2. Mush every manner of way: for chiefely, because unto them were committed the oracles of God.



His Chapter, first, continues the allegations of the Iewes in behalfe of themselues, and the Apostles answeres to the same; secondly, after resumes the assumption discontinued; that Iewes also are transgressours of the law; and

thirdly, profecutes the first conclusion; that man is iu-

stified by faith, without the workes of the law.

Their first allegation, propounded by way of question is verse. If the case be so, that Iewes equally with Gentiles, are lyable to condemnation for sin, belike then the Iew hath no preferment about the Gentile, neither is there any benefit of circumcision: but the Iewes haue prerogatives about the Gentiles, and circumcision is sure prostable, Ergo. The Apostles answere consists, first, of a concession to the first member; secondly, a proofe of it; and thirdly a denial of the reason, as it serves to overturne the first conclusion. verse 9.

The concession propounded, verse 2. much is the prerogative of the lew, proued by instance, the oracles of GOD were committed vnto them: the rest shall be shewen in order. Observe here first, the excel-

lencie: secondly, proprietie: thirdly, manner: fourthly,

the title of their prerogative.

And hence obserue; first, that it is an excellent and chiefe priuiledge, to enioy the word and ministerie thereof; this is saith Paul primarium; for so I take that particle; to note rather the excellencie of the bleffing, then the order of enumerationa. See Pfal. 147, where the excellencie of it is showne, by attributes, effects, comparisons. First, it converteth the soule: secondly, it is fure, we may build on the truth of it: both in promise of mercie, and threatning of judgement: thirdly, it giueth wisedome, and that to the simple: fourthly, it is righteous altogether, no errour in it: fiftly, rejoyceth the heart: fixtly, pure in all points: seauenthly, enlighteneth the eyes; compared to gold for profit; to hony for sweetnes : eightly, maketh circumspect: first, in shewing the danger of sinneb: secondly, teachinghow to avoide, verse 10. ninthly, it encourageth to obedience by propounding rewards, comforts our distresses: instructs in righteousnes, discouereth errours; checks our steppings aside, which David counted a great benefit d: as the Arke amongst the Israelites, so this amongstany people, is a pledge of Gods presence.

How much then are we indebted to the maiesty and bounty of our God, that hath graced vs with this priuie blessing exbut to see how coldly thankes for this beness from vs, is strange: our peace and plentie, wee can sometimes thankefully acknowledge; but
this blessing of blessings, the glad tydings of peace,
wrought with GOD by Iesus Christ; and published in
the Gospell; this plentie of the word, how seeme wee
eyther to loath, as the Israelites Manna; or at least coldly to commend and publish the rich grace of GOD to

vs in that behalfe.

Reasons of it in many, earthly mindedness: first, because

Obser.

Excellencie.

Pfal.147.

19.20.
Deut.4 8.9.
Pfal.19.
2 Tim.3.
Rom.15.4.

b Rom. 6.25.

c Rom.15.4.

d Pal. 141.5.

.3.2 1213/

U/c 1.

e Pfal.147.

284

Снар.3.

An Exposition upon the three

g Isay. 8. 20.

h Pfal. 119. 24.

Use 1.

Vse 2.

iIfay.8. 19.

cles, whereto in all doubts vve should resort. Therefore Isaiab cals vs to the law and to the testimonic s, and David makes Gods statutes the men of his counfell.

And if in steed of adulting with Councils and Fathers, men had carefully enquired at these Oracles, for matter of faith: doctrine, no doubt, had continued

much freer from corruption.

And if those superstitious ones amongst vs, in steed of enquiring at spirits of divination; and wearying themselves with consultations of Astrologers, would tye themselves to these Oracles, both the comfort and successe of doubtfull attempts, would be much the greater.

Verse 3. For what, though some did not believe, shall their vabeliefe make the faith of God with-out effect?

Verse 4. God forbid: yea, let God be true, and euery man a lyar, as it is written, That thou mightst be instified in thy words, and ouercome when thou art indged.



Vt proceed wein the Text: Formhat though some dealt unfaithfully, shall their unfaithfulnesse abolish the faithfulnesse of God? God forbid, &c.

Their second objection followes; well; yet this will follow, that either

the Lord hath fallified his faith, or else, that all those great promises mentioned in the instrument of his couenant, are become unfruitfull and unprofitable unto vs. Ans. Neither of these; for first, that unfaithfulnes was not universall among the Iewes; some dealt unfaithfully faithfully, and to them Gods promises were by their owne default, vneffectuall; but some others there were. and shall ever be in that people, to whom the Lord shall performe his couenant; secondly, yea though all men be lyars, and euen Gods owne children, through frailty, bewray particular vnfaithfulnelle; yet is God still true, both in himselfe, and to them, if they be his: rather pardoning their transgressions, then suffering his truth to faile. And mans vnfaithfulnetse serves rather to illustrate, then to overthrow the Lords fidelitie; in as much as he performes his promise, euen to thosealso, that in some part deale vnfaithfully with him in his couenant. And this is proued by instance k, I have sinned that thou mightst be justified, that is, I have fallen through thy just permission; and this thou hast suffered, that thou maist be justified: that is: declared and knowen to be just, and faithfull in thy promile.

This, saving the better judgment of the learned: I take to be the meaning of the word. For that which some alleadge, that this exposition seemes to import, that how ever men cary themselves, they shall partake the promises. It follows not from it; nay this onely followes, that the Lord performes his promise, notwithstanding the particular disobedience of his servants, which is true!, and the promises of God have their effect to the beleeving sewes, notwithstanding the vn-faithfulnesse of some others amongst them.

Now that we may hence observe, is this; The vn-faithfulnesse of vngodly ones in the Church of God, hinders not the accomplishment of Gods promises made to the faithfull: see the Lord and auowing this to the Iewes Ezech. 18. by reasons; first, all soules are Gods, equally his creatures, equally deare vnto him; secondly open profession, the soule that sinneth, and

k Pfal. 51.

1 Mala. 3. 7.

Obser.

that

CHAP. 3. An Exposition upon the three

ⁿ Hab.2.4. o Gal. 6.

Vse.

that onely dieth; thirdly, more particular explication; handled in a comparison of equals; as the rebellious some hath no unmunity by his fathers righteousnes; so neither doth the innocent some receive any detriment by the disobedience of the father. The Iust lives by his owne faith o, every man beares his owne burden.

I will apply it to some particulars, as may most con-

I will apply it to some particulars, as may most concerne the state of our owne Church: this ground me thinkes affords sufficient cuidence for determination of those many controuerlies, so vntimely raised, so hotely pursued, by Brownists, that have separated, and Semi-brownists, that still live after a sort in the communion of our Church. It is first enquired betwixt vs, whether the malice of the minister impeach the sufficiency or efficacy of the Sacraments to a beleeuing communicant. This question containes these three branches according to the several parts of malice or evilnesse in the minister. First, berese, secondly, lend life; thirdly, ignorance.

For heresie, the question is auncient; whether baptisme ministred by an heretique be baptisme or no: so of the supper. Ans. Here I take it is this answere, nec a quouis, ne e quous modo.

Perhaps there are Heretiques, whose administration is frustrate; take for instance those, that in the mayne doctrine of the Sacraments, and Trinity of persons, erre fundamentally, as if saith * Zanchee, some heretique should teach the party baptised, that the Father alone is God, the Sonne a meere creature; the holy Ghost nothing else but the action of God in our soules; this baptisme thus administred, thus received, according to Zanchee his iudgement, is no baptisme. Reason: because not administred according to the doctrine by Christ delivered, and therefore wants the very forme of baptisme.

* Zanch. in precept.2. de culta des externo. Augustine thinkes otherwise; I leaue it in medio: so likewise if any thing essentiall to baptisme be wanting, as matter, or forme, such baptisme is no baptisme.*

Nicephorus makes mention of a minister that in the want of water baptised with sand; the party was againe baptised; and as I judge rightly.

But if the Heritique baptiling keepe the doctrine of the Sacrament found in substance, faile not in other things essentiall to the Sacrament; sudgement of the Church now long continued is, that such Sacraments

are Sacraments; and need not to be iterated.

I wil propound the reasons of the Ancients, breisly, and as breisly answere the contrary objections: first, Angustine; thus, a pari as he thinkes; baptisme may as well be given out of the Communion of the Church, as it may be had; but it may be had out of the Communion of the Church, by consent even of Donatists themselves, that vsenot to rebaptise repenting revoltes. Ergo.

Secondly, truth taught by Heritiques is Gods truth, and availeable to edification P.* Augustine saith well, Sic eorum sictionem sugit spiritus sanctus, vt eoru non deserat ministerium. Iteru, etsi non easte annunciabant, sua quarentes, non qua lesu Christi; castum tamen erat quod annunciabant, &c. Iterum, sipse non regeneretur; castum tamen sit quod annunciat, nascitur credens non ex ministri sterilitate, sed ex veritatis secunditate. If this be true of their preaching, why not also of their administration of Sacraments.

Againe, circumcision administred by Samaritanes was circumcision; and not repeated; why not baptisme ministred by an heritique?

Reasons of Cyprian, keeping ever my reverence to that glorious Martyr, prove not his purpose; and let it be no imputation of pride to dissent from him herein, sith himselse professet, hee desired not to fore judge

* August.
de Bapt. contra Don.lib.3
cap 15.
Niceph.hist.
lib.3.cap. 33.

August.de baptis.contra. Donat.lib.1.

P Mat. 23.1.2.

Phil.1.18.

* August.

cont. Epist.

Par.lib. 2.

cap. 11. &

contra lit.

Pet.lib. 2.

cap. 5.

Cypri. Epist.72. Epist.70.

A Mat.3.11.

r Ioh 9.31.

* August.

cont. Epist.

Par.lib.2.

cap.8.

s Numb-23

or prescribe to any man; but leaues to euery one, libertie of contrarie both judgement and practife: his reasons are these; Heretiques cannot give remission of sinnes of the holy Ghost, sith themselves lacke them. Ergo, give not the sacrament, Ans. First, the state of the question is changed, for we enquire not so much of the efficacie to the receivers, as of the sufficiencie of the facrament in it selfe: secondly; some answere thus; that even heretiques may be said to have remission of linnes, and the holy Ghost; though not formally, yet instrumentally: thirdly, most directly; the consequence. is nought; the facrament may be entier in it selfe, though the grace of the Sacrament be not given by the minister. Johns baptisme was a Sacrament; yet profesfeth he not to be giver of the holy Ghost: that dignitie was his whose serviants we all are 9.06. Heretiques cannot pray to be heard, for God heares no sinners. Ergo, Ans. * Augustine hath three answeres: first, that it is not the speech of our Saujour, but of the blind man; fecondly, that if vniuerfally vnderstood, it croffeth other scriptures, as Luke 18.13.14.

Thirdly, that good prayers put vp by euill men, have audience with God: non pro proversitate propositorum, sed pro devotione populorum. Balaam blessed the people, though an euill man, and speaking against the desire of his heart, yet were his words good, and heard of the

Lord in behalfe of the people's.

Ob. But will it not hence follow, that hæretical con-

gregations are Churches of God, since they have sa-

craments sufficient?

Ans. Not so. Austine well saith, they have baptismum ligitimum, but not legitime; as the theese hath the true mans purse. And the Sacraments meerely proue not a Church: if seuered from doctrine sound in the soundation.

And

And our people may here arme themselues against Anabaptisticall dreamers; that would nullifie Popish

Baptisme, and vrge rebaptization.

It is true, they never felt fruit of Baptilme, till they left communion with Rome; but had the facrament in itselfe entier, and potentially fruitfull to seale vp for-

giuenesse to beleeuers.

Now if herefie of the Minister impaire not the nature of the Sacrament, much leffe shall it hinder the office and efficacie of the Sacrament in the heart of the beleeuer, vyhich hangs vyholly vpon the promise of God; and faith of the recipient, wrought by the spirit.

From herefie let vs passe briefely to other lewdnesse of the Minister, and see whether the lewd life, or vnfanctified heart of a Minister any whit diminish the perfection of the sacrament in it selfe, or hinder the effi-

cacie thereof to vs.

These questions I rather propound, because I see they are such as at this day much trouble the church of God. And Lord, that our church were so happy as to cut off such stumbling blockes from the vveake. It is too true of our Ministers, many of them, that is faid of Elyes sonnes they have occasioned the people to abhorre the offerings of the Lord : I say not; but it is the peoples sinne to abstaine, yet withall I am sure it is the heavy sinne of such Ministers, that occasion fuch abstinence, from the Lords holy ordinances. To the question.

Some of the reasons before alledged may hether be applyed, to proue that the lewdnesse of the Minister, detracts nothing from the substance or efficacie of the

facrament.

To these adde these reasons: first, Indas ministred baptisme v sufficient in it selse, I doubt not also but effectuall

t I Sam.z.

4 Ioh. 4.2.

vv Mat.10. × loh.6.79.

August.in Ioan.tract.5.

August.lib.3. cont. Cres. Grani, cap.8. De Bapt.lib. 3.cap.10.

y 1 Sam. 2.

effectuall vv to the beleeuing suscipient, yet himselfe a secret insidell, yea a diuell incarnate x.

Secondly, Leuites and Priests amongst the Iewes offered sacrifices, celebrated Sacraments; which to Gods people were seales of the Couenant; meanes to nourish faith; yet themselues many of them vnsanctified men. Thirdly, otherwise there could be no assurance at all to Gods children that any time they received a sacrament; because that sanctification of the heart, though it must be presumed to be, where the life is but outwardly reformed; yet cannot be knowne certainely, except by special revelation. And what difference there is in this behalfe, betwixt a secret, and open prophane man; I see not. Fourthly, instruments have their efficiency from vertue of the principal mouer; neither can the evilnes of the Minister frustrate the vertue of Gods ordinance, in the making, or working of the sacrament.

Ancient Diuines haue expressed this in sundry similitudes; as by water that passeth through a channell of stone into a garden, though the channell it selfe receiue no benefit, because of its owne indisposition, yet

the garden is made fruitfull So.&c.

Againe, as in fowing it matters not whether with cleane hands, or foule, the feede be fowen, so it selfe be good, the soyle fertile, receive the heate of the Sunne, and benefit of rayne. So &c.

As the light of the sunne is not defiled, though it passe through the dirtiest place, so neither the dignitie nor vertue of Sacraments hindered by lewdnes of the minister.

And therefore though I loath fuch Ministers as by their lewd life cause Gods people to abhorre the Lords sacrifices y: yet I cannot see, with what comfort the people can resuse Sacraments for the ill demeanour of the Ministers. Doth any man resuse a Kings Donation,

because

because a lewd Lawyer drawes vp the conueyance, or deliuers it sealed in the name of the King?

But I hasten to the third branch, which is ignorance; and here thus propound the question; whether the negligence or vnabilitie of the Minister to preach; impeach the sufficiencie or efficacie of the Sacrament to the beleeuing communicant. This question hath long troubled the Church of God amongst vs, and yet disquieteth some men weake in judgement.

to cut off occasion of this question, by furnishing it self with able pastours in every congregation. But to the purpose. The negative seemes to mee most probable; and with all that heate of inveighing, I see not yet one argument soundly proving, their actions nullities. And for my judgement I propound these reasons.

First, it hath been proued that the ill life of the Minister prejudiceth not the sufficiencie of the Sacrament, therefore neither their ignorance: for it is as much required of a Minister that hee be and sauting; vnreprovable in his life; as that hee be AISAUTING; able and fit to teach 2.

Secondly, Baptisme hath been administred by some that had no calling to preach: yea, and that warrantably, and with Christs owne allowance the Disciples of Christ; before euer they were sent out to preach, administred Baptisme^a. And that this was before their sending to preach, appeares by this, that Christ himselse began not publikely to preach: till *Johns* imprisonment b; and at this time when Christs Disciples baptized; *John* was not imprisoned. Ergo.

Thirdly, some auncient Diuines are of opinion that those to whom Peter enioyned the baptising of Cornelius and his company) were no preachers d. (Ambrose saith) that Peter had not so much as Deacons with

² 1 Tim.3

^a Ioh.3.22. Ioh.4.2.

^b Mat.4.12.17.
^c Ioh.3.24.

Ads 10.48.

Ambrof. in

Ephef.cap.4.

him,

 V_3

* Ambros. in 1 Cor.1. him, nor did himselfe baptise Cornelius, and those with him, but commanded the brethren, which came with him from Ioppato Cornelius to baptise. And the reason of his coniecture is more then probable; for as yet besides the seauen Deacons, there was none ordained: The same Ambrose his authoritic in this place * is not to be despised by men of the aduerse part, for as much as themselues alleadge him as authentical for them in the point of Bishops calling.

Other reasons will appeare in answere to the objections of the aduerse part; which are on this manner,

First, every ministerie of the new testament, is a preaching ministerie, and therefore sacraments are nullities that are delivered by no preachers; for Ministers onely can give sacraments. Ans First, it will trouble these men, I suppose, to prove that every ministerie of the new Testament is a preaching Ministerie: holding their owne

Principles: For what thinke they of Deacons and governing Elders must they also be preachers?

2 What of Doctors; who sure, if their description of preaching be sound (as I thinke it is) come not within the compasse of preachers?

3 What of those and which why I should winderstand of assistent preachers rather then of assisting readers and ministers of Sacraments, I see no reason.

The consequence will not casily be proued; for be it granted that by Gods ordinance none should be ministers; but who are preachers, will it thence follow; that the action of a no preacher is a nullity? by Gods ordinance none should be a Minister, but who is a sanctified man; now if an unsanctified man have by briberie or fraud thrust into that holy calling, are his actions therefore meere nullities. ?

e 1 Cor 12.

:

1 Mar 4.10 17.

f Mat. 23-

, mitt

Their

Their second Argument whereby they, proue them no Ministers; is because they wait not on their office g: namely on preaching, the onely essential propertie of a minister.

Anf. And doth this prouethem to be no Ministers by calling, because they neglect execution? Kings then are no Kings if they vse not the sword to punish; and their lawfull injunctions are nullities to the subject, because they faile in the principle worke of their calling, shew a desparitie between the two; or else learne, they may be Ministers by office that neglect the execution of their office.

Their third objection is, that unpreaching Ministers sinne in medling with administration of Sacraments,

because that is a pastorall function.

the matter of it be a sinne in him; or is it his sinne onely because of the faultie manner of performing? If it
be in the latter respect onely; that I am sure will not
make his action a nullitie to vs; and that materially it
is no sinne in him, methinkes is evident; because everie man in place of a pastour is bound to administer
Sacraments; yea and by omitting any pastorall office
he layes open himselfe to Gods wrath, come he in how
hee will, whether ordinately, or by intrusion: for in everie pastour hath the Lord laide necessitie of doing
pastorall offices. Secondly, it remaines yet to be proued, that administration of Sacraments is so appropriated to the person of the pastour, that no other but a
compleat pastour may deale in it.

Certainely in the ancient church Deacons vverealfistents to the Bishops and Presbyters, in administrati-

on both of Baptisme and Eucharist.

And many stiffe in the opinion forenamed, vse help of others in publike reading, praying, catechizing, who by

g Rom. 12.6. 7.8.

5. 1 T. C. 11 P.

71, 191, 71

Ambros. de officijs bib.

1 cap.41.

Animaduers in cont. 5.lib.1.cap. 13.Bellar. by office are no pastours. Lastly, I would gladly have this consulted of by my Brethren differing from vs in iudgement. What the word of God hath against this; the ordayning and setting a part of same persons, to publike reading, praying, administration of Sacraments, who yet should not be permitted to deale in publique preaching. Surely, some such order appeares to have beene amongst the Leuites and Priests of the old Tabernacle. And M. Innius seemes to acknowledge the employment of Deacons this vvay in the primitive Church, partly for ease of pastours, partly, for their owne triall.

With what vvarrant of the word they may be called to a Paltours office that know not how in some measure to divide the word aright. He fee not; but if some men lacking the gift of prophesie, be admitted to some other inferiour subordinate service in the Church, like not what the church doth herein repugnant to the scriptures, provided alwayes they possesse not the place of pastours.

Thus farre of these controuers is entred into as God can witnesse with my soule, not to justifie the bold-nesse or ignorance of such as have without gifts thrust into the pastours office; but to remove the vniust and causelesse services of vveake consciences, that deeming their actions nullities, have almost resolved of rebaptization; and vtterly resuse Sacraments at the hands of vnpreaching Ministers. Their desire to have true seales set to their pardon, I blame not; but their resusal of Gods seales for ignorance or negligence of the keepers; who can defend?

To these may be added, that other quare betwixt vs and Brownists, whether a man may with comfort of conscience partake the Sacraments with those affemblies wherein open sinners are tolerated to parti-

cipate

cipate; so that he shall not partake with them in their sinnes nor anyway hinder his owne benefit by these

and like workes of religion.

Here againe I could wish the executours of Church Discipline would be awaked, and not fuffer the prophane drunkard and adulterer to touch things to holieh. And my Brethren the Ministers to discerne betwixt the precious and the vile i: that the hearts of the righteous be not made sad by such promiscuous promising and sealing vp life to the wicked: howbeit, it should be farre from eueric honest heart; to separate from affemblies wherein such abuses are tolerated through negligence; or therefore to refule to vse their owne right, because vsurpers vniustly intrude thereon.

For the question; best Divines both auncient and moderne thus resolue, that in assemblies, where the word and worship of GOD is kept pure for substance, there may be a comfortable participating of Sacraments; yea though by conniuence of governors some

inordinate walkers be admitted.

Reasons, some of them are these: First, Paulk, pre. scribing an order of due preparation to sacraments, witheth vs to examine our selves, not others: now if hee had thought it vnlawfull to communicate with others that are vnworthy, he would have given charge, with as great circumspection, to looke to others as to trie our selves: secondly, the same Apostle limites the crime and penalty of vnworthy receiving, to the persons selfe that partakes vnworthily, verse 29. By vnworthy communicating he brings judgement on himselfe not vpon others: thirdly, examples of Prophets living in corruptest times of the Church; yet not separating from the publike affemblies, where was the word of GOD, and ceremonies of Gods owne Institution; but in the midst h Mat.7. i Ier.15.19.

ky Cor. g. 11. 28,29.

1 1 Cor.5.11.
m 2.Cor.6.17.
n lfay 52.11.
o Ephel.5.7.11.
* Calu. Instit.
lb.4 cap.1.
Sect.5.

* August.de ver.Dom. secundum Mat.cap.18. sub finem. middest of a polluted people listing vp pure hands and pure hearts vnto God: sourthly, practise of Christ & his Apostles, in extremitie of Pharisaicall licence and superstition; yet assembling to the same temple with the wicked themselves, vnto publique exercises of religion. More I will not adde. The objections of the adverse part shall have a short solution; and so a passage to the next.

Obiett. They alleadge, 1 Cor. 5-17. with such eate not!: 2 Cor. 6.17. If ay 52. 11. come out from among them m, touch no vncleanething n.

Ans. *Our Divines thus answere; first, that the places some of them speake of sellowship with them in workes of darknesse, by comparing, Ephes. 5.7.11. secondly, that they are meant of private familiaritie or amitie with such; and thirdly, that onely as it tends to countenance them in their evill.

It is true saith * Augustine; that the Prophet said plainely, come out from amongst them, separate your selves, touch no vncleane thing. Ego vt intelligam quid dixit, attendo qued fecit, by his fact hee expounds his speech. He said depart; to whom said hee it? surely to the righteous: from whom should they depart? surely from sinners and vniust. I enquire whether himselfe departed from such, and I finde, that he departed not. Therefore hee meant otherwise, viz. then of a bodily separation: for sure hee would be the foremost in doing, that himselfe commanded: he separated in affection; obiurgauit at g arquit : continendo se a consensu, non tetegit immundum : obiurgando autem exist liber in conspectu Dei, cui ne gssua Deus imputat peccata squia non fecit ; neg, aliena qua non approbauit; neque neoligentiam, quia non tacuit, neque superbiam quia in vnitate mansit: Sic ergo fratres mei quotquot habetis inter vos, &c. If you have any amongst you loden with love of the world,

world, couctous, periurers, adulterers, &c. as much as in you lyes improve them, that in affection and heart ye may depart from them. Reprove them, that ye may goe out from amongst them: and consent not vnto them, that ye may touch no vncleane thing.

Thus farre * Augustine against old Donatists, whose proud schisme Brownists amongst vs have revived.

Yea, let God be true, and enery man a lyar.

The former conclusion is here proued by a reason, as I take it, a fortiori: God is true in his promise and couenant; though every man be in part vnfaithfull; therefore the vnfaithfulnesse of some sew in the church abolisheth not the sidelitie of God in his promise. The Antecedent is proved by a speech of Danid P. The accommodation of this place is divers, some referre it to Danids petition, wash me &c. that thou mayest be instiffed, idest: that thou mayest be acknowledged instand true in thy promises. Some to Consister, I acknowledge my wickednesse, &c. that thou mayest be instiffed. Some to peccani, I have sinned, that thou mayest be instiffed.

And this fauing other mens better judgement, I take to be the connexion agreeablest to the Apostles pur-

pole, and the words of the objection following.

Quest. Was this then Danids end in sinning? Ans. Not so: not Danids end in committing; but the Lords end in permitting his sinne: secondly, the particle (that) notes not alwayes the intention but the euent. Ut sit sensus, I have sinned by thy just permission; out of which sinne, this one thing hath sollowed, the illustration and magnifying of the glory of thy truth, in as much as euen to me dealing vnfaithfully in thy Couenant, thou keepest promise and mercie. And this mee thinkes first agrees with the Apostles scope, and hath an argument a fortiors to proue the conclusion of the Apostle; mans insidelitie

* August.
-cont. Donat.
Verse 4.

P Pfal.51,

9 Verse 4.

Obser. I.

* Bernard de Nat. & dig. : Amoris diuini.cap. 6. patitur peccatum non facit. r Rom. 7. s Mal. 3.17. Pfal. 89.32.33. infidelitie abolisheth not the truth of God, nay which is more it serves to illustrate and commend it, as one contrarie set by another: secondly, it suites with the objection ensuing; which is this, our vnrighteousnes commends the righteousnes of God.

See we what may hence be gathered: first, I thinke it is hence soundly collected, that particular vnfaithfulnessee cuts no man off from the Couenant of grace: GOD is true in performing his promise, though euerie man; yea his own children be in part vnfaithfull.

Particular vnfaithfulnesse; I thus interpret; that which is showne in some particular acts of vnfaithfulnesse: as in Danids adulterie and murther, &c. grosse fals, and such as deserved vtter rejection from Gods love, yet through Gods pardoning mercy, no separating sinnes: secondly, such as wherein the committer is in part a patient, as * Bernard interpreteth; because with the whole heart he sinneth not, but hath ever some reluctation against the temptation; some dislike after the committing *: See the reasons *.

Therefore they are iniurious to the comfort of Gods Saints, that teach every groffe sinne wasting the Conscience, to throw them for the present, vtterly out of Gods favour. It is true that till repentance, sense of favour is lost: yet even then when the Lord turnes towards vs the anger of his countenance, is he a gracious God and father vnto vs. Yea, his very anger ari-

seth from loue, and tends to good.

Now if any should hence emboulden himselse to commit sinne; let me say what I thinke; hee gives e-uidence that he never yet came within Gods Couenant; but if by infirmitie any have been overtaken; let him not from particular slips conclude his throwing out of the Couenant; God is still a father, and Christ an advocate.

t 1 Ioh.2.1.2.

299

Obser.2.

That thou mayest be instified, &c.] Very sinneitselse turnes in the issue to the glorie of God: had we ever had so glorious a monument of Gods power and instice, had not Pharaoh in his pride and obstinacie proposed to Gods commandu? It was falix culpa, saith Gregory of Adams fall (indging of it by the issue) that madeway for such a redeemer as was Christ-the Lord. In a word; God glorisies his mercie in pardoning, his instice in punishing, his power in over-ruling, his

4 Exod. 9. 15. 16

May he not then, without impeachment of his goodnesse, permit sinne to be? that knowes out of it to bring so much glory to himselfe? It is true that Austin hath, the omnipotent God would neuer so much as suffer euill to be done, but that he knowes to bring good out of euill.

wisedome in ordering the very sinner of men and di-

uels.

Vsc.

There is nothing so absolutely euill, but eyther hath or may admit some respects of goodnes. And whether they more dishonour God, that teach an idle speculation of the euill deeds of men, and erect a power of committing sinne, countermaunding Gods power in preuenting it, or they that ascribe to him a voluntarie permitting of it for his owne gloric, let Gods Church iudge.

Verse

Verse s. Now if our unrighteousnesse commend the righteousnesse of God, what shall wee say? Is God unrighteous which punisheth? (I speake as a man)

Verse 6: God forbid: else how shall God indge the world?

Wash was

Ow follow certaine objections, occasioned by this present doctrine, and allegation out of the Psalme. Ob. If this be so (as thou seemest to alleadge out of David) that our vnrighteousnes, commend, id est, sets out, and makes more

conspicuous the righteousnesse and fidelitie of God; then is God vniust that punisheth for vnrighteousnesse. Ans. Of three members; first, a Caution: I speake as aman; that is, in person of a carnall and prophane man: secondly, a detestation; GOD forbid: thirdly, consutation of the consequent; by a reason from the act or office of God; God is not vniust; for then could he not be judge of the world, &c.

In this objection note we two things: first, the manner of propounding: secondly, the substance of it: manner, by way of question; and that after a fort interrupted in the very vtterance; the Apostle me thinkes, therein residencing the state of godlesse cauillers a-

gainst Godstruth.

How they are sometimes appaled and even at a stand in vetering their blasphemous conclusions. Reasons: first, naturall conscience sometimes is weakened in them w: secondly, remembrance of indgements inflicted on others causeth trembling: thirdly, GOD himselfes sometimes immediately strikes with seare *.

And

Obser.

v Rom. 2.15.

* Ioh.15.21.

Vse.

And it were to be wished they had grace to stop; where conscience checkes; that if the minde have had libertie to conceine, the tongue yet may be bridled from vttering blasphemies, which conscience recoyles against a how often, doe I perswade my selfe, have our adversaries of Rome such controllements, while they are labouring to make Gods truth odious, by deducing thereout blasphemous inferences? It is fearefull to breake through impediments that GOD layes in our way to withhold from sinne.

Substance of the objection. If our vnfaithfulnesse serve to illustrate Gods truth, and to make it more glorious; then is GOD vniust that punisheth vnfaithfulnetse. But the first is true: Ergo. Now the direct answere is not here expressed by the Apostle, partly for that the cavill was fo odious, that it required rather detestation, then answere; partly because the answere vivas so obuious, that any man might frame it; see we vvhat it is: the answere is on this manner, that it followeth not, because that the illustration of Gods glorie flowes not from our finnes, eyther by nature of them, or by intention of the committer, but by accident rather. See wee therefore a little how sinnes set forth and commend Gods truth, and promote his glorie. Ans. First, as one contrarie sets forth the nature of another, by comparison and inxta-position. Sicknesse commends health, peace, warre; and mans vnrighteousnesse Gods righteousnetle, thus onely by making it appeare more glorious, when they are compared together. Health receives no bettering from licknesse naturally, yearather it ouerthrowesit: so secondly by accident, because GOD takes occasion thereby eyther to shew mercie in pardoning, or instice in punishing. Whence

Obser.

7 Rom. 2.23. z Isay 10.6.7. Gen.50.20. * August. quast. Super Exod. quest. 79.

Use.

2 1 Thef.2.16.

b Phil. 1.12.

c Rom.8.28.

d Heb. 12.11.

Whence followeth this doctrine:

That though mans vvickednesse redound in the issue to Gods glorie, yet is GOD just in punish-

ing it.

Reasons: first, sinne in its owne nature tends to Gods dishonour y: secondly, the sinner in it intends not to honour GOD2. * Augustine showes how in wilfull murther, though it be true, that GOD after a fort gives the innocent into the hand of the flayer, yet God is iust, and the murtherer, doing the secret will of God, is iustly punished.

Deus non nisi iuste, homo autem pæna dignus; non quia illum occidit quem deus nollet occidi: sed quia per iniquitatem. Non enim ministerium Deo inbentiprabuit, sed sua maligna cupiditati servinit. In vno igitureodemá, facto; & Dens de occulta equitate laudatur; & homo de proprià iniquitate punitur, &c. hee addes the

instance of Indas, ve alibi.

Therefore let no man please himselse in this that his euill deedes have turned in the event to the glory of God.

It is true that there is not the lewdest action of the prophanest miscreant, but G O D brings some good out of it: their judgement is neuer the leffe, notwithstanding GOD be thereby accidentally glorified. There was neuer more hellish sinne then that of the Iewes in crucifying the Lord of glorie; nothing by which God euer reaped greater glory then by the death of his Sonne: yet is the wrath of GOD come vpon them to the vtmost a : and that most justly.

It is true that Pauls bands turned in the issue to the furtherance of the Gospell b: yet woe to that Lyon that bound him. True, that persecutions of Gods children turne to their benefit c, bringing quiet fruit. of righteousnessed: working vnto them an incompa-

rable

rable waight of glorie e: yet shall God in his just judgement recompence tribulation to them that trouble his f: but proceed we in the Text.

e 2 Cor.4.17. f 2 Thef. 1.6.

In the Apostles answere: occurres first this Caution: I speake after or according to man: first, not in mine owne person; as if I eyther approued or deuiled such a deduction; but I Anticipate onely the cauils of prophane carnall men; readier to blaspheme then to beleeve the truth.

So charie ought Gods children to be in objecting against the truth, and even in propounding the cauils of others, neuer to owne them for theirs; such protestations were seasonable in the scholasticals disputations; especially where rude nouices are 'admitted to be Auditors; Ispeake what I know, the verie omission of such cautions, and ouer great heat in pressing objections, though but personately, hath tainted the judgements of some through their ignorance.

Secondly, his detestation. Ab sit: God forbid; the forme of Apostolicall detestation: and as Caietane saith; it shewes how hee even abhorred to heare mention of the iniustice of God. And should teach vs not vvithout trembling and detestation to reject cauils especially blasphemous against GOD, and iniurious to his truth: instances in this Epistle are diuers.

And if there were no other thing to proue our keycold loue of Godstruth, and zeale for his glorie; this one were sufficient; our lentitude in reprouing those many blasphemies of Gods holy name, which in company of prophane men we cannot but heare.

Thirdly, his confutation: (else how shall God indge the world.) The manner of answere may in logick seeme absurd, but is in Christianitie the best that can be skill that made the Apostle deny the conclusion; but Wisedome rather to direct Gods children, how to carrie themselues towards such as question vindoubted principles: still hold euident truthes, yea though thou know not how to assoyle doubts raysed by men of corrupt mindes and destitute of the truth.

In Philosophy it is received, not to dispute against such as deny principles; in Divinitie it is most safe especially for meaner men; to hold conclusions cuidently taught in despight of all cavilsome premisses.

If any shall question the being of my GOD, in whom I liue, moue, and haue my being; whom, if I were blind, I may even palpably feele: I may perhaps pittie his Atheisme, and deplore the abuse of wit, but shall choose to consute rather with a club, then with an argument, though plenty want not. If Arius or Anutrynitaryes, shall oppose against the Trinitie of persons in the vnitie of Divine essence, detest their blasphemie; but though their contradictions seeme never so plausible and vnanswerable, hold still the conclusion that there are three bearing witnesse in heaven, and that these are one s.

g 1 Toh.5.7.&c

Verse

Verse 7. For if the veritie of God hath more abounded through my lye vnto his glorie, why am I yet condemned as a sinner.

Verse 8. And (as wee are blamed, and as some affirme that we say) why doe we not euill, that good may come thereof? whose damnation is inst.



Vt see wee here other cauilling inferences from the same grounds:
The Apostle brings in the cauiller thus yet inferring: If Gods truth hath been much more aboundantly manifested by my lye, and vn-faithfulnesseto his glory, not onely

Godshal be vniust in punishing, but God and man both iniurious in censuring my action of sinne, yea more then that; these euil things (as they are deemed) should be exhorted vnto and done as good, that so great a good as Gods glorie might come thereby: This the objection; answere followeth; first, these are but slanders and scandalous imputations; for we teach no such thing, neither will these conclusions follow vpon our doctrine; secondly, by denuntiation of judgement, their damnation or judgement is just. The direct answere to all these cauils see in verse 5, they have all a manifest fallaci accidentis.

Observe the common lot of Ministers to be subject

to flanders (as we are flanderedh.)

Reason; thorough our sides Sathan wounds the truth; and by disgrace of our persons aliens from respect of our doctrine.

Let neither Ministers nor people thinkeit strange if this betide vs at this day. The diuell is still a Diuell;

Verse 8.

Obser.

h Acts 24.5.

Ier. 15.10.&
18.18.

Use 1.
i Mat.5.10.
11.12.

X 2

45

k Apoc. 12. 10.

Use 2. 1 x Tim.5.19.

Obser.

m Mat.5.19.

ⁿ Rom.3.31. Rom.9.14.19. &c.

• Mat. 12.36.

as the accuser of the brethren k: so especially the slanderer of the ministerie.

Be not hastie to admit an accusation against an Elder! not under two or three witnesses and those authenticals. The reason of which caueat is thus given by some; for that Sathan hath special enuieat such, and none more exposed to calumnyes then they, none whose disgrace brings greater prejudice to passage of the truth.

As our persons escape not slanders; so neither our doctrines scandalous imputations. Christ accused as a destroyer of the law m: what neede wee seeke further then our owne experience? hee that hath leasure, let himsee that forraginem blasphemiarum scraped together by Kellasson out of Bellarmine against not ours, but Pauls doctrine of Predestination; certainety of saluation, justification by faith onely, &c.

This onely let meadmonish our people, as not to be offended at this when it fals out, so with all to compare what weeteach of the Articles with the writings of the Prophets and Apostles, yea this withall let mee more say, that the cauils against our doctrines are no other then what Gods spirit hath preuented, and plentifully answered in the scriptures.

And let these slanderers well waigh what the Apostle here speakes of their issue; (their damnation is inst) and sure if for idle words wee are countable o, how much more for blasphemies against Gods holy truth P?

Verse

io die si v. de di i v. 1. 1.

Verse 8. And (as wee are blamed, and as some affirme that we say) why doe we not exill, that good may come thereof? whose damnation is inst.



Et vs doe euill that good may come of it. This resolution here reproued, affords vs this instruction:

That euill may not be done, that good may come of it: no not the least euill to procure the greatest good P:

Will you speake wickedly for Gods defence, and talke deceitfully for his cause? may I not lend God a lye for his glorie? much lesse doe any other euill, for any other good whatfoeuer. Sauls pretended intention vvas good, yet his action censured of rebellion, and compared with the sinne of witchcraft q; and how did Gods wrath burne against Uzzah, notwithstanding his zealous intention, to preserve the Arke from falling r.

What Apologie therefore can Papists have for their vvarranting rebellions and treasons against Princes hæreticall, as they tearme them, and tyrannous? And how will the reference and ordering of fuch foule actions in Deum, and good of the Church warrant such attempts to conspiratours? It is a good saying of Caietane, vpon this place; secundum sanam veramá doctrinam peccata non sunt eligenda vt media ad quemcumá bonum finem. Heare his reason. Quia suapre natura repugnat peccato quod sit eligibile; o propterea nec propter se nec propter alind bonum est eliqubile. And is no sinne, sure then not the murther of the Lords annointed, howfoeuer hereticall; tyrannous, excommunicate.

P Icb. 13.7.

9 I Sam. 15.20. 21.23

r 2 Sam. 6.7.

U/c.

s Col.2.21.22.

1 Mat. 15.9.

¹¹Mic.6.8. Ioh.16.2.

x 2 Sam. 24. 13.14.

y Ioh, 13.7.

I say nothing of the whole frame of their religion, that hath no other soundation in the world much of it, but good ends and intentions; such as are stirring up of deuotion; alluring of the people, abating of corruption, and see in what esteeme such wise ordinances are with the Lord.

Now I could wish our people had this principle thoroughly fastened in their vinderstanding; that good intentions make not good actions, and that as well the meane, as the meaning must be good: if wee desire to have our actions pleating vinto God in Sathans advantages are many from hence; euils by this meanes being not onely bouldly committed but stoutly defended.

I will palle from this place with a question or two; first, what if two euils be propounded? may not the lest be chosen to avoide the greater? Ans Euils are of two forts: first, euils of paine: secondly, euils of sinne: If both be euils of paine, the olderule is B imalisminimum, though perhaps there are cases, wherein it is not safe to be our owne caruers. If both besinnes, the rule is this; E malis nullum: no not the least to avoide the greatest. Cuietane reasons well, if no euill may be done that good may be procured, much lesse that euill may be avoyded: seeing that to avoide an euill is a desse good, then to procure a thing truely good.

Secondly, what if euils of both natures offert hemfelues; perhaps a little sinne (if any may be little) and a great paine? as an officious lye or cruell death, may not the lye be aduentured, that death may be avoided? Ans. If thou may est not lye for Gods glorie, much letse for thine owne life, that should be as dung vnto thee, compared with the glorie of God.

May sinnes be tolerated to avoide inconveniences? as vsurie to maintaine traffique; Idolatry to preserve

peace

peace of kingdomes, &c. Ans. This rule well weighed fufficiently alloyles these doubts; whatsoeuer sinnes we have power to hinder, and hinder not, become ours by just imputation . And for toleration of strange worship; if it hath been the blemshof good kings to tolerate instruments of Idolatrie, though not the vse of them; if secondly, commendation of others to remove them; if thirdly, Asa did wel in not tolerating his mother to have her Idola: if fourthly he & others be commended for commanding and compelling their people to serve the Lord, and to doe after the law and the commandement b; meethinkes they cannot scape blame that tolerate euils so odious: and I could wish all Christian politiques to consider, that righteousnesses the best vpholder of states, and transgression in the issue proues their ouerthrow . May a leffe finne betolerated, to preuent a greater. Ans. where meanes are not to hinder both d: though in Moses perhaps there was fomething extraordinarie: and that onely till God give meanes and opportunitie to reforme all.

Thus farre of these cauils by way of digression; of purpose vsed by the Apostle, to preuent the scandall of weake, and stop the mouthes of prophane caustlers.

This wisedomelet it be imitated of vs all in our ministerie, in doctrines that may by mistaking proue offensive; prudently preuent whatsoever a caustler may object, though sometimes were be censured to shake hands with our Text, and the shaws of the control of the shaws with our Text.

predicts which feet and the call on the services of the servic

² Neh.13.17. 18. Ezech.18.13.

* 1 Reg.15. 13.

b 2 Par. 14 4. & 34.31.32.33

c 2 Par. 15.2.

d Mat. 15.8.

I a di a r

verse 9. What then ? are wee more excellent? No, inno wise: for wee have already proued, that all, both Iewes and Gentiles are under sinne.

Verse 10: As it is written, There is none righte-

Verse 11. There is none that understandeth: there is none that seeketh God.

Verse 12. They are all gone out of the way: they was bane been made altogether unprefitable: there is none that doth good, no not one.

Verse 13. Their throate is an open sepulchre:

they have vsed their tongues to deceit: the poy
son of aspes is under their lippes.

Verse 14. Whose mouth is full of cursing and bits for

Verse 15. Their feete are swift to shed bloud.

Verse 16. Destruction and calamitie are in their way

To Verle 17 re And the may of peace have they not quid known enterly orgin to solution of the file of the solutions

eyes. quideflum ve vom and a como so reflect

and the state of t



loweth; verse 9. Where the Apostle preoccupates what Iewes might inferre vpon his former concession of priviledges graunted them about the Gentiles. verse 1.2.06. Thus much

then you grant; that Iewes have something more then Gentiles; and therefore are not equal in the case of iustification. Ans. Not so; saith the Apostle, for vve

hauc

haue already proued, that all both Iewes and Gentiles are vnder sinne.

First, see we how this seeming contradiction may be reconciled, the Iewes have preheminence about the Gentiles many wayes, and againe, Iewes excell not Gentiles. And. Both true in divers respects: if we regard favours wouch afted of God; so Iewes exceede Gentiles; if we view them in nature; and consider them in the point questioned of the breach of the law; so no oddes betwixt Iew and Gentile; for all are equally under in sinne; all equally therefore excluded from possibilitie of being justified by workes: This is the plainest reconcilement; though there be others conceived in other tearmes.

Now whereas lewes so readily take hold of vvhat Paul grants, touching their preheminence. Observe wee how propense our proud nature is, to owne her excellencies, though hardly brought to acknowledge infirmities. Reasons, First, nature sicke of selfe-loue; and loth to seeme nothing in her selfe; the letson hard to deny our selves. Secondly, and the cunning divell first wounded in himselfe by pride; labors by pride to keepe possession against grace; GOD resisteth the proud s; this is well knowneto the Divell.

This may wee easily take our selues with all cuer by a little observance; labour wee to correct it, by dwelling vpon meditation of natural strailery.

The Lord vseth these meanes to remoue it: sirst, Assistion after greatest fauours h: secondly, permitting graces to ebbe and slow in vs: thirdly, sometimes leauing vs to our selues, that by experience of frailetie we may learne to be are lowly conceits of our selues in nature.

For this cause let vs consider the observation this verse next affordeth, that is, that whatsoever difference grace

Obser.

e Luke 18.11.

f Mat. 16.24.

g 1 Pet.5.8.

Vse.

h 2 Cor. 12.7.

i Mat. 26.

Obser.

k Rom. 5.12. Gal 2.9.10. Ephel. 2.3.

U/2 I.

1 Isay 61.1.2. Mat.9.12.& TI.18. m Mat. 5.3. Ifay 57.15. 11 Luke 1.5.3. · Acts 2.37. P lam. 1.25.

9 Rom. 5.20.

grace or prouidence hath put betwixt:vs ; in this we are all equall, whether Iewes or Gentiles, bond or free, king or beggar, if wee be sonnes of Adam, wee are all vnder sinne. That fountaine hath poyloned all the streames thence issuing. Now so wee are said to be in three respects: first, under guilt of sinne: secondly in vnder curse of sinne: thirdly, vnder raigne of sinne:

The two first are here chiefely meant k, and the glose of Rhemists, that will have this saying of Paul and the testimonies annexed, to be restrained to the multitude and more part of the lewes, not understood of every particular; as Zacharie and Elizabeth; the bleffed Virgin, and others, is abfurd; for who can imagine Paul, or rather Gods spirit so bada logitian; as out of a particular to conclude a generall.

No Iew can be justified by the law; for the most part of them are under sinne: is this trow we Pauls best

logicke?

Ob. Zacharie and Elizabeth &c. were just. Anf. by grace, not by nature, whereof the Apolle here concludes. And Pauls wifedome is observable, reckoning himselfamongst these that excell not by nature though God hadby grace, put difference betwixt him & others.

Now brethren this state of our nature, let vs all take notice of; and labour, not onely to acknowledge it in our judgement; but even to feele it in our hearts: first, it is that must be invs before wee can be fitted for grace 1: secondly, it hath a gracious promise of refrething, voi supra m; thirdly, lacke of it hindereth all solide. comfort n: fourthly, the first step to Gods kingdomeo: Meanes, first, view our selues in the looking glasse of the law P: it is the end why it is given, to shew miserie, by reason of sinne; and to implead vs guiltie before Gods, iudgement seate 9: secondly, apply to our selues the curses, which are without partialitie threatned to every

trani_

filer of how pure eyes the Lord is how hee hates iniquitie; and suffers no transgression to passe unputished. That appointed a day wherein to judge the world in righteousnes we brings everie worke to judge ment, and every secret thing whether it be good or eville. fiftly, aske but thine owne bosome of this guiltines and subjection to the curse of sinne. Conscience (if it be not seared) I perswade my selfe trembles, in most men, at the sight of particular hearing, of generall judgement y: if seared, this a heavier judgement z and it selfe an argument of our being still vader sinne.

Lastly, I vould but know the reason, vvhy amongst men in their pure, or rather impure naturals, the doctrine of the law is so distastefull, as in experience ministres finde? No doubt conscience in them guiltie of transgression, slyes that arraignement by the constitution.

Hence learne we to cleare Gods inflice, in judgements executed vpon fuch as to the ignorant, leeine innocent. Exemplie We reade of a judgement executed vpon Atchain and his children, for referuing part of what GOD had devoted to execution.

With what justice, saith an Atheist, dies the infant for the fathers sinne? Ans. Many answeres are given; first, that it was a worke of Gods absolute egacia, for terrour of others: secondly, that children are parts of their parents, and in them their parents are punished: thirdly, some, that perhaps they sinned by concealement; the scripture no where mentioning that they were infants: fourthly, let it be granted they were infants; yet were they somes of Adam, and therefore vncleane d: and as Paul here speakes, vnder sinne.

That God defers execution of wrath, but for the

r Gal.3.10.
s Hab.1.13.
t Pfal.5.4.
"Heb.2.2.
v Afts 17.30.
x 12, Vlt.

y Acts 24.26. z Exod.7.3. z Ephel.4.18.19

N. . . . 15

b A & 24.26. 27. Use 2.

c Joh.7.24.25.

d Ioh.15.4. Pfal.51.5. least moment, it is his great mercie; that hee indicts death at any time vpon men vnder sinne, what iniphice is it?

.or Vse 3.

e Luke 23.41.

Verse 10. 11.
adver. 19.

Let it teach vs not to murmure against God in our afflictions; be they never so violent, wherewith the Lord afflicts vs; if we consider our estate naturall; wee shall be forced to say of our crosse as the the theese of his punishment e: wee are justly here; and receive things worthy of that we have done.

Here followes proofe of that formerly taught; that all sonnes of Adam, whether Iewes or Gentiles, are vnder sinne: it is taken from place of testimonies, out of the Psalmes and Prophets, as the margine well notes them: touching accommodation of these testimonies to the Apostles purpose: some doubt there may me made, in as much as the Prophets, Danid and Isay, seeme to apply them to particular persons and times. Some answere; that these being the purest times of the Iewish church, vnder Dauid and Ezekiah, they may well conclude the rest, by an argument from the greater to the lesse: secondly, some, that Paul meant to proue his purpose, by induction of particulars, which vpon the former ground of the puritie of these times, more then of other, he brake of, thinking the purpose by these particulars sufficiently proued; but thirdly, it is apparant to him that reades the Psalmes especially, that they are vniuerfallie to be viiderstoode of all persons and times. Vt P[al. 14. &c. To which let this be added: fourthly, that however some particulars of actuall sinnes, shew not themselves in all and every man, yet the fountaine and seede, as it were of all, is in all men. none excepted; and by these particulars that wee see eminent in some, we may see to what we are all inclined, were it not that the Lord by grace, eyther restraines. or corrects.

But here let vs observe, how all men naturally are in all parts subject to all sinnes.

Obser.

Consider but the testimonies alledged, and you shall see an Anatomie of the whole man naturall; I spare particularly to handle them, for that they are odious to the considerate reader.

This onely consider, how he iustly taxeth vs of vvant of all goodnesse: pronesse, and execution of all euill, &c.

Ob. If any shall say that even in men naturall, we see abstinence from some evils, performance of some good duties, and as everie earth beares not everie weede; so neither is everie mans heart full of all sinnes.

Inne, and extremitie thereof, it comes not of nature, but of restraining grace f, man is borne (saith 10b) as a wilde asse colt in the wildernesse, and as the horse rusheth into the battell, so a natural man, more then it pleaseth God to bridle, runnes headlong into all extremitie of prophanenesses.

And this should teach vs icalousie and watchfulnesse ouer our owne hearts, that no man presuming of any power in nature, throw himselfe into temptations; but pray God dayly, not to leadevs into themh: fearefull are examples euen of Gods Saints, this way: see *Peter*, and *Salomon* k: sundry particulars might be instanced.

That one I vvill presse vvhich is common in practise at this day; regardlesselse of choyse in marriage; the common enquirie is how beautifull, how vvealthie, how friended; religion that should principallie be eyed, not once thought of: they hope, though neuer so prophane or superstitious, to be a meane to gaine them. It is a holy speech of Nehemiah. Cap. 13.26. vpon this occasion; did not Salomon

f Gen. 20.6.

g Ephel.4.18. V/e 1.

hMat.26.41.

i Mat. 26.33. 70.71.74. k I Reg. 11.

1.2.4.

Salomon king of Israell, sinne by these things? yet among many Nations there was none like him; for hee was beloued of his GOD, and GOD had made him King ouer Israell; yet strange women caused him to sinne: Compare 2 Reg. 8.12.13. see an exhortation, Phil. 2.12.13.

V/e 2.

If GOD hath exempted thee from dominion of any one sinne; let his grace haue the glorie; thou seest euerie day examples of detestable transgressions, thests, murthers, treasons, adulteries, &c. out of question they are the least streames that issue from a gracelesse heart!: In them as in a looking glasse, thou mayest see thine owne nature: Let euery grosse linne seene in another, be vnto thee a Sermon of thankfulnesse vnto God; by whose grace thou hast been vpholden, and kept from like enormities^m.

1 Mat. 15.19.

Feare to insult over the sinnes of others; rather pittie their forlorne nature; and if Brethren; in meekenelle restore them, considering thy selfe that thou also mayest be tempted n.

m Gen. 20.6. Use 3.

> Versenj. Now wee know that whatsoener the Law faith, it faith it to them which are under the Law, that every mouth may be stopped, and all the world be culpable before God.

n Gal.6.1. Iam. 3.1.



N this verse the Apostle preoccupares the exception of the Iewes Ob. These testimonies conclude notes, but Gentiles. Ans. First, the records out of which they are drawne proue the contrarie; that Iewes especially are here meant: what soeuer the law saith, it saith to them in the Law:

but

them onely and to them all; vnderstand it generallie; first, of prescription: secondly, reproofe: thirdly, inditement: fourthly, of commination: fiftly, condemnation. Duties equally prescribed to all; breaches of

duties

r Gal.3.10. Vse.

s Exod. 5.2.

t Am. 6.12.12.

duties equally reproued in all: transgressions equallie accused in all: punishment equallie threatned to all: sentence of death equally passed on all that transgrelle r.

And I could wish, and doe pray, this might sincke into the mindes of those, that pleade exemption from obedience, and fondly expect immunitie from punishments threatned in the law. Who is the Lord (saith Pharaoh prophanely) that I, a King as I am;

should heare his voice, and let Israel goe'?

Thus minded as 'Pharaoh, alas, how many arethere? Deuotion is fit for Church-men; and religion for idlers, that have nought else to doe; Amaziah vvill haue Amos dismissed from Bethelt: sinnes in the countrey, are no sinnes in court, prophecie in Indah, &c. would GOD this corruption stayed onely in Kings Courts; though they also should remember their Lord is in heaven; that Rex Regum and Dominus Dominatum; whose law imperiall, reproues, accuseth, threateneth; condemneth the sinnes of all without partiality.

That every mouth may be stopped, &c. whether this, ivà, That, declare the intention of God, in thus conuincing vs of finne by the law, or the euent onely, there is some doubt, it is sometimes put for ase, so that; a

a note of illation rather then of intention.

I haue in the Analysis interpreted in the first sense, with other expositors; and leaue it in medio; because the oddes is not much in the substance of the conclusion. Every mouth might be stopped; Metaphora; first, that all men might be put to silence, for any claime they can make to righteousnes or saluation by the law; or as others, from boasting of their own righteousnes as verse 27. or for instifying themselves in Gods sight.

And all the world.] First, not Gentiles onely but Iewes also, all sonnes of Adam be obnoxious or culpable Verse 20. Therefore by the workes of the law shall no slesh be instified in his sight for by the law commeth the knowledge of sinne,



Et vs now proceede. Therefore by the workes of the Law, &c. The assumption of the principall syllogisme is here concluded: first, that by workes of the law no man is, or can be justified in the sight of God; where a new

reason is added; from a contrarievse and effect of the law: by the law commeth the knowledge of sinne. In the words are two things; first, a conclusion; no flesh shall be instified by the workes of the law in the sight of God: secondly, a reason, confirming the conclusion: for by the law commeth the knowledge of sinne. Wee will a little at large explane the conclusion; that the state of the question betwixt vs and insticiaries, may the better be perceived. What slesh? What instisted? What workes of the law?

Flesh in Scripture synecdochycally sometimes signifies man at large c: sometimes corruption of nature drawne by Adams sall d: sometimes mans nature with the corruption adjoyned c: the first and last sense, are pertinent to this place. No slesh, that is, no man; as Psal. 143. 2. to vehich place the Apostle seemes to allude.

and so it implyes a reason for the conclusion: as if hee should say, no man can be instifled; because every man is flosh: that is, corrupted with original sinne.

Shall be instified.] In the right explanation of this terme, Iyes almost the vvhole substance of the controversic.

c Ioh, 4.14. d Gal. 5.17. e Ioh. 3.6. Our aduerfaries vrging the Grammatical Etymology, take it Phylically, and with them, to be infilited, is to be made righteous by infulion of habituall righteoufnesse.

They vrge: first, Grammar Etymologie: secondly, Texts of scripture: thirdly, Equipollences, or explanations

by other tearmes.

Instificare, signifies to make righteous, as the composition shewes; Ans. first, let that be yeelded, doth it thence follow that this making righteous, is by insustion of habituall righteousnes? Secondly, Bellarmine himselfe in another place blameth those that vrge Grammar against received vse of words; de pænitent.lib. 1. cap. 7. In eo errant multi (saith he) quod vocum significationes ex Etymologia potius ducunt, quam ex communi scriptura & bonorum authorum vsu.

Texts are these, Dan. 12.3. They which instifie many. Ans. And is it Bellarmines iudgement that Martyrs; Ministers, or other Christians, doe instifie by insusion of righteousness? I cannot thinke it. Now if they besaid to instifie, as they are said sometimes to forgine sunes f, to couer a multitude of sinnes g: to saue h, as instruments, by whose ministerie the Lord instifies, remits, saues; what is this to the sense by them

vrged? or what against our explication.

By his knowledge shall my righteous servant instifice many i. Ans. And why must this scripture significable institution after a Physicall, rather then after a indicial manner? The Chapter hath a prophetical description of Christs humiliation; and the bletsed essects thence is suits years amongst them, is justification, explaned in this verse; first, by the authour, meritoriously procuring it vnto vs: secondly, by subject, or persons to whom its vouchsafed: thirdly, the instrument or meanes in vs; he shall justifice many, that is,

Bellar.de
iustif.lib.z.
cap.z.

85-

f Ioh.20.23.
g lam.5.vlt.
h 1 Tim.4.vlt.

1 Isay 33.EE.

Y 2

procure

procure by his humiliation to all that know him: that is, acknowledge him for their mediatous, absolution from sinne and condemnation. And that this is the sense appeares by the reason adiouned. For hee shall beare their iniquities, that is, the punishment of their sinnes.

The exposition of Bellarmine and the reasons brought to approue it, are to any indifferent reader friuolous.

By his knowledge: that is, doctrine; And The words belt reade thus, out of the originall; by the knowledge of him; making Christ the object knowne, rather then the subject of knowledge. Ob. my righteous servant, Ergo, by instice & service he instifics. Ans. First, what necessitie to take these Epithetes formaliter? Secondly, it was needfull for him that undertooke as a mediatour to procure our absolution, at the barre of Gods judgement; himselfe to be holy, harmelesse, separate from sinners k: Ob. seruns: he justifies therfore by doing service to his father, in the worke of justification; not by judging. Ans. first, that is not here taught: secondly, how farre fetcht is this conclusion? the Prophet sheweth here the effect of Christs humiliation: viz. that hee, as the meritorious cause, shall procure our discharge from sinne; neither doe any of vs contend, that to iustifie, alwayes is put for actus indicis: but is sometimes, Metonymically given; to Authour, meanes, instrument, of iustification.

Ob. Apoc. 22.11. Qui instus est, instissetur adhuc: Ans. First their owne Authentique Greeke, hath not instissetur; but ουνομοσύνην ποιμοάτω, secondly, what necessitie to interpret Physically, rather then indicially? May not the sense be this? he that is just by acceptation in Christ, let him indeauour to preserve himselfe, in that blessed condition.

Thirdly,

k Heb.7.26.

Thirdly, yeeld that glosse, will it hence follow that in the question of our iustification in the sight of God, it must so be taken? Saith their owne Calius Pannonius. this is spoken Prophetando non optando. By way of prophecie, rather then of desire or exhortation; And then what hinders but the iudiciarie acception of the word, may stand?

Ob. I Cor. 6. 1 1. Ye are justified; that is, ex injustis justi effecti. Ans. first, be it granted; what is that to making righteous by infusion of inherent righteousnesse? iecondly, the Apostle directly distinguisheth betwixt san-Etification and instification, which Papists in this whole controuersie confound, Ob. Equipollence or explanation: Rom. 5.19. Many shall be made righteous in Christ, as many were made sinners in Adam: hee vrgeth first the phrase made righteous: secondly, the comparison &c-

Ans. Some interpret the phrase thus; xatagady. ooutou dinguoi, shall be presented just. But contra: first, no example can be brought eyther out of scripture, nor for ought I know, out of classicall authour, where this verse, joyned to aword, whereinto his signification passeth, signifies to be presented; but to be constituted or made: secondly, why translate wee here presented: and in the former clause, made? for there to interpret other-

wife, were harsh.

Secondly, therefore I answere; first, that they cannot shew that the Apostle here entended to interpret the word instified: secondly, we yeeld that wee are by the obedience of Christmade righteous; but will it thence follow, that it is by infusion of inherent righteousnesse? and not rather by Donation or imputation?

Ob. It must be by inherence, for so is Adams sin conuayed to vs, and thus did Adam make vs sinners.

Y 3

Ans.

Ans. Comparisons must not be stretched beyond the intention: there can no more be thence collected, but that wee are made righteous by Christs obedience, no settle then by Alum disobedience wee 'vvere made sinners: or that Christ is herein like to Adum; that as he concayed time to his posteritie, so Christ tighteous settle to his children.

Touching manner of convayance or communication, the Apostle speakes not. Therefore in this sense,

the terme is not vsed in this question.

Let vs fee now how thescripture vseth the word: and especially, how in this place and question the Apo-Itle understands it The word to ustine, or to be justified lignifies sometimes to acknowledge its t, and give commendation of righteoulaes: as Luk. 7. 29. Rom. 3.4 so men are said to instific G OD. Sometimes to approue, maintaine, defend as just ; so to men in respect of themselues or others. Sometimes Metaphorically, or by Sen edoche, to free or deliuer; as Rom. 7. Sometimes to absolue and acquit sfrom crimes whereof a man is accused, whether fullity, or vnitibly: or to pronouncefult, and gittle commendation of righteoul-'neffe'm, 16 Pro. 1. &cc. collat. cum Pro. 24.24. 1/44 5.23. Sometimes to give tellimonic and reward of righteoulnetle 1; Sometimes to esteeme, accept, pronounce, righteous o. These significations are many of them coincident Let vs enquire in what sense the Apostle in this question takes it : vvec hall best understand it: first, by scope of the Apolitic: secondly, by vie of the word in this question.

The scope of the Apostle is this, to show how a poore similar guiltie of transgression, and thereby excluded from hope of heaven, and lyable to condemnation, may obtaine righteousnes, such as for which the Lord shall at the barre of his judgement pronounce,

and

Luke 10.29.

m Pro.17.15.

n 1 Reg. 8.32.
oRom. 2.13.

and account him righteous, and so acquit him from condemnation.

That this is the Scope, appeares by that formerly in the Epistle; wherethe Apostle hath convinced all sons of Adam of vnrighteousnesse; to this end, that they might seeke righteousnesse out of themselves in Christ. And willing to give refreshing to the wearse soule presfed with conscience of sinne, and privitie of lacke of righteousnetse; he sets downe, what that righteousnes is, for which God will accept and account vs righteous: according to this scope, then to be justified is to be pronounced & accounted as righteous. Now this being granted to be the Apostles drift; see we, what in experience of a Christian, is that that troubles the conscience arraigned? what that that gives it peace? I speake now in generall: that that first affrights, is feare of condemnation; because of the sentence of the law, and seueritie of the judge; this first in sense p; but if a man be demanded why he feares condemnation, his answere will be, because he lackes righteousnesse, and knowes GOD to be of pure eyes, hating iniquitie, and not holding the wicked innocent: and till hee see some righteousnelle in which he may stand before God, he can neuer be freed from feare of condemnation. Therefore the Apostle purposeth here to snew by what meanes a man may obtaine righteousnes, such as for which the Lord shall accept and account him righteous.

Secondly, vse of the Word. The word in this Epistle is first vsed. Rom. 2. 1.3. the hearers of the law are not righteous with God; but the doers of the law shall be instified: where I thinke the word must thus be rendered; are not accounted and holden righteous: for, what he in the latter clause cals justified, in the former hee tearmes being iust with God; that is, in Gods account and estimation.

Y 4

P Acts 16.82 2. 37.

I spare heaping vp of testimonies for breuities sake:
To be justified then, here, is to be accounted or approved for righteous: that which some vrge, that to be justified here signifies to be acquit from sinne, that is, to have pardon of sinnes; though the acception be frequent, yet is not pertinent to that place, as the clause evalue acquit sintimates; compare Rem. 2.13. as also the reason of the Apostle this; every man a transgressour, therefore no man justified by the law; that is, workes of the law; that is, obedience to the law; or secondly, performance of duties therein prescribed.

The sense then is this; that no man borne of Adam can by workes of the law procure account and esteeme of righteousnesses before GOD: so that in this conclusion, hee remoues this essect. (Instification) from this cause (workes of the law) in respect of every sonne

of Adam.

Hencethen, let vs observe this conclusion; that workes of the law cannot procure the esteeme of righteousnelse with GOD, to any child of Adam. First, reason of the Apostle collected from the illative particletherefore; and stands thus, if we looke back to the Apostles discourse. No transgressour of the law can be iustified, that is approved as rightcous before God by the workes of the law; but all flesh, that is, every sonne of Adam is a transgressour of the law: therefore shall no flesh be counted righteous before God, by vvorkes of the law. The affumption hath beene at large proued: cap. 1.2 & 3. see wee the reason of the proposition; it is this. The law to iultification requires continuance in euerie thing therein vvritten, to doe it. Wherefore, feeing he that transgretseth continues not in all things written in the law to do them; apparent that by workes of the law no flesh can be justified.

Second reason: or rather the same iterated in other words,

words, is in the latter end of the verse, from a contrarie effect of the law: that is, conviction of sinne: thus: If by the law vvee be all convicted of sinne; then by vvorkes of the law, can none be instiffed; but by the law comes knowledge of sinne; that is, we are convicted of sinne: Ergo. The assumption againe is evident, set downe in the Apostles words: reason of the consequence; vt supra.

Knowledge of sinne; not so much, quoad naturam peccati; for so by the law comes the knowledge of righteousnesses; but quoad inharentiam in nobis; that is, if vvelooke to the law wee shall see our vnrighteousness discovered, and be forced to acknowledge that we are sinners; therefore can wee never looke to obtaine righteousnesses by doing of the law: for even one transgression annihilates righteousnesses, in respect of righte-

ousnesse by the law: Gal. 3. 10.

Now what (trow we) can our adversaries object to elude this so direct teltimonie of the Apostle? They distinguish: first of the law: secondly, workes: thirdly, iultification. Workes of the ceremonial law, say Rhemists, are here excluded, not those of the law morall. Contra: marke then how vvorthy a disputer they have made the Apostle: every man is a transgressour of the law morall; Ergo, no man can be justified by the workes of the law ceremoniall. Hee meanes by workes of that law, wherof they are transgressors; that was the law morall. Secondly, he concludes of all men both Iewes and Gentils, that neither Gentiles by workes of the law of nature, nor Iewes by workes of law written, can be inflified. Now to the Gentils was not the law of ceremonies given. Thirdly, he meanes workes of that law, by vvhich commeth knowledge of sin, but that is the law moral 9, & that of cer'emonies, doth not directly, but secondarily, & by accident convince of sin, Fourthly, he meanes that law

9 Rom.7.7.

r Rom. 10.

by which the true meanes of iultifying a sinner is not reuealed, verse 21. but the law of ceremonies, in sacrifices and other types, though obscurely, reueale Gods righteousnesse; namely, hrist the ende of the law for righteousnesse. It remaines then: that he concludes of all workes, of all law, whether ceremonials or morals.

Of workes they distinguish thus, according to their principle, and time of doing: de instrusa & operabus loquitur, que fidem & gratiam des precedunt : so Bellar. mine, so Rhemists, of workes Moral done without faith, and the grace of God: that is, as they must be understood; of workes done by infidels, and such as are not yet members of the church: but contra. Gal. 2.15. 16. directly preuents this cauill: fecondly, the Apostle hath of purpole convinced the people of God of transgression , verse 19. to shew, that even they could be justified by their workes, though done in faith: thirdly, the reason of the Apostle will conclude workes of grace; for if wholoeuer transgresseth the law cannot be justified by workes of the law, then neither they that have faith, and are in state of grace; sith they also are transgreffors: fourthly, vvorkes done in grace and faith followiustification. Ergo, cause it not.

Of iustification: this is of two sorts, or hath two degrees; first, whereby a sinner is made iust inherently: secondly, whereby a man being now iust is made more iust inherently too: that is, hath inward righteousnesse encreased in him. Ans. First, scripture is not acquainted with this first and second iustification; for iustification, as in this question the scripture vseth it, is allus individues: secondly, that which they call iustification, scripture termes sanctification; distinguisheth it from iustification: thirdly, it is apparantly the Apostles purpose, to sheve how a sinner may attaine righteousnes.

nor how he may encrease it: here therefore no place for that distinction: we conclude then, that no man can be instribled by any workes of the law.

instrified by any workes of the law.

Now I could with our people had learnt, but this one letton throughly, amongst those many other, necessaries of aluation: but so naturall is Poperie in this point, to the somes of Adam, that though they cannot but acknowledge themselves sinners, yet they have hope of instification by their workes; their good prayers, and their good serving of God, the very parair of their considence, for righteousnesses and saluation. It is true, they console they are sinners, and have offended; but their good workes they hope will overcome the bad.

Poore soules, if they had eyes to see their miserie: first, how cuen one transgression, takes away all possibilitie of being justified by the laws : and wherewithall wilt thou come before God, to appeale his wrath, for thy innumerable transgressions? secondly, can nature poyloned with finne yeeld any fruit pleafing vnto God? thirdly, or our imperfect good vvorkes, way downe our perfect and confummate sinnes? Holy Dawith had many good workes; and except in the case of Frield; not noted of any notorious crime; yet deprecates judgement t, vponthis ground; he knew no man liaing could, by his best workes, endure the censure of inflice, and lobrefolues to supplicate to his judge": and Paul, counts all his owne righteonines dung and drofferr : and Daniel dares not present himselferto God in this ownerighteousnesses: and who are vve, that we should once dreame of our good workes, omerprising our sinnes, in the ballance of Gods instice? but fee, yearpray G O D'thou mayest see, the cunning of the Diuell; first, to robbe vs of righteousnesse by bringing vs into transgression; and then to flatVsc.

s Gal.3.10.

t Pfal. 143.2.

u loh.9.15.

vvPhil.3.

* Dan.9.8.

ter vs with hope of righteousnesse by the law, that

he may keepe vs from Christ.

Now before I passe from this place, the question sitly offers it selfeto be discussed, whether workes of the law, are here wholly and absolutely excluded from power of iultifying: or onely our workes of the law, as done by vs; briefely whether hee exclude as well the obedience of Christo the law performed for vs: as our owne obedience and doing the workes enioyned in the law. This question hath been but of late moued, and was neuer thought off, by the first restorers of our faith, though exercised long in the question of iustification: the more I wonder at the peremptorinesse of those that now presse the affirmative; and sure if the case were mine owne, I should a little suspect my selfe of singularitie and be icalous of mine eye-sight, least itching after noueltie, had something dazeled me.

And for the opinion generally received, let me say thus much in generall; that it sufficeth to the Apostles purpose to exclude vvorkes of the law done by our selves; first, hereby is man as much abased, as Rom. 3.27. secondly, Gods grace as much magnified, as Tit. 3.5. 6.7. thirdly, necessitie equally laid on vs to seeke righteousnesse out of our selves in Christ: fourthly, heart as much inflamed to love God: fiftly, conscience as well setled in a sweet peace: sixtly, objections as sufficiently answered: seauenthly, shall I adde, (vvhich I presume is all the aduerse part can plead) scripture as easily and currently explaned; all which so being, though I would have no man for pretenfe of noueltic, to reject a truth clearely euidenced in the word; nor am fo prophane as to choose to erre with many, rather then to thinke truth vvith few : yet fure, I should much sufpect my selfe in such a case, where I goe alone, and would not easily be brought to divulge my conceits supposedly | supposedly true, considering what an ope I should give to adversaries, to taxe of leuitie and vncertaintie in foundations: though I know this taken at worst, is but hay or stubble, built on the foundation.

Now to the point; I take it, it cannot be shewen to be the Apostles purpose to exclude workes of the law absolutely, but onely as done, or to be done by vs. Reasons; first, this sufficeth to the Apostles purpose; vi Supra: secondly, this particle (done by vs) is insome Texts directly expressed; in some others by good consequence deduced y: not having mine owne righteousnesse, which is by the law; that is, as I thinke, by the law, as performed by me; for in other sense, Paul could not call the righteousnes by the law, his owne righteousnes, but in respect of his owne performance: thirdly, if I should define righteousnesse, I could not doe it but in these termes, a conformitie to the law of God; if vnrighteousnesse; no otherwise then thus, that άδιμία is άνομία, 1 lob.3. but righteousnes wee have by justification, and that such, as whereof wee are denominated iust and righteous; therefore iustice of the law, not simply excluded, but onely in respect of our performance: fourthly, the Apostle giving a reason, why it was impossible to the law to justifie vs, saith, it vvas onely because it vvas vvcake, by meanes of the flesh 2: intimating thus much, I thinke, that we are excluded from iustification by the law, onely in respect of our disabilitie to performe it : fiftly, consent of Diuines, teaching that the righteousnes of the law, and that of the Gospell, differ not in matter and forme; but onely in the efficient and end, so Iunius Thef. Theolog. 36. Polunus in Daniel: cap. 9. verse 24. Zanchius ad Ephesios.

And that Christs obedience to the law, is not excluded from office of iultifying; me thinkes is more then probable

y Tit.3.5.
Phil.3.9.

² Rom.8.3.

² Luke 17.10.

probable by these reasons: first, because it seemes to be performed by him, as a ductic forvs, or in our steede: which by this reason I thinke is evident: performed it vvas by Christ; eyther vice sua, or nostra; or neutra, or vraá; the third is absurd, it the second and fourth be granted, we have the purpose. And that he did it not vice sua, or as a ductie vvhich himselfe ought to God appeares; first, then could it not have been meritorious, no not for himselfe a: but it was meritorious: secondly. hee was no meere creature, neither vvas the law given to him.

Againe, our vyhole debt vvas to be paide by Christ, our suretie: and to say the punishment vvas our whole debt, me thinkes is vnreasonable: for shall weesay the diuels and damned in hell, pay all they owe to the maiestie of GOD, in bearing the punishment due to their sinnes? are they not, euen in hell, bound still, not to blaspheme, &c. else how could those sinnes of theirs be sinnes; sith euery sinne is transgression of some law? I omit other reasons because others will occurre in processe of this Epistle, and objections sitlier answered in

other places. Now we will proceede.

Before God, or in the sight of God.] Divines hence gather that distinction of iustification in foro humano, before man; and in foro Divino, before God. Compare Rom.4.2. and thus generally expound the place, lam.2. of iustification before men; how fitly we shal see hereafter, if the Lord give life & strength to publish my notes on that Epistle. It is true, that vvorkes iustifie in the sight of men; vvhen vve see them, wee are, in charitie, to esteeme them as fruits of faith; and for them to allow them esteeme of righteousnesse; till it please GOD to discover hypocrisie: but not so in the sight of God b: who judgeth not after outward appearance but pondereth the heart.

b Pfal. 143.2.

By law commeth the knowledge of sinne]

De sensu. Of what law meaneth the Apostle? Ans. Chiesly of the law written and deliuered in Tables commeth knowledge of sinne.

Quest. Whether this the originall vse of the law to manifest sinne? Ans. The law taken for substance of doctrine contained therein, was originally given. First, for a rule of life. Secondly, for a meane of saluation by keeping thereof d. The writing of the law after the fall, had this, as one principall end, to convince of sinne c. Whence it appeareth, that conviction of sinne is rather an accident, then a naturall and proper worke of the law. Knowledge of sinne vnderstand, not so much of a speculative notice as of a feeling acknowledgement.

Here then we see one principall vse and effect of the law, to the sonnes of Adam, since the fall, to manifest sinne, and to convince thereof. Therefore called the

ministeric of condemnation, not of life b.

How Popishly then doe Papists vseit, as a glasse to behold their perfections, rather then their blemishes in? And suppose it, even since the fall, to be propounded as a meanes of iultification at least, in the light of God: shall wee heare their reasons? The principall is this: It is (fay they) improbable, that the Lord would giuea law, which no man is able to keepe, and promise life vnder an impossible condition; yea, most tyrannous were he, to damne for breach of that law, which is impossible to be kept. Ans. To all these cauils, this Answere serues: First, that when God first gaue the law, the law was possible to be kept by man: strength by creation was given to man, proportionate to the duties enjoyned in the law: And we are not to thinke, that the morall law was first given at the promulgation in Synai; the very same law for substance, was given to Adam in innocencie; Lone God aboue all, and thy neighbour as 1by

c Rom.5. 20.

d Leuit. 18.5. c Gal. 2.29.

Obser.

^a Rom. 5.20 Gal. 3. 10. ^b 2 Cor. 3.7.9. Vse 1. c Gen. 2.2.

d Rom. 8.3.

e Rom. 11.22.

U/c 2.

f Mat. 5.19. g Rom 3.31.

Use 3.

h Iames, 1.

i Mat. 11. Rom. 10.4.

thy selfe, and particulars of it also, as appeares by that precept of the Sabbath c. Secondly, that the law is impossible to vs is not Gods fault, but ours d. Thirdly, no vniustice, much lesse tyrannie, to exact debt at the hands of an vnable debter, that by wilfulnesse hath disabled himselfe: Fourthly, especially when the Lord, by this exaction, aymes at this onely, to bring vs to acknowledgement of our mifery, that so in Christ he may haue mercy on vs e. But thus haue Papills peruerted the law.

Another fort of Heretiques, as Antinomi, have vtterly taken away all vse of the law, and the doctrine thereof vnder the Gospell: we are not vnder the law: And yet Christ professeth, he came not to destroy the law f. Paul, that faith is so farre from abolishing, that it rather stablisheth the laws. And is it nothing? think wee, that hereby, first, naturall misery is discouered: secondly, the heart humbled: thirdly, hunger and thirst after righteousnesse in Christ wrought in vs: fourthly, heart enlarged to thankfulneffe to God, for deliuerance from that yoake, and burthen importable: fiftly, actions of life directed and ordered: fixtly, olde man more and more destroyed in vs?

Learnewe therefore (brethren) as not to seeke righteousnesse by the law with Papists; so neither to abolish it vtterly with Antinomi: butto vse it rather, as a looking glaffe, to behold our manifold blemishes naturall and actuall b. This is one of the best vses, wee sinners can make of the law: and let no man through pretended feare of despaire, distast either publique ministerie or private meditation of the law. God hath propounded a remedie in his sonne Christ Iesus i; but requires vs first to be prepared by the law. A methode of conuerling in the law, see in M. Perkins ad Gal. 30.

Verse

Verse 21. But now is the righteousnesse of God made manifest without the Law, having witnesse of the Law and of the Prophets.

Hus farre hath the Apostle stablished his negative assumption, and proved that by workes no childe of Adam can be justified: Now it remaines hee should inferre part of his disjunction. [Therefore hy faith:

which also he doth Ver. 28, directly. And to the conclusion patseth by oblique answere to a supposed question: If not by workes, how then? If they be no cause or meane of iustification, how then are wee iustified? and where shall wee have such righteousnesse as may steede vs at Gods iudgement seate? Answer 1. The righteousnesse of God is made manifest without the law, &c. In which words, and those that follow to Ver. 27. is set downed description of that righteousnesse, whereby a sinner is iustified in the sight of God. Particulars of the description these:

First, efficient; Righteonsnesse of God.

Secondly, meane of reuclation, negative: Without law.

Thirdly, adioyned: approbation and testimony of law and Prophets.

Fourthly, Instrument: Faith in Christ. Fiftly, Subiect: Beleeners all, and onely.

Sixtly, Causa προκγεμένη. Grace of God.

Scauenthly, Causa. πεοκαταςμίμη, or meritorious: Redemption in Christ.

Eightly, endes subordinate Ver. 25.26.

[Righteousnesse of God:] Sense of the words. Righteousnesse of God, is diversly taken in Scripture:

Z
First,

1

a Philip.z.9. Rom.10.2.3.

Obser.

b 2 Cor.5.19. Rom.3.26.

Rom 10.3.4.

Use. c Philip. 3.8.9.

Here none of these meant: and it was a dreame of Osander. That the Lord should in iustification communicate vnto vs his effentiall righteousnesse, vvhich is incommunicable. Wee shall best see the meaning by comparing this place with others: as a vyhere wee see it opposed to our owne righteousnesse. Now so is that righteousnes termed, which is vvrought by our selues, in doing the duties prescribed by the law: Gods righteousnesse then is that, whereof GOD is the vvorker, donour, approuer.

From this part of the description then, this may be observed. That the righteousnesse whereby a sinner is iust in the sight of GOD, is such a right cousnesse, as vvherein man himselse hath no vvorke, but GOD onely in Christ: It is ours indeede in respect of possession, being given vs of God, but Gods onely in respect of operation b: And least any justiciarie should object: that God is indeede the vvorker of it, but by inherence in vs, vling vs as instruments to worke it, see Rom. 10. 3.4 vvhere vve are remitted to the person of Christ, as the onely store-house where the Lord hath laide it vp for vs.

Learne wee therefore to renounce our owne, and to submit to the righteousnesse of Godc, and heaviers the doome, palled by the Apostle, on all that seeking to stablish their owne righteousnesse, refuse that wrought for vs by God in Christ, and tendred to vs in the Gospell. rein the little

Sec

See vvee meane of reuealing negative: (Reneated without the law:) Law, here taken strictly for law morall; more largely in the latter part of the verse, for the writings of Aloses.

Morall law then so farre from procuring that it doth

Doct.

not so much as reueale, the meanes of a sinners iustification in the sight of God d. That we erre not, let

d Rom. 10.4.5.

vs a little inquire the sense: vvhether must this be vniuersally vnderstood, or onely in some respect? Some take it vniuersally; those especially that exclude Christs active obedience from instification, thinking that neither matter, nor efficiencie of righteousnesse is revealed in the law. Now I take it, that is more then can be collected; for it is confessed on all sides, that bearing the curse of the law is required to instification and that the law reveales and vrgeth. I take it therefore, this is to be limitted to the vvorker, and manner of efficiencie, vvhich the law neuer reveales; that ever presset obedience to be vvrought by our selves; satisfaction to be made by our selves. Hath no word of obedi-

ence, or satisfaction to be wrought for vs by our mediatour Christ Iesus; which is that meane of iustification, that the Lord hath ordained, and reuealed in the Gospella. If any shall hence thus reason; Law re-

ueales not the righteousnesse, vvhereby a sinner is iustified; and it reueales Christs active obedience to the ² Rom.I. 17.

law: Therefore Christs active obedience, is no part of that righteousnesses whereby a sinner is justified. Ans. First, ad maiorem: if it be understood of the matter of our righteousnes, false; if of the authour and manner of efficiencie, true; and serves not to prove the con-

clusion. Secondly, ad minorem: Law reueales not Christsactive righteousnes: viz. as done by Christ, or

as to be performed by him in our steede; but it reueales, that righteousnesses for the matter of it, which

2 vvas

V/c.

3

b Mat. 7.12.

c Gen. 3.15. & 15.6. d Ezod. 12. Pfal. 32. Isay.53. Icr.23.8. Act. 10.43.

. Use

vvas to be performed by him, that vndertooke to procure iustification for vs in the fight of God.

And me thinkes this one reason, if there were no more, veterly ouerthrowes all that righteousnesse, that any man can expect by his owne performing, the law: for if the law neuer knew the meanes of a sinners justifying in the light of God, and yet teacheth (bypotherice) all that will be instified by the law, to doe themselues the things in the law enjoyned, apparent it is, that vve can neuer be justified by our owne performance of the, law.

Followeth now the approbation it hath from Law and Prophets; that is, from Scriptures Moysaicall and Propheticall; as b: The truth hereof, viz. That Mofes and Prophets send vs out of our selues, to a righteousnesse of Gods working, and donation, I might proue by a large induction c: Types of law many; Passeouerd, Inscription on the High-priests plate, Holinesse of the Lord : brasen serpent : In a vvordsacrifices all prefigured Christ: for Prophets, see David: Psal. 32. Isay cap. 53. Ier. 23.8 &c. Conferrelike speech of Peter. Act. 10.43.

And is it not strange? this meanes of Justification, should be rejected for noveltie, or that any Papist should be so brasen-saced, as to affirme, it was never heard of till the dayes of Luther? out of question, one of the two is true; eyther Moses and the Prophets vvere Lutherans; or else Luther, in this, as in many other points of doctrine, a disciple of Moses and the Prophets.

Verse

4.

Verse 22. To wit, the righteousnesse of God by the faith of Iesus Christ, unto all and upon all that beleene.



Ven the righteousnesse of God by faith of lesus Christ.

Sense: Whether this (by faith) must be understood materialiter, as if faith were the matter of our righteousnes; or instrumentaliter, because it is the

instrument by which the righteousnesse of GOD in Christ is apprehended, may be questioned: Moderne interpreters (all that I have read) take it in the last sense; and till of late, I thinke it neuer came into any mans head, that faith should be the matter or thing vvhereof the righteousnesse of God consisteth, which me thinkes may thus be euidenced: First, then should the thing vyhereofour righteousnesse consistes be in our selves: Secondly, that almost continual annexing of Christ, or his bloud, or some such like, as it vvere knitting the instrument with the object, makes me belecue, the spirit of God would teach vs, (as all our Diuines accord) that it is not faith, but the object of faith, Christ his bloud, and obedience, for and by which we are justified: Therefore leaving these quiddities to men that delight in nouelties, infilting in the olde broad vvay of the Saints, this plaine truth I propound.

That faith is the sole instrument, or thing in vs, whereby true rightcousnesse is apprehended: vt sensus sit: And if you vould know how this rightcousnes is obtained, it is by faith of Iesus Christ; that is, by faith receiving, and applying Christ, as he is tendered unto vs in the promise of the Gospel: that is, by particular

Z 3 acknowledgement

Obser.

Gal.2.20.

Joh. 1.12.

Obser.

Use.

Afts 4.12.

Ephes.4.12.

Gal.4.8.

acknowledgement of the truth of this propolition, Christ loved me, and gave himselfe for mee a. My purpose is, to reserve the questions touching the nature, and qualitie of Faith instifying, to a placemore proper; here onely it shall suffice to give reason, vvhy no other thing in a Christian, can serve, so-much as instrumentally, to instification: And it is this, rendred by our Divines. Because there is no other gift of God in vs, vvhere by the matter of our righteousnes, Christs obedience can be received; as is required lob. 1.12. But hereof also more largely hereafter.

By faith of Christ.] As in vyhom righteousnesse vyhereby wee are justified is resident as in a subject. Something surther might here be observed, if I would be curious to presse cuery word (as this is indeed the priviledge of the Scripture, no vyord but hath his

waight:) This onely I point at, and proceede.

That not every beliefe, but that which apprehends

Christ, is the meane of instification.

1.111 24 1) ...

And it is an errour, sauouring of more pittic, then pietie, that every man may be saued by his religion and faith: Turkes by theirs, Pagans by theirs, &c. so they live accordingly. First, now sure then said the Apostle in vaine, that there is no other name given under heaven, by which a man can be saued, but the Name of Iesus. Secondly, and Paul, ill makes it a part of heathenish miserie, to be without Christ: thirdly, and as ill rankes them with Atheists, that apprehend, or with Idolaters that worship GOD out of Christ, ibid. and Gal. 4.8. and fourthly, our Sauiour was deceived, when hee taught the kingdome of GOD should be taken from Iewes, even for erring obstinately in the person of the Messiah: but enough of these.

The Subject followes; (to all and on all that beleene.) Not to be curious about these particles (to and

vp6:17,)

wpon,) betwixt vvhich I see no difference more then betwixt Aarons beard, and the beard of Aaron: The ingemination is eyther for stronger auouchment, or else for interpretation and restraint: (to all) as if he should say: yea and I say againe to all, without excluding any, eyther Iew or Gentile Al: (to all) as if he should say, but thus limit the vniuersall particle; all that believe. But the first sense seemeth sitter in respect of the reason annexed: For there is no difference, &c.

There is then no enclosure of this blessing of righteousnesse, to any nation, person, sexe, or condition of men: but in every nation, in every state, and order of men, hee that believeth in Christ is accepted with GOD, and approved as righteous: And note in this whole Epistle, the generall particle, often

and purposely vsed.

This point a long time founded harsh in Iewish eares a: which made the Apostle so often inculcate it; and so largely insist on it; so enuious is our nature, and so gladly vould we make several Gods common fauours. Not much valike Iewes in this behalfe, are the now Romish clergie: willing to pen up truth, righteousnesse, and saluation, within the precincts of the Romish Church; forgetting the Catholiquenesse of the Church Christian, to consist in this; that now the heathen are given Christ for his inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for his possession.

And let vs Gentiles praise God for this mercie.

And will you see the cunning of Sathan, whereby he robs Gods children of much of their comfort? As he hath given Rome seizure of the keyes of heaven, so himselfe hath reserved power to widen or straighten heaven gate, as may best serve for his advantage: with prophanemen, any faith, be it never so blinde or implicite,

Doct.

Acts 15.9.

Vse 1.

a Acts 13.& 15
& 28.

Rom.15.
Ephel.2.

Pfal.2.

Rom.15.

Rom. 4.23. 24.

Mat. 12.20.
Obser.

plicite, neuer so doubtfull or faithlesse, the very stadow and lip-profession of it, serues turne for saluation: with Gods children, none doth the deede, but what caries vs full sayle to the Mediator of righteousnesse. Let Gods children carefully remember, what the Apostle here teacheth. To all beleevers reacheth Gods righteousnesse; If thou walke in the steps of Abrahams saith, though thou keepe not pace with him, to thee also shall thy saith be imputed for righteousnesse: little saith is faith, as a little sparke is sire: And we know who hath promised, not to quench, either slame, or coale, or sparke, no nor smoake of faith, if it be in sincerity.

[To all that beloene.] The vniuerfality of grace then, at least in respect of efficacie, admits restraint, and limitation to beleeuing. There is a world of men, whom Christ came to saue, but it is the mundus credentium; the world of beleeuers, as an auncient Divine interprets it. These limitations are so frequent in Scripture, that they need no evidence by instance; and it is confessed by the very Advocates of vniversall grace, that though Christs righteousnessed hath a sufficiencie in it, to procure salvation to all: yet in respect of efficacie, it is appropriated to beleevers, and their seede.

This onely it shall suffice here to touch, by way of enquirie: what kinde of beliefe that is, to which righteousnesses extended. Our adversaries generally accord, that a general saith sufficeth, and beliefe of the truth of poynts of saith, without particularizing is enough to instification. Now surely the Divell is beholding to them, that in generals, I dare say, goeth as farre as most Papists; yea, ypon better evidence believes the History of the Gospell, then most formall Papists doe. And I would have Papists: tellare, what hinders even divels from instification, if not this; that they cannot

particularize the generals of faith to themselves: it is

vaine

vaine to say, they want charity, the fruit of faith: for in this very poynt of beleeuing there must be something, wherein Gods children goe beyond the diuell.

But let vs heare their reasons: One of their principall aduocates thus pleades b: First, from testimonies: Mar. 9. & 16. Iohn. 1. & 6. & 19. AEt. 8. Rom. 4. &

10. Oc.

It was all Christ required to instification. All that Philip required to baptisme of the Eunuch, &c. Thereforesufficeth to righteousnesse and saluation. Ans. 1. It will trouble these men, I thinke, out of these promiles to draw their conclusion: for generall faith might suffice, to procure a cure miraculous; and protession of faith, give interest to baptilme; and yet not be sufficient to iustification in Gods sight. Ans.2. Our Divines well answere, that beliefe of many the particulars here specified, implyed particular assurance of Gods loue in Christ: And that, howsocuer profession is made of belecuing particulars onely here specified, according to the present occasion; yet it is to be presumed, the rest was also beleeved; it being one faith that apprehends all particulars propounded in the word. Anf. 3. That what is not expressed is implyed: as I lohn, s. Ver. T. 2.

Their reasons.

Ob. 1. No particular word of God declaring pardon of sinnes, or saluation to belong to such or such

particulars: Ergo.

Ans. First, that the generall comprises the vertually all particulars: and that out of the generals rightly assumed, may the particular conclusion be well deduced for faith to rest on. Ex. gra. As out of this generall, Energreasonable Animal is a man. Socrates, rightly assuming, may conclude, that he is a man: so out of this generall, Whosever beleeves in the some of God, hash life, and

⁴ Iam. 2. 19.

b Bellar.de
instif. lib.1.
cap.8.6 10.

1 John. 5.1.2.

and shall neuer come into condemnation, John. 3. Whoso-euer can rightly assume, may conclude that hee hath life. Secondly, that we have it in the equivalent, God having made a promise generall, and giving a command of particular applying. Thirdly, Sacraments at least particularize the generality of the promise.

Ob. 2. Faith iultifying goes before iultification, as the cause thereof: but faith special followes iustification: because I cannot believe my sinnes to be forgiven, till they be forgiven: secondly, every acte de-

pends on his obiect, not contra.

Ans. 1. If they speake of priority of nature, it is true, faith is before inftification actually enjoyed, the fentence of absolution not being passed on any, till hee beleeue: if of priority of time, so we affirme them to be fimul, neither before or after other: for as soone as ever I beleeue, so soone am I by the Lord approved as righteous. And fecondly, whereas they talke nicely of the obiects præcedence to the act, & the acts dependence on the obiect. Ans. First, that the obiect, qua obiectum, is simul cum actu; for it is no actuall object, till the act be exercised there-about. Exemp.gra. Colour though it be by fitnesse Naturall, obiectum visus; yet cannot be said to be obiectum actu, till some act of sight be exercifed there-abouts: so much of the generall. Secondly, it is harsh, that the object is said to give effence to the act, except it be thus understood, that without it the act cannot be exercised. Thirdly, more directly to the purpole: the object of faith instifying, which we will suppose to be this proposition: thy sinnes are forgiven thee; we are to consider, in what fort it is propounded to a sinner: and that is, sub conditione sides in respect of the a ctuall truth thereof; that though the minde have an inimiem of this object before justification; yet it apprehends the truth of it, but in proposito Dei; & sub conditione:

ditione: neither hath it actuall and categoricall truth, till the Hypothelis be performed: And thus though the object be before this act of the minde (consideration,) yet it is not before the act of beleeuing it, in respect of execution, and reall fruition of it.

Ob. 3. This special faith takes away prayer, vse of Sacraments, good workes, therefore is not justifying faith, but rather destroying: And will you heare their reason? For if I must certainly believe, that my sinnes beforgiuenme, how can I pray, Forgue me my sinness? nay, I shall be an Infidell, to pray for forgiuencile, as well as if I should pray, that the word might be made flesh. A.S. This argument hath beene often answered by our Divines. The summe of the answere is this: That though sinnes be knowne to be forgiven, yet is not prayer for forgiuenelle vnnecellary: first, forthat affurance of pardon is but in part obtayned: secondly; because new sinnes are daily committed; which, though in the purpose of God they be forgiven; yet is not sentence of forgiuenelle palt in the conscience, till act of faith, & repentance be renewed, and by all means testified. To that of Sacraments: Read but what is Rem. 2.11. and thou shalt secreason, why Sacraments are necessary euch for men justified. Viz: as Scales: that is, meanes to work farther, & more plentiful afforance of justification.

ob. 4. Confidence that sinnes are forgiuen, depends upon good conscience, and perseuerance in love of God, and good works c: and therefore presupposeth institution, but workes it not. Ans. First we must distinguish betwixt institution it selfe and the manifestation of it: the evidence of institution depends upon good conscience, because no man can know himselfe to be instituted but he that hath good conscience, wet the act of institution exparte Det is performed simul cum side. Secondly, or otherwise thus, institution depends on good

Rom.4.11.

c 2 Pet.1.10.

d Act.15.9. Heb.9.14.

c Rom.5, r.

t Rom. 8.

good conscience and good works, onely as ex signus not as ex eausis. Thirdly, good conscience is not after faith in time d, but onely in nature; and so soone as faith is given, so soone is the heart purified; and purpose of new obedience resolutely determined by the beleever.

Obiect. 5. Because this special faith breedes nothing but perturbation, disquietnesse, and even desperation in the minde: because a man may be deceaued in his speciall persuasion; and Caluin requires a certaine knowledge of remission of sinnes, and predestination to life in every justified man. Ans. First, it is not faith speciall, but lacke of it that breedes disquietneile e. Secondly, and though some men are deceived in their opinion and perswasion of iustification and election to life, as M. Calnin at large sheweth, that have nothing but Laruam fides, yet will it follow thence, that none other may have infallible perswasion of their iustification and adoption? that in deede haue the spirit to witnesseit vnto them f. Thirdly, if there be doubtings, arise they from faith? and not rather from remaines of infidelity? Fourthly, where teacheth M. Calwin that faith considered in subjects, as it is in Gods children, is free from doubtings? nay, sheweth he not that the dearest of Gods Saints have conflicts with infidelity? Fiftly, and yet fith faith is in the iffue conquerour, might he not justly ascribe vnto it certainty and infallibility of perswasion? Briefly all that M. Caluin teacheth of faith, amounts to this; that it is certaine and infallible natura (ua; and secondly, that it is so in a meafure in Gods children: and thirdly, ought to be endeuoured by such as desire solide comfort: fourthly, is conquerour in the end in all conflicts, no where teacheth, so much as by dreame, that there can be no assurance of election or adoption, but where is fulneffe of perswasion, ever free from conflicts with doubting, Verle

Verse 23. For there is no difference: for all have sinned, and are deprined of the glory of God.



Hus much of the subject of justification, beleevers, and of the quality of that faith that justifieth: solloweth now the reason, prouing necessity of faith to justification in all both lewes and Gentiles. And is taken from the

equall state of guiltinesse in all for transgression of the law. Summa est; if all be equally guilty of transgressing the law, then is faith necessary for all to iustification: but all haue sinned; Ergo. Reason of the consequence see Annot.capit.1.ver.17. declaration of the assumption: see cap.3.9. Now the assumption is amplified by a consequent or effect of sinne? all haue sinned, and by sinned.

are deprined of the glory of God.

Sense: By glory of God, some understand the glorious image of God, standing in our likenesse vinto him in righteousnesse and true holinesses, but not so fitly: for, first, glory of GOD is never put in Scripture for image of God: Secondly, it seemes not so pertinent to the question of iultification, here, almost to mention the image of God forme others, by glory of God; that glorying or boalting in the presence of God, that, as they suppose, man not sinning, might have had in innocencie: but first, glory of God, no where signifies boalting before God: secondly, euen man in innocencie, had had no cause of such glorying; saue onely in God; and therefore I take it, by clory of God, wee may best vnderstand, that glorious estate whereof through grace in Christ we shall be partakers in the kingdome of heaven. Compare Rom. 8 2: 6 2.7. and from enioying

g Ephe.4.24. 1 Cor.3.18. ing whereof we were justly excluded by our disobedience.

Obser.

h Rom.7.10.

i Rom. 2.7. Leuit. 18.5.

l Act.i.

12 14

Hence then me thinkes the collection is found; that man, it he had stood in innocencie, should have had fruition of heavenly happinetle; the fame for substance, which Gods children now partake through Christ, The Lord propounded it to man as the price of his obedience, had he continued that course; and that man lacks it, comes to passe for his breaking of that course h: the law was given for life; that is, that through observing thereof, we might obtain e cternall life i. And furely if death not onely bodily, but eternall and hellish was threatned to transgression, me thinkes it is more then probable, that life not onely earthly, but heavenly, was promised to obedience in the legall couenant. It is curiolitie to enquire how or in what time man should have had admission to that reward promised; perhaps by a kinde of translating, such as was vouchsafed to Henoch, and Elias; or, not vnlike that affumption of our Sauiour1; or answerable perhaps to that change of suruiuers at the second comming of Christ. 1 Thef. 4.17.

And me thinks they are too idle quiddities of some, that with a preface of non constate scriptures (a cunning tricke of convaying errours into the Church) spread this, and like nouelties amongst their admiring Auditours; that man though he had stood in innocence, should not have had possession of the kingdome of heaven: quorsum ista? surely their end I know not; the sountaine me thinkes I see; the good olde way, even for oldnesse dislikes vs; nouelties though never so odde or impertinent, must be hunted after, if we will seeme great in the eyes of the people.

But let vs see the punishment of lotse, inseparably accompanying transgression; how heavy and fearefull

it

it is 3 deprised of the glory of God: not to enquire curiously as schoole-men, whether be the greater punishment, that of sense or this of lotse; how vexeth it reprobates m, to behold the admission of Saints into the kingdome of God, and themselves thrust out of dores?

m Luc. 13,28.

Verse 24. And are instified freely by his grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Iesius.



Eing instified freely, &c. The Apofile goes on in describing the blessing of instification; by causes impulline; which are on our part none. Freely: inward in God the Father; his grace; outward and meritorious; Redemption in Christ Ie-

fus.]. Final to declare his righteousnesse. And to this explication he passeth by prolepsis: if we have all sinned and be deprived of the glory of God, how then come we to righteousnesseand saluation? Sub: we are

instified freely, &c.

Touching terme of iustifying see Annot. in ver. 21. Freely. Quest. How freely when not without so great a price and satisfaction as the bloud of Christ? Ans. Freely in respect of vs, quia nihiloperantes, neg vicem reddentes sola side instisteati sumus dono Dei, saith Ambrose, not without price and satisfaction performed by Christ. And so Bellazmine: wee are justified of God freely, that is, of his meere liberality, as touching our merits; for by no worke of ours deserue we to be justified: see Til. 3, 4.5.6.2 Tim. 19.

And is it not strange, that these very men should talke of workes done before instification, by generall grace,

Sense.

Ambros. ad

De instificar.lib.2. cap.3.

Rhemenf.in AA.10.v.2.

ⁿ Heb. 11.6. ^o Mat. 12.

P Gen.4.
Greg. lib.
moral. 22.
cap. 12.
Deinft.lib.5.
cap.12.
Tit.1.15.

Ioan. Bunder à Gaud. Tit.6. Art.s.

grace, that should be acceptable preparatives to the grace of iustification, and such as mone God to mercy; yea, that deserve at Gods hands of congruitie towards iustification? surely if these grounds be true; first, that without faith it is impossible to please God ": lecondly, that the Tree must be good before the fruit be good o: thirdly, that God accepts not the offerer for the gifts, but the gifts for the offerer p: fourthly, that to do good works is required not every grace, but such as makes a man a member of Christs body, as Bellarmine proues ex 10h. 15. Fiftly, that where the conscience is defiled, all things are vncleane 9: fixtly, that of Augustine de fide & operibus, Cap. 14. sequentur bona opera hominem iustificatum, non pracedunt iustificandum; what place is there left for workes done before justification? to merit so much as of congruitie, any thing towards instification. That wee may a little see the misterie of their iniquity in this point, we must vnderstand, that of merit, they make two kindes: one ex condigno; the other ex congruo. That of condignity; they thus describe; it is any voluntary action, to which a reward is due, in iustice, for the equality of the worke, and the reward according to due estimation. And thus for sooth, before state of grace, we have no merits. Merit of congruitie, is any action, whereto a reward is given, not as due by nature of the worke, but as of the liberaity of the donour. And thus they teach a man vnregenerate, may merit at Gods hand, the grace of julification: in as much as it is very meet and congruent, that to a man well vling the gifts of nature for Gods lake; God which is so bountifull, should give gifts of grace. But if it be true that in merit largely taken there must be Debitum; and something to which the rewarder owes a recompence: secondly, if recompence mentioned depend wholly of the liberality of the donour, as themselues confesse

confesse in this merit of congruity; what doe we with the terme of meritain workes before regeneration? It is strange circling they vse in this question; Ingratuitis they lay nullum est debitum : in metito vero debitum afferings. In this kinde of metit there is no debitum; and the recompence depends meerely of the liberality of the donour; and yet forfooth these works must be called merits, not so properly in deede, as themselues confeile, in truth most absurdly; and impiously. And leevs but confider, what the things are in man, wherevpon this congruitie is built; they are, first well vsing gifts of nature: and secondly, that for God; for in reference to him. Now, how can we imagine in an vnregenerate man, such a well vsing of the gifts of nature? the frame of whole hearthis eurl onely and continually. in whom till he be fanctified and have felt Gods love in his heart, there can be no morus charitais in deum?: nor any other ends, or motiues propounded to doe good things, but dulce, decorum, handrificum i nor any propension to any good action; but what arisetheither from ambition; or servile feare: but leave we the some ritmongers and proceede in the text, llw boog sugars ban

grace of God understands right eousness given of God, and insusceptions, to establish his dreamed sinherent illice, as the formall dause of our justification. Our Divines by grace of God, understand the free sauour and good will of God bestowed on usin Christs: as being not the formall; but the inward impulsive cause, hours the Lord to justific vs. Thereisons of Bellars miner interpretationare these siril; for that the sauour of God seemes sufficiently to be expressed in the former terine grace. Answer say it were strange, for the spirit of God, to we diversity of terms for Espandic, and explanation secondly, is it so strange to affirme?

r Gen.6.5. Gen.8.21. s 1 Ioh.4.

De instif. lib.z.cap.3. Part. 1. Quest. 36. Act. 3.

: Cc. 1.1.

. 5. 7 . 51. 5

A. 1883 2 .

168.28.99.30

that liberality may be exercised without fauour; or, that the Lord may of liberality bestow a bleffing, where love and beneuolence is not the fountaine. Mar. 5.

But heare we his second reason; the particle (per) is not rightly given to the favour of God, the cause motiue; but onely shewes eyther formall, meritorious, or instrumentall cause Ans. First, Againes otherwise iudgeth; that it may signific any thing, that is cause to theagent of working; whether it be finall, formall, effe-Ciuc, or motive cause. And how will Bellarmine interpret the place present 8127. they beleeved through grace; for whether of the three doth his per there import? formall, meritorious, or instrumentall cause? any or none? Secondly, but what if his (pen) be not found in the Text was in deede it is not; then not with standing his right vict of (pen) grace may, for all that, signific the impulsive as well as the formall cause of iu-Mification. Dogora especial relation with various

wis His third reason; because whom God fauours, and wils well vnto; him he makes fuch as hee would have him : otherwise Gods beneuolence should be a vaine and emptic good will: therefore to be justified by grace is not to be reputed just, when wee are not; but to be indeede iust, holy, and immaculate. Ans. Now what when all this is granted, that Gods grace, is not without his effect in ws? we yeeld that this effect, justification, flowes from this cause, the grace of God. Wee are justified by grace: but how doth this prove the conclusion that by grace we are here to understand righteousnelle infused, and not rather the free fauour and loue of God? secondly, we yeeld that by this favour of God wee are made just indeede, before ever we be judicially reputed, and approved for righteous: this question will fall out fitliest to be handled, Cap. 4. Here only it shall suffice, briefely to propound our judgement, how tiller

St. 2.

.. .0-1

A . 124 18 2.

Eller Colored

how or in what order we come to esteeme and reputation of righteous before God; sirst, therefore, in execution of Gods purpose to instificand saue, is that worke of GOD, essectual calling; whereby saith is wrought in vs: to beleevers, is Christ, with all his righteous site of that what soever Christ hath or vvrought, becomes ours, by free yet true donation: thus enjoying Christ and his merit, we are presented to Gods indgement seate; and there receive the sentence of absolution and approbation for righteous: truely therefore are we made righteous, before we be esteemed righteous; yet not by righteous needs inherent in vs, though that accompany instification: but by donation of Christs righteousness who vs.

Wherefore, for ought they have yet alledged, grace, may here signific the fauour and free love of God: and couple this grace with gratin, freely by grace; mee thinkes, it is as much as if hee had said, meerely by grace, without any concurrence of vvorkes, so much as of adjuvant causes to justification: which also other seriptures affirme. Ephel. 1.7. Tit. 3.4.5.6.7.

That wee may see how ill a medley Papists have made, of faith and workes, grace and merit, in this article of iustification, things simply incompatible, if the Apostle could iudge. Rom. 11. 6. to which place, the larger handling of this question shall be reserved.

In themeane while, let vs learne to admire the riches of Gods grace towards vs in Christ, and take heed, how we attempt sharing in the glorie of justification: remembring vvho said, agreeably to the Apostle, Gal. 5.4. non est quo gratia intret ubi sam meritum occupanit.

Ins Christ. Where are two things: the cause meri-

Aaz

ting

ting righteousselfe; the subject in whom it resideth; in

Sense: Redemption, is that act of Christ the mediatour, whereby hee hath ransomed vs from our spirituall enemies; in this place by a Metonymie of the end, put for the meane destinied thereto: it signifies the satisfaction, made by Christ to the justice of his Father: and this satisfaction, some well distinguish on this manner; it is either Legis or Crucus. Under the first, they comprise the obedience of his life, performed to the law: by the second they understand his whole passion, that had consummation upon the Crosse: and this did the order of Divine justice require, which could not have beene kept, if God by his owne power and will without satisfaction for sinne, had repared mankinde; as Thomas well saith. Compare Heb. 2.14.13.

which is in Christ lesus.] Whither this preposition (in) herenote the cause efficient, as often; or subject, in which this redemption resideth, or both, it matters not much to enquire.

If we take it in the first scale, me thinkes it excludes all humane satisfactions from power of sulfifying; for if by the price paide by Christ onely, wee be sustified, then not by any price paide by our selues; or any other meere creature for vs: and in truth, how can we, dust and asses, once conceite such a power to be in vs? sith our weldoing reacheth not vnto God: secondly, nor can be proportionate to the offence of that endlesse maiestic; thirdly, and must be, if it be a satisfaction, a worke or passion more then duette: for by doing duetie, can we satisfic for breach of duetie?

Is it not then a strange conclusion of our adversaries? that man in grace, may make some kinde of satisfaction for the sinne of his soule? Let vs see their opinion, as they have nicely minced it out, and propounded it

Thom. Compend-Theolog cap.200.

t Pfal. 16.2. Iob. 22.3.

Bellar, de de pœniten. lib.4.cap.1.

vnto

vnto vs by parcells: of satisfaction therefore, they make two kindes or degrees rather: the one is absolute, and every way perfect; wherein is rendered, a satisfaction completely answering to the perfect equalitie of diuine iustice; the other imperfect, answering but imperfectly to the breach of lome justice; and that, because it pleaseth God to accept it, for full satisfaction, at the hands of his children, being reconciled vnto him. Touching the first kinde or degree of satisfaction, they see me to confesse, that it is quite out of the power of any meere creature; partly, because whatsoeuer wee are or haue, we are and haue from God; partly because the me iestie offended, is infinite, and all that wee are or haue, is finite: fo that, except there be some acceptation, or donation on Gods part, there can be no satisfaction made by men; but if wee speake of a satisfaction, that is such by Diuine acceptation or donation, this is in the power of every justified man: besides this, in sinne they consider two things; culpam & pænam; fault and punishment; now, that for fault they make meerely free, in respect of vs; and ascribe it onely to Christ, the mediatour: for punishment, they make two forts; eternall and temporall; for eternall punishment, Christs passion onely satisfies; for temporall power is giuenvs, by grace, to make acceptable satisfaction.

Next, they assigne what these workes satisfactorie are; namely prayer, salting, almes deedes: so that now, if wee summe vp their doctrine of satisfactions, it amounts to this: that a justified man, may by fasting, prayer, almes deedes, make such satisfaction as GOD vvillaccept, for the temporal punishment of sinne.

Shall we heare their proofes? First Dan. 4.24. Redeeme thy sinnes by righteonsnesse. Sinnes therefore A a 3 may may be satisfied for. Ans. Our Divines well answere, that they ill render the original voord; vohich in no whe of Hebrewes, or Chaldees, signifies to redeeme, but to breake off; and let them if they can shew instance to the contrarie: secondly, that the phrase is never read inscriptures, to redeeme sinnes; redemption being alwayes applyed to persons: thirdly, it is me thinkes not a little crossing to their owne doctrine, that make their satisfactions proper to instified men; in number whereof, they cannot place Nabuchadnezzar: fourthly, that if satisfaction overe here intimated, no necessitie it should have reference to Gods instice, but to mens injuries.

Their second testimonie is Luk. 3. bring forth meete fruits of repentance: that is, now, they cannot be meete or vvorthy fruits of repentance, except they be sufficient, to recompence the wrong done; if not inequalitie of quantitie, yet at least in equalitie of proportion, and according to the acceptation of him that was wronged: and here they lay on loade with testimonies of Fathers. Ans. But what the fruits of repentance are, yvho can better expound, then Iohn Baptist himselfe? ver. 11. ad 14. Which if ye reade, ye shall finde, to be nothing else, but a ceasing to doe euill, and a learning to doe vvell: and they deceive the world with the homonymie of their Latine pænitentie: the Greeke word μετάνοια, fignifies nothing else, but a change of the minde: and fo proportionally, the fruits of a changed minde, are nothing but actions of a new life, befeeming and teltifying a changed heart.

The third testimonie is 2 Cor.7.11. Where amongst fruits of a godly sorrow, is reckoned up, reuenge or punishment. Ans. First, whither this punishment, be that the repentant partie takes of himselse, or which the Church inslicted on the incessuous person, is

questionable: their owne Caietane so interprets, referring it to the sentence of excommunication passed on the incessuous person: the end whereof, who ever taught to be satisfaction to Gods instice? Secondly, be it granted, that it signifies revenge, which the penitent takes of himselfe, by barring himselfe of his lawfull refreshings, in respect of his immoderate pursuit of vn-lawfull pleasures, and the like; is this a satisfaction to God for former sinnes of his soule? what scripture; what Father so teacheth?

The next testimonie Leuit. 4.3.& 6. Where are appointed sacrifices of divers value, according to the measure of offences: and these sacrifices were satisfactions, and expiations of the guilt of temporal punishment.

Ans. That they may justly be termed expiatorie sacrifices, wee yeeld; yet in this sense onely; quaterus the name of the truth signified, may be given to Types, signifying the truth: a phrase of speech vsual in scriptures, when matters Typicall or Sacramental are enunciated.

The onely true expiatorie sacrifice, was that of Christ on the crosse in signified by these types, in the Leuiticall law. Neither can it be proued, that the actes of offring them, purged otherwise then legally. Heb. 9. Christes bloud, which in these types their faith beheld, alone having power to purge the conscience, Heb. 9. 14. Their other testimonics I spare mentioning; and come now briefely to examine their distinctions, out of which, they have nicely framed, this their carnall doctrine of satisfactions.

Satisfactions then they would have to be of two forts; some every way æquable to the offence of Diuine instice; such they gently yeeld, there are none performable by any meere man: but an other sort there is, which they call acceptable; that is, such as Aa 4 God u Heb.9.10.

God accepts for satisfactions, and amends made to his institute for the sinne of our soules. And will you heare what effect they ascribe vnto them? they have not power, they say to restore, or recover amitie with God; but yet this they can doe, satisfie his instice; at least for guilt of temporall punishments, to be suffered in purgatorie.

vV 1 Pet. 2.

Now first, where finde they eyther tearmes, or grounds of this distinction? we reade indeed, that our feruices of new obedience, are facrifices acceptable vnto GOD through Iesus Christw: but that they are fatisfactions to his iustice, eyther by absolute correspondence, or acceptable proportion wee finde not. Secondly, see how in explication of their distinction, they confound themselves. Can they satisfie iustice? then sure they may remoue offence: can they remoue offence? they can also restore fauour, and amitie with God. For is there any thing that is offensive to God, but the violation of Iustice? satisfie his iustice, thou shalt sure have him propitious. Thirdly, tell vs I pray you, without halting, whither is first, in order of nature, satisfaction to Gods iustice, or reconciliation? If our olde bookes faile vs not, if the scripture deceiuethys not, satisfaction euen plenarie goes before as the meane; reconcilement followes, as the end whereto that tended. Therefore, if wee be reconciled perfectly, satisfactions are performed; if satisfactions plenarie be not performed, wee are sure not reconciled.

And that they prattle of temporarie punishment, reserved for vs to suffer for satisfaction for venials sins; vvhat doth it but impeach the al-sufficient sacrifice of our Sauiour? that with his one oblation of himselfe, hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified *? or secondly, thrust vs into sellowship of Christs mediati-

x Heb. 10.

on, and make him a Sauiour by vs; of whom the scripture teacheth, that by his owne selfe hee bath made a purgation of our sinnes y: or thirdly, according to their grounds, lay crime of iniustice vpon the Maiestie of God: that having received perfect fatisfaction in the bloud of his sonne, and thereby as themselues, cleared vs from all fault, should yet punish vs being vvholie

faultleise, at least by imputation.

Their instances, whereby they would proue that the fault being pardoned, punishments temporarie are reserved, and that for satisfaction to Gods justice, are of no value; to them all wee answere with Austin. Ante remissionem esse illa supplicia peccatorum; post remissionem autem certamina exercitationes qui instorum . Before remission, they are punishments of sinners; after forgiuenesse, exercises of iust men. And of Danid, 2 Sam. 12, the example vyherein they triumph, pardon was given him that hee might not be hindered from life eternall: but the effect of that commination followed: Wherefore ? to satisfie Gods iustice? No, but in that humiliation to exercise & proue mans pietie. Vt pietas hominis in illa humilitate exerceretur, at q probaretur.

Thus Austin iudicially; consonantly to whom, our Divines teach, that temporall paines, and afflictions of this life, though they remaine for substance one and the same, before and after reconciliation, yet is their habit and vse changed vnto Gods children: remayning onely, as chasticements, as reducements, as preuentions, as admonitions, as prouocations to diligence in good duties, as meanes to mortifie corruption, and to humble vs for our finnes; &c. And furely, if they are therefore inflicted, that Gods iustice may, after remission be satisfied, what a kind of pardon call we this? that hath not a release, but a mitigation of punishment only, or as themselves practife, in their foro pænitentiali,

y Heb. 1.3.36.

De peccat. merit. & remiff.lib.2. cap.34.

a commutation of an heavier for a lighter punishment and is this the Lords pardon? But enough if not too much of this heresie.

Verse 25. Whom God hath set forth to be a reconciliation, through the faith in his bloud, to declare his righteousnesse, by the forginenesse of the sinnes that are passed, through the patience of God.

Verse 26. To shew atthis time his righteensnes, that he might be inst, and a instifier of him which is of the faith of Jesus.

N these wordes, δυ ωροέθετο ο Θεδς, &c. is not onely a further illustration of our iustification, by causes efficient, and finall; but a proofe of that immediately sorego-

ing: iustified wee are by redemption in Iesus Christ. And if any man aske a reason, why by that meanes, rather then by any other? the answere is, because God hath purposed or proposed him as the meane of reconcilement: in them consider wee these things: first, the agent: secondly, his action: thirdly, the subject: fourthly, his office, and worke whereto he is designed; fiftly, the condition required on our part, for partaking in this blessing of reconciliation.

The agent is God: whether we take it personally, or effentially, it matters not: if personally, we must referre it to the Father, as to the originall, in a sort, of this purpose and decree: if effentially, so also it is true; the whole Deitic, as it were, sitting in counsel of the meanes of mans reconcilement, resolved of the second persons designement to this worke of propitiation, in that manner that wee now see it in execution.

The

The action followeth; so goide To, whether we render purposed, or proposed, it matters not: the word beares both; and both perhaps are intended, the proposing of Christ, both in types of the law, as also in the cleare re-uelation of the Gospel, being a consequent of that purpose; howbeit, perhaps it is best vnderstood of Gods purpose, to which vsually the workes of redemption are assigned, as to their cause and measure. See Ephes. 1.9.

The subject of this purpose and designement, is Christ Iesus; as the meetest person, both to assume a son-ship of man, and to impart vnto vs, the honour of his own son-ship, by taking vs into fellowship of that his prerogative: His worke & office, where to he is designed, is propitiation, that is, to bring vs againe into favor and grace with God; by satisfying his instice, & so removing the offence & inst displeasure of God; as also by purchasing vnto vs, al other the testimonies of loue: as adoption gift of the spirit, possession of the kingdom of heaven, & c

Thus farre of the sense; out of all which ioyned together, me thinkes we may well frame answere, to those curious questions, rife amongst schoole Divines: as, whether there could not have been another meane of reconciling man to God, then the incarnation and passion of the sonne of God; whither this meane was the most convenient; to first, whereof the vsuall answere is, that the infinite wisedome of God, might have found out, and resoluted of so me other meanes of attonement, had it so pleased him: but secondly this was the meanes most convenient, whether wee respect the ends, the Lord propounded to himselfe; or the things requisite to those ends: the ends were to manifelt his love, and rich grace towards man; and that secondly in such sort, as that no iot of his endlesse iustice, might be impeached, by extending such mercy to man transgressing z. Now wherein could the Father have shewen more loue? then

z Ephes.1. Titus z. then in this demitting his owne, and onely sonne out of his bosome, to assume our nature, and in it to mediate betwixt God and vs. And could men and Angels have deuised, a meanes to shew mercy, without impeachment of Divine instice, as herein we see manifested? thus mercifully to save, thus severely to punish those that he determined to save, except by these means who could have deuised?

Consider we againe, the things requisite to our reconciliation; such as are vndue obedience, perfect satisfaction; things both of them requiring an infinite
person, to giue both infinite value: that wee may very
well say, there was not any meane of propiriation more
conucnient then this, according to Gods eternall purpose exhibited in Christ: hee which would see more to
this purpose, let him read S. Augustine de Trinitat, lib.
13.cap.10. Anselm, lib. cur Deus homo. Aquinas part.3.
9.1. Act.20. And generally schoole-men in 3. Sentent.
Distinct.20. but in all such quæries this rule should be
remembred; that the conveniencie of meanes to their
ends, must be measured by their choyse and designement in Gods purpose and counsell.

And here, let vs learne in our distresses arising from conscience of Gods displeasure for sinne, to seeke vnto Christ onely, as the store-house of comfort. Our Saui-our vpon this ground thus exhorts; in him to seeke refreshing in our spirituals hunger, which Christ incarnate onely can giue; because him onely God hath sealed a, that is, designed by his eternals counsaile, to this

office of reconciling vsto God.

The office, or worke whereto Christ is designed followeth; that is, to be our propiatour or mediatour of reconcilement vnto God. And this office he thus performed: first, submitting himselfe to the whole curse of God, deserved by our sinnes, and standing in the

Vse.

* Ioh.6.27.

gap, as it is faid of Moses, so bearing the brunt of Gods fiery wrath and indignation: and by that meanes remouing from our persons all whatsoeuer wrath or iustice could be offended at. Secondly, by the merit of his obedience purchasing vnto vs the donation of Gods spirit, to worke faith, whereby we might be brought into the couenant of grace, &c.

Which office thus performed by our propyatorie; first, gives conscience comfort, against infirmities daily renewed by Gods servants: in as much as they have an advocate with the Father; lesies Christ the righteous, who is also the propitiation for their sinnes. 1 Ioh.2.

And withall, assures vs of our preservation in state of grace, till sull possession of that glorious life purchased for vs by the merit of Christ: For if when we were enemies, we were reconciled, &c. Rom. 5.

The condition required of vs, to make this propitiation effectuall to vs, is faith; declared by the object, Christis bloud: as if he should say, by resting and relying on the death and obedience of Jesus Christis.

Herein tryumph the patrones of inflification by the fole passion of Christinaking his bloud the ad aquatum obiectum of faith iustifying; how fitly let them judge by this, that they cannot choose but acknowledge here a Sinecdoche; for will they exclude soule passions from the latisfaction of Gods inflice? or make the sufferings of his life, onely preparatives to compassion, and not also for their part expiations for sinne? let him beleeue that lifteth; for my part, I know nothing in the whole humiliation of our Saujour, but was not onely a deposition necessary, but even a part of his expiation. And had almost rather define with schoole-men, that Christ merited from the first instant of his conception, then thus limit his meritorious and explatoric fatisfaction to his last act in death. Reasons of this Synecdocke, seeme these: Vse.

1 13 7 2

1 Cor. 13 4.

b Phil, 2.

c Heb.g.

these: first, because in his death was the complement of his humiliation b: secondly, it served best for opposition to Iewish opinion, of legals facrifices offered in bloud of beasts c: thirdly, most sensibly answered to the types of Iewish law, &c.

But here observe we, that to partaking in Gods fauour procured by Christs humiliation, faith is required; what kinde of faith whither generall or particular hath beene already declared; whither also as a disposition preceding, as feare, love, hope, &c. or as an instrument to apprehend Christs merit, hath beenealso shewen; here onely it shall suffice to note, that faith is here put metonimically, for the consequent or effect of it; which we call fiduciam; that is, confidence, or affiance, which me thinkes the particle (Ey) sufficiently noteth: so that if our adversaries would learne, to leave that point of their skill, in diverting the stroke of our arguments in this point, to a controuersie of words; many there needlesse disputes, about the nature of faith justifying, would soone have end: for my part I judge with them in this point, that faith, properly so called, is in the vnderstanding, not in the will; and may better be rendered, a perswasion then affiance. But yet this is true, that affiance in Christes merit, is a fruit of faith justifying; and the very act of affiance or putting trust in Christ, required of vs, to our iultification, which if no other Scripture affirmed, yet this evidently avoucheth: and can we thinke this floweth from a generall beleeuing of the history of the Gospell? yea, can it arise from any thing, but particular cuidence of our title to Christs merit. This is expected the matter as a mark it.

Vse.
d 1 Cor. 13.5.

Let vs therefore as many as desire to know our reconciliation with God through Christ, proue our selves whether we be in the faith or not d: that olde distinction of Schoole-men borrowed of Augustine, in question

question touching the extent of Christ's merit, may in fit place be discussed: this once is agreed on all sides; except the Chiliasts, that howsoever there be a sufficiencie of worth in Christs merit to reconcile all; yet the efficacie thereof reacheth onely to such as beleeve. It concernes vs therefore for our comfort, diligently to try and examine our confidence. Notes to discerne it. besides the feeling and experience every man may have of himself, are these. First, gathered by proportion from that of Salomon; Trust in the Lord with all thine heart, and leane not to thine owne wisedome e. Proportionally, it is true of every man resting in Christs merit for propiciation, and fauour of God, that he leanes not on any thing in himselfe to procure it; but is so humbled with conscience of sinne, and terrour of Gods maiestie, is so well acquainted with naturall disabilities, imperfection of his owne righteousnesse, that he counts all dung and drosse for the knowledge of Christ, that he may be found in bim not having owne righteonfnesses, &c. I say not, that every one renouncing himselfe in this behalfe, hath presently affiance in Christs bloud; (although methinks truly to doc this, is a thing supernaturall) but negatitiely I am sureit holdes; who locuer rests in himselfe, relieth not on Christ, &c. APROLY 1004 100 2104

Mecond cuidence of confidence in Christ, and his merit for righteousnesse, is detestation of all Teachers and doctrines in any sort rasing this foundation and pillar of our confidence. How stoutly the Apostle opposed against such, who so reades his whole Epistle to the Galathians may sudge, with what carnestnesse of affection enuies hee against those, that in this Article of instification, desired to mingle Moses and Christ, faith and works of any sort? The like is the affection of all such, that have learnt truly to rest on Christ.

But proceede wees The end of this ordinance, and decree

e Pro.3.5.

e3 679 c

Phil.3.

:1:13:11

CHAP.3.

8 Pfal. 116. 5.

20113

1 Pet. p. 1 2.

decree of God, propoling Christ as mediatour of reconcilement, through his bloud, and faith therein, followeth; that is, to declare his righteousnesse in forgiving finnes; of both Testaments, &c. i draw if formula

Sense: To declare his rigisteon fre fe.] As if he should fay, If any aske a reason, why God ordayned Christo propitiate, and that by his bloud; it was, to shew himselfe just in forgiuing sinnes. To omit variety of interpretations; by justice of God, we may best understand, his judiciall justice, which some call, remunerating, or distributive; a property enclining him to reward obedience; to punish disobedience. Now, for that his purpose was to deale mercifully with man in forgiuing linnes, and yet justly too, in punishing disobedience; (for God cannot so be mercifully as to impeach his instice 3) this way his Divine wisedome devised for mercifull forgiueneise; to deliuer his sonne to dye for our sinnes, that justice might be satisfied; and withall, mercie overflowe to the Elect in forgiuing iniquitie and

To declare his instice in forgining sinnes: That is, that he might be acknowledged iust, euen while of mercy he forgaue sinnes. To this sense agreeth that Ver. 26. where first, wee may learne to admire the mysterie of divine wisedome; as in all other his workes conspicuous, to in this of compounding endlette inflice, with as infinite mercy most admirable; no meruaile if Angels fo defiroully buckle themselves to prie hereinto. It was that about which the Sophies of all times bufied themselucs, but were in all their deuises frustrate; by light of nature they knew iustice must receive satisfaction, before ever mercy could have pallage, from that Father of mercies, to the sonnes of Adam. Hencewere their fastings, sacrifices, sometimes offered in mans bloud, yea, in bloud of their owne formes and daughters; deerer intended

h 2 Tim. 1.10.

intended to propitiate the divine maiestie, fondly imagined to reside in their Idols: but the true meane of propitiation, the Gospell onely hath revealed h: and the matter of it Christ Iesus, opened out of the bosome of his Father.

Secondly, meethinkes, if there were none other argument, this alone sufficiently ouerthrowes all that doctrine of Popish satisfactions, for reconciliation: for can there be no meane of propitiation, but what yeelds satisfaction to divine suffice? will not the Lord be mercifull in forgiving sinnes? Nay, can he not be mercifull in forgiving sinnes, till suffice be satisfied? then sure, humane sufferings, and almes, and prayers, must needs be acknowledged to be no sacrifices propitiatorie for the sinnes of our soules. But hereof before.

Followeth now an amplification of the finnes forgiuen by a distinction or distribution of them; according to scuerall times of committing; some vvere weaverous ta; that is, done or committed, in time before Christs exhibiting in the slesh; some after; to both which the merit of Christs passion extends it selfe.

weoyeyovoτων άμας Ιμμάτων. Diners are the interpretations; that now specified, I judge most probable, comparing this place with that Heb. 9.15.

Consent of other Interpreters, both Popish and others might be shewen. Whence the collection is easie, that vertue of Christs passion reached vnto the Fathers of the olde Testament; and that the Lord gave the plenarie forguenesse of their sinnes respecting the survey humiliation of his sonne. This point needed not much proofe, but that our Romish adversaries by a consequent of their doctrine; seeme to denie it is for truth of it see these Sriptures. Although 15 min 16h. 8.56.

Heb. 13.8. Apoc. 13.8.

6 10

i Inice 23,4%.

Catechis. Trident in Art.descendet ad inferos.

August. Epift.99. ad Enod.

iLuke 23.43. k 2 Cor. 12.2.

And what should let then to infer? that they were admitted to heaven properly so called, without detainement in their Limbus, the skirt of hell, vntill Christs comming thither to deliuer them.

That which they teach of this place is this; that it is a part of hell; and fuch a part, as betwixt which, and the place of the damned, there is no solide interstitium: that it was a prison, wherein, though the Fathers had an immunitatem pana sensibilis, freedome from all senfible paine; yet were they deprived of the vision of God, and tormented at least with this that they hung in a suspensive hope of that blessed glory, which they expected.

Now if it be true, their sinnes were remitted: secondly, they justified and reconciled vnto GOD, by faith in Christ to come: thirdly, were adopted for sonnes: how can it be imagined, that they were thus punished? Againe, S. Augustine professed, that he neuer could finde hell in Scripture put for good; and the receptacle of foules, whateuer it were, whither the Fathers went, was fure good; wherein they had, not onely immunity from sensible paine, but received comfort. Luc. 16.25.

Thirdly, it is a ruled opinion of many their Diuines, that it is a greater punishment, to be deprived of Gods presence, then to seele any other the paines of hell; and that the anguish is more, to consider that deprivall of glory, then to feele the smart of any other infernall torment; which if it be fo; then fure the greatestpunishment was theirs that yet are confessed to have lived

and dyed high in Gods fauour. Heb. 11.

Adde vnto this, that the title given to their place of abode, is Paradise metaphoricall i: that with Paul is all one, with the third heavenk, the Palace of Gods principall cipall residence; a place of pleasure sure, and free from all punishment, both of sense and losse. But let us heare what they can say for maintenance of their Lymbus, they alleadge that Gen. 37.35. I shall gue downe sorrowing to my Sonne in infernum. Ans. And why not in sepulchrum? to the grave: the word beares it well enough, and the purport of sacobs speech; wherein he expresse that would never leave him, till death: and compare Gen. 42. 38. the like speech; yee shall bring my gray head with sorrow to the grave: the word is all one, and thinke ye his gray head went to Lymbus?

The second testimonie, is Luc. 16, 23, 26, betwixt the place of the damned, and the bosome of Abraham: there was χάσμα μέγα: a great hiatus: therefore no solide interstitium betweene them, but both soules in the same gulfe. Ans. Now sure a pretie collection. And if Bellarmine had beene to deale with Chemnitius: how scoffingly would he have girded at such grammaticall quiddities? But is it his opinion in deede? that this chasma is such an empty biatus, wherein is no solide interstitium? Then methinkes, there should be no such impossibility of interchangeable passage, betwixt the spirits about and belowe; and how a Commeatus betweene them, should in such an empty space, be more impossible for spirits, then sight or audience, I see not. But for answere he cannot forget their rule in schooles that Theologia symbolica, monest argumentina; and that cuery string of a parable is not to he strayned; nor can any thing be vrged thereout, beyond the principall intention. And for his empty xasua, though it sig. nifie properly, that hiatus oris in oscitation; yet is tranflated by a Syncodoche of the species, to signific any distance or space of place. And so here is intended by Bb 2 our our Sauiour, in this parabolicall prosopozaia: his μέχα χάσμα, being nothing, for ought our adversaries can prove, but that great distance, betwixt heaven, the scat of the blessed, and hell, the prison of the damned.

Thirdly, they alleadge 1 Sam. 28.13 The soule of Samuel was seene ascending out of the earth Ans. first, the text hath not the soule of Samuel, but Deos. And is it their opinion it was Samuels soule, came vp so wrapped in a mantle? verse 14. belike hee found cold comfort in that refrigerium, that Lymbus afforded, that hee was faine, thus to be-mantle his soule, to keepe heate: secondly, I thus reason: if this ascending were of the true s'amuel & not of his spectrum; either of soule alone, or of body alone, or of both: not of his soule alone, for guid anima, or pallio? what had his soule to doewith' a mantle? if of bodie alone, an assent might be thereof out of the grave; if both; why might not his foule first descend out of heaven and in the grave resume the bodie? and so ascend: so that there is no necessitie of ascending out of hell, or any list thereof: but thirdly, what if not Samuel at all, nor nothing of his, but his spectrum: à phantasme alone assumed, by an euill spirit, was that that appeared? furely, the reasons brought both by Fathers, and other late writers for this opinion, are not to be contemned.

For first, is it likely, that the Lord refusing to answere Sant by meanes ordinarie, verse 6. vyould thus extraordinarily give resolution by Samuel sent from the dead? Secondly, was Samuel soule thus at command of a Witch? to be called our of his rest, by her. verse 11. especially by Magicall meanes? but I perceive, it is not for nothing, they place Lymbus so neere the hell of the damned; they should doe the. Dively great wrong, to

111

ake

take his borderers out of his kingdome, or to imagine, that emptie Chasma could keepe them from his command. I omit answere to the arguments of the contrarie opinion: as that he is called Samuel: and secondly, that he foretold things to come; which being contingent, were accordingly accomplished. Heare the answere of some Fathers to these reasons, and thereby iudge of their opinions: to the first thus Theodoret historia eum qui visus est, nominat Samuelem, propteria quod, sic crediderit Saul. The historie cals him that appeared, Samuel, because Saul so thought of him: and this to be no strange kinde of speech, he proues, by the like in other scriptures; thus also it stiled the Angels, that appeared vnto Abraham, and the Lord of them (men;) because the Patriarcke supposing them to be such, set meate before them, &c. vide locum,

To the second, S. Basile thus; ad eum modum & damones qua sententia ac decreto dei constituta e-rant, iamque palam euulgata sapenumero annunciarunt bis, qui facile in fraudem illiciuntur. &c. After the same manner haue the diuels often declared such things as were appointed, by the sentence and decree of GOD and now openly diuulged vnto such as are easily brought into errour.

And then instanceth in this example, affirming them, to be Diuels, transforming themselues into the habit and person of Samuel: that having heard the sentence of condemnation pronounced against Saul, promulged it, as their owne; I might glut the reader with like testimonies of others, but these may suffice.

Their third testimonie is, I Pet. 3.19. he preached vnto the spirits in prison; now heaven is no prison. Ans.
The full opening of this place, will best be done, when
we shall come by Gods grace, more purposely to hanBb 2

Theodoret.in
I Sam. 28.

Basil, in Isay

See Heb. 11.93

m Mat, 2. 58.

handle it. Here only, as much as shall suffice, for loosing the hold, they have here taken, for Lymbus, first, the spirits here preached vnto, if they were in prison at the time of their preaching, cannot be intended to be the soules of the Fathers; for that they are described to have been incredulous and disobedient; and so I hope they will not say of Aeraham, 1/aac, and 1acob, &c. Secondly, they will neuer be able to proue, that this preaching was to them, at the time while they were in prison: for quorsum? But hereof more hereafter.

As touching their other testimonies, and reasons pretended out of the scriptures, they have beene all fufficiently, and often answered, and the aunsweres stands to this day vnconfuted, as that Crambe Zuch.9. 11. Thou hast brought the presoners out of the lake where are no waters; the(in) here mentioned, is to be applied to the Church, as the forminine particles shew; the lake is not hell, but babilonish captivitie: and the vyhole reading is corrupt, as appeares to any adulling with the originall.

Their reason: then Christ was not the first that ascended into heaven Ans. This seemed no great abfurditie to the auncients; whose judgement it was of Elias, that hee was translated into heaven's: secondly, for all this Christmight be the first, that in bodie and soule entred into fulnesse of that glorie, prepared for the elect in the kingdome of heaven. And thus much of

that controuersie.

Theremainder of this verse hath little else, then hath beene alreadie treated off; hee resumes onely the end of this ordinance of God to reconcile vs by the bloud of his Sonne; and secondly, explanes that which hee had faid of the manifestation of Godsiustice; shewing

what

what he meant thereby: that he might be inst: that is, declared to be iust, and yet, a iustifier of him that is of the faith of Iesus; that is, although he did discharge from punishment, and guilt of sinne; and approved as righteous, such as renouncing themselves and their owne righteousnesses, expect iustification by beleeving in Christ Iesus. Him that is of the faith of Iesus. Gal. 3.9. 10. as if he should say, him that renouncing the sect of merit-mongers, embraceth the supposed heresie of so-lifidians.

Hitherto, of the Apostles first argument against iustification by workes, thus largely handled from cap. 1. werse 16. to this place. Followes now the position of the contrarie conclusion: that a man is instiffed by faith, with new arguments to that purpose.

i al. or; unit impliquă îi la i**l.B.b.4** armită îi d**Verfe** ma il îmară cari

ra i di di di di kara di kwaki na dike. Ngana kwaki maki di kwaki kwaki kwaki kwaki kwaki kwa kwaki kwa kwaki kwaki kwaki kwaki kwaki kwaki kwaki kwa Verse 27. Where is then the rejoycing? It is excluded. By what law? of workes? Nay: but by the law of faith.

Verse 28. Therefore wee conclude, that a man is instified by faith without the worker of the

Law.



He first reason is taken from the effect of this manner of instification by faith, removed from that other by workes, in this forme. If by the doctrine of instification by faith, boasting be excluded and not by that

which requires workes, then wee are justified by faith and not by workes: but by the doctrine of faith, boasting is excluded, and not by that of workes: therefore we conclude, that a man is justified by faith, without the workes of the law.

The propolition is omitted, but easily collected out of the Apostles *Embymeme*. The assumption is propounded, in a Rhetoricall Dialogisme, as is easie to be seene; the conclusion expressed in so many tearmes, verse 28.

The consequence of the proposition depends vpon this ground; that man must be justified by such a meane, as whereby he may have no cause to glorie in himselfe. Ephes. 2.9. 1 Cor. 1.30.31.

The truth of which ground, as it is evident by the testimonies now alleadged, so will it further appeare,

by

by the vvhole project of Gods counsaile touching the faluation of his Church. Wherein it is evident he chose fuch a course, as vvhereby the vvhole glory might be his: none, mans: hee chose vs before vvevvere, freely, vvithout respect to any thing in vs; according to the good pleasure of his owne vvill; for the glorie of his rich grace n : hee made vs vvithout our selves after his glorious Image : permitted; yea, decreed to permit our fall, that hee might have mercie o: and that the claime to faluation. might be by meere mercie; vvherefore? I demand viould the Lord that had power to stablish vs, as hee did good Angels, thut vs vp vnder finne? but that hee might make vvay; for the gloric of his mercie? he sent his Sonne to reconcile vs; made not vs our owne propitiatours: vvherefore? but that the vihole glorie might be his? hee called vs freely p, of grace inuiting by his spirit opening our hearts to attend, and by the same spirit drawing vs 9: ordayned meanes in themselves silly and vycake to convert vs :: sanctifies indeede, but in part onely; wherefore? but that, as the Apostle speakes, no flesh might glorie in his presence s: all vyhich, ioyned to the testimonies fore-cited a enidence the soundnetse of the Apostles ground : and thus farre, furthers vs in differning the meanes of our iuftification in generall, that it must be such, as vyhereby all occasion of boasting may be taken from point a constant last sale no sounden

Come we now to the particular allumed; but boafling, doctrine of workes excludes not; doctrine of Faith excludes, and may wee not then conclude with the Apostle? that a man is justified by faith, without the workes of the law.

Lct

n Ephel. 1.4.

° Rom. 11.32. Gal.3.22.

P Tit.3.5.

9 Ioh.6.44. r 1 Cor. 2.

³ I Cor. 1.29.

Let vs a little examine the assumption: sense; law of workes: that is, doctrine of workes: that is, teaching that wee are iustified by workes. Law of faith, that is, doctrine of faith; as, the Hebrew properly signifies; for the truth of it, both members are to be scanned; first, that doctrine of iustification by workes excludes not boassing: secondly, that that of faith excludes it.

t Gal.5.3. Rom.10.5.

-7. T. T. 1.

: D. Holl P

3-. 1 Di

For the first, consider wee what it is, that by doctrine, requiring vvorkes to justification, is to be performed by him, that will that way be instified: and that is, a doing of the whole law t, and that by the person himselfe, that lookes for instification. And is not this, to puffe up the proud heart of man with conceit of abilitie to justifie himselfe? What prouder doctrine then that of Pelagians? teaching that by naturall abilities, the law might perfectly be kept to justification. That of Papists, is not allout so grosse; they teach, that nature helped by grace; may doe this : and, that by workes done in grace, some iustification may be atchieued: they diminish boasting, but exclude it not. It were long, to rake vp all they teach, touching power of will in nature: how it deserves ex congruo, even before grace receaued. But haply, by that they teach of the necessarie affiltance of grace to iultification, they amend the matter. Surely little or nothing. For let a man view all they teach touching the efficacie of grace, in this point of iultification: hee shall finde it to amount to this summe onely: that grace doth little more then bring out that power, that is in nature, into act; or-heale a little the wound, that sinne hath mades or confirme weakenedle naturall: so making nature, to, goe cheeke by iole with grace, in the act of justifying:

fying: and is this to exclude boalting? and not rather to occasion it?

Let vs fee now whether the doctrine of faith excludes it; so saith the Apostle. But consider vvee how: viz. in as much as it teacheth to goe out of our selues for righteousnelse; and makes vs, no more but receivers of that righteousnelle, vyhereby vyee are justified; vvrought by Christ, given by GOD the Father, received by faith 12, according to this doctrine, what cause hath man to boast? Perhaps they will fay of this, that he beleeved: and by beleeuing, accepted the righteousnesse offered? as if a beggar mould boast of his wealth, and ascribe it to his labour, whereas all hee did was but to receive, what the li'eralitie of almoners pleased to bestow; year in the case betwixt GOD and vs, man hath not so much to boalt of, lith very power to receive is also the gift of God. Ephel. 2.8.

therefore a man is justified by faith without workes of the law.

And what have adversaries of grace, trow wee, to except against this round argument of the Apostle? Forsooth they labour by a distinction of boasting, to elude all. So Bellarmone: the Apostle excludes not all boasting, but that onely which ariseth of workes done by sole power of freewill; for hee saith not, vbi est gloriatio? Where is the boasting? but vbiest gloriatio tua? Where is the boasting? the boasting whereby thou gloriest in thy selfe, and not in the Lord; now in respect of vvorkes done of faith, and so by grace, no man can glorie but in the Lord; and that boasting is not prohibited: for the Apostle saith, Let him that glorieth, glorie in the Lordw.

The

v Ioh.1.12.

De instif. lib.1.cap. 19.

W 2 Cor. IC.

The summe is this, that boasting in our selues onely is forbidden and not boasting in the Lord: and that is sufficiently excluded by this, that they affirme, workes done by power of nature, vvithout aide of grace, to be vnable to instific.

graunt, if they yeeld vs thus much, that all glorying in our selues, is excluded; for wee list not to contend about quiddities; though Bellarmines (that) be not found in the text. And thus propound the Apossus ground; a man must be instiffed by such a meanes as vvhereby hee may have no cause at all to glorie in himselse: but must transcribe the whole glorie of instiffication, to the grace of GOD: and hence thus assume.

But by doctrine of workes, whether done by sole power of nature, or mixtly by grace and nature, all boasting is not excluded; Ergo, that not the meane of iustification. Our minor for the first part, is granted by aduersaries; and doe they not see, how their mingling of grace and nature, leaves still some cause of boalting to our proud nature? Am I a coworker with grace by power naturall, and depends the efficacie of grace, vpon the affent of my will? then fure in respect of my coagencie, and assent, be it lesse or more, I have some cause to boast of my selfe, and so, all boasting in my selfe is not excluded; but all boasting in our selves, must be excluded; therefore all workes vyhatsoeuer whether done by sole power of nature as Pelagians; or by nature and grace mixtly, as Popish Semipelagians now teach, are here excluded from justification.

To conclude this argument: let all such as desire to informe themselues, in this weightiest point, wherein errours

errours are most daungerous, bring doctrines on both sides taught, to this touchstone. If Popish do-Etrine, here hold current, let them embrace it, if it take away all boafting from man, hold it firme: but if it puffe vp the proud heart of man, in the least measure, as indeed it doth, reject it as a doctrine of Diuels; and hold the teachers thereof, though Seraphicall, for euer accurfed: it ferues not the turne, to fay, they ascribe instificatorie vvorkes to grace of God; for first, what doe they herein, more then the Pharisee x: I thanke God (saith he) not my felfe, that I am thus and thus: and yet is dismissed vvithout iustification: and secondly, all they ascribe to grace, is but an assistance of nature; or a principalitie at most, in workes that justifie; and by affociating nature with grace, give vs at least. some cause to boast of our selves? for sure, if we be eyther principals, or in the least-measure adiutours to our iultification, vvee haue some cause of boalting: but it is wholly excluded by the true Doctrine of iultification. Therefore wee may well conclude against vvorkes; they have no power to iustifie in the fight of GOD. And furely were it not, that I confider Gods secret, though iust judgement, in sending them strong delusions; and compassionately ponder the pride of nature, both to become nothing in the vvorke of faluation; and the subtiltie of Popish teachers, in fuiting this doctrine to the humour of nature; I should wonder how any man adulfedly reading this short Enthymeme of the Apostle, could in this point, erre in judgement; It was vvell faid of one, that Popish religion, it is a natural religion: leave man to himselfe, hee salles on Popish

x Luc. 18. 11.

con-

conclusions, and practife: in this one point it is more then cuident.

Let Gods children learne, to acknowledge their owne nothingnesse in this article of instification; that GOD may be all in all. Who so vvalkes, after this rule, peace shall be vpon him, and mercie, and vpon the Ifrael of GOD: and if any attempt sharing with the Lord in this glorie: I testifie vnto him, with the Apostle, hee is abolished from Christ, he is fallen from grace. Gal. 5.4.

By faith, &c. It is vsually enquired how faith iustifieth: whether as an act or worke, or organice, and correlatine; as an instrument, receiuing that, for vvhich wee haue esteeme of righteousnesse; and so in respect of that, vvhereto as an instrument, it hath relation : our Divines hitherto, haue joyntly resoluted of the two latter; and as I take it vpon good reason: for that, otherwife righteousnesse shall be inherent; which hitherto hath been holden an absurditie; the question will come fitliest to be handled, cap. 4. 3. and therefore to that place I referue it.

By faith without workes: And is not the inference direct enough? therefore by faith onely. The inference is vsuall amongst our Divines, both auncient and moderne: and thus wee explane our selues; that no grace of God, no worke, eyther of nature or grace in vs, concurreth, so much as instrumentally to instification. Reason, because none other gift, or act but faith receius that, for which we are accounted right ous in the fight of God.

What say our adustrasies to this collection? forfooth they charge vs with foyfting in the terme (onely.)

(onely.) And. And is it foysted in beyond the Apositles intention? have wee it not in the equivalent? by faith without workes; onely by faith, are they not equipollents. See Amb. in Rom. 3.9. Hierom. in Rom. 4. Basil in Concione de humil, & c. Fulgent de incarnat: cap. 16.

But how reconcile wee that of S. Iames? cap. 2. not by faul onely; with this of Paul, by faith onely; James iustified by workes; Paul iustified without workes; Anf. The answeres are thus; that Paul treateth of iustification before God; so it is true, faith onely iustifieth in the light of God; lames, of iustification interohumano, or before men; and so workes justifie: that is, declare to be just: Al: that 'P'and treateth of the effect or office of faith, in foro Dinino, lamer, of the qualitie of that faith that justifieth, and her effect before men; and all that lames faith is this, as Caletane trucky, quod non fide sterilifed fide toeun la operibus honis instificamur. That wee are justified not by a barren faith, but by such a faith, as is fruitfull in good workes: more of this Text of lames, when by Gods grace we shall come to that Epistle.

Without workes of the Law, eyther naturall, ceremoniall, or morall; before or after grace, as

plentifully is showen, ad verse 9.

Therefore say some, without workes of the law, even done by Christ; for the exclusion is vniversall; except vvee can evidence a restraint. Ans. Restraints toworkes done by our selves, mee thinks first; the whole purpose of the Apostle, implyeth; for the question is, whether a man be to be institled by his owne righteouries, that is, righteousnesse of his owne performance, wor by the righteousnesse of

of another: secondly, see Rom. 10.3.5. and tell me why hee cals the righteousnesse of the law, our owne righteousnesse: except in regard of our owne performance.

Verse 29. God, is he the God of the Iewes onely, and not of the Gentiles also? Yes, even of the Gentiles also.

Verse 30. For it is one God who shall instific circumcission of faith, and uncircumcision through faith.



Second reason for iustification by faith without workes of the Law. From absurdities; if by workes-of the Law, and not by faith onely; one of these two absurdities vvill follow; that eyther God is God

of the Iewes onely, and not of the Gentiles also; or else that hee is variable in this action of instifying; instifying the Iewes, by works of the law; Gentiles by faith; but God is not the God of Iewes onely, but of Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariation of the God of Iewes onely, but of Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the God of Iewes onely, but of Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the God of Iewes onely, but of Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also; and is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; the Gentiles also is one; that is, vnvariations of the Gentiles also is one; the Gentiles a

ble in this act of suffifying. Erge.

Is he God of Iewes onely? &c. That is, hee hath now vnder the new Testament accepted Gentiles, into his couenant of grace. The tenour whereof read Ier. 31. 33,&c. But as touching the consequence of the proposition, it may be enquired how it flowes? Ans. Because that vnto Iewes onely was the Law giuen in writing y, the Gentiles were & restor, without the Law. If therefore this capable conserved of the Law, I therefore whall be capable conserved.

y Pfal. 147. Rom.9. Rom.3.14.

2 1 Cor. 8.

bleffing; and the Gentiles, whose God the Lord hath couenanted to be, shall lacke this principall blessing, promised in the couenant; justification. But that is absurd. For God is one. This onenesse as I may terme it, of God, is not numerall, as in other places 2, but hath reference, eyther to the vnchangeablenesse of God, and his keeping one steddie, and vnvaried course in iustifying all; or to the indifferencie, and vnpartiality of his affection towards all, of all forts. Wuhin the conenant. If we take it in the first sense, they contayne a second absurdity, isluing from the doctrine of iustification by workes of law written, vrged by Iewes; in as much as then it will follow, that the Lord doth not, by one meanes iustificall. But Gentiles by faith, Iewes by works of the Law; but all are instified by one and same meanes; sith God is one vnchangeable in his courses.

If we etake it in the other sense, as noting the indifferencie of Gods affection to all in the couenant, it is a reason of the clause fore-going: viz. that God is God of Gentiles also.

Circumcission, that is, Iewes circumcised: vncircumcission, that is, Gentiles vvanting circumcission. Metonimya adiuncti, as Rom. 2.26.

But that we may here note, is, that the bleffing of iustification belongs to all in the couenant, vvhether Iewes or Gentiles beleeuing: see Ier. 31. 33. 34.

Act. 15.8.9.10.11. See Annot. in Ver. 22. Reason:

God is one.

Note to discerne whither wee be within the couenant of Grace, principally is this; if wee haue performed our regulation, carying our selues in

of his !! things, as the people of God. Zach. 13,9.

Verse

C c

Verse 31. Doe we then make the Law of none effect through faith? God forbid: yea we establish the Law.



Oe we then abolish the law by faith? God forbid, &c.] The words have in them a preoccupation, of what might be objected against the former doctrine, excluding workes of the Law, by auouching justifi-

cation through faith onely.

Obiett. If we be instified by faith onely, it should seeme the Law is vetterly abolished. And First, the consequent is denied and rejected with Apostolicall horrour, God forbid. Secondly, the contrarie is auouched: as if hee should say, we are so farre from antiquating the law, by doctrine of faith, that we rather establish it. By faith understand doctrine of faith, or teaching that we are justified by faith.

Whence then, wee have these two conclusions; first, that the doctrine of iustification by faith, abo-

lisheth not the Law.

Secondly, that same doctrine stablisheth the Law.

Now for the first of these, the explanation is not all out so difficult; for what though by teaching faith, wee make the law vnessectual to justification? followeth it thence, that it is in every respect abolished, and to all purposes vnessectuals? There are sundrie other ends of the law, for which it ought to stand in vse in the Church of GOD: As first, to bring

bring vs to knowledge of sinne^a: secondly, to be a rule of life^b: thirdly, to be meane of continual humiliation, and sundry the like.

But how by this doctrine wee establish the Law, requires more diligent inquisition. Varietie of interpretations, I am loath to cloy the Reader withall; yet some few of the most probable, it will not be impertinent to propound. Some thus; faith stablisheth the Law, as it is a rule of life: while it workes by loue, which is the fulfilling of the Law. But the question is as I take it, how the Apostle teaching that wee are instified by faith, doth in so teaching, stablish the Law? Doe we abolish the Law? and wee stablish the Law: viz. weethatteach a man to be instified by faith, euen whiles wee so teach, or by this very doctrine, stablish the Law.

I therefore judge with the best moderne interpreters, that the Law is thus farre established by the doctrine of faith; that the righteousnesse, which it requireth, quod ad maieriam, is not abolished; but rather stablished. In as much as vvhat it requires, Doe this and line, wee have it in Christ by beleeuing. Faith finding in him, what man cannot finde in himselfe, the perfect obedience which the Law enjoyneth.

And if any say, then belike we are justified by righteous fields of the Law. Ans. It implies no absurdity to speake so in a sense. For according to judgement of best Divines, Legall and Evangelical righteous nelse differ, not in matter, but efficiente & modo: wee have the same righteousnesse vyhich the Law requires; but yet in other manner, then the Law required it: the Law required it of our owne performance; the Gospell teacheth, it sufficeth to righteous oulnesse.

Beza.

Paraus co

* Rom. 3.& 3.

b Math. c.

ousnesse, that it be for vs performed by our Mediatour Christ Iesus, and apprehended of vs by faith.

And if this be Pauls meaning, then I hope it followes; that Christs active obedience enters our justification.

And then, there is some end of imputation; that it may become ours. And this I am sure is the olde way, and as I yet judge, the good way: in it I finde as much rest to my soule, as in that other compendium some haue framed.

In this point so weighty, I will be glad to learne; but can scarce be perswaded; the truth hath hitherto lien hid, from so many of Gods Saints, so purposely conversant in this question. And though I am not so Popish, as to measure truth by multitude, yet sure me thinks, where other things are equall, as learning, industry; sincerity, prayer to God for reuelation; I had rather impute errour to one then many.

Their cut, they say. is shorter into Gods king-dome; ours, I thinke, safer; and howsoever our circumference seeme larger, yet our center we are sure, is Christ. Aug, hie baculum sixi: though still I professe with Augustine; Non pigebit me, sicubi hasho, quarere: nec pudebit, sicubi erro, discere. It shall:

neuer greeue me, where I doubt, to enquire: nor shame me, where I erre, to learne and be better enformed.

Augustin, de Trinit. Iib.1.

FINIS.

Errata.

Gentle Reader, itterall and punctuall faults being over many, were leave them to thine owne fauourable correction. The materiall, either peruerting, obscuring, or annulling the sense, are thus to be amended.

Page 10 line 8. this part of the Text, verse 2. Which hee had promised afore, &c. 1sto be inserted page 14. line 4. Page 18. line 13 read from his Father this, to be God: page 20. line 23. read petigree.p.21. line 33. for Acephiah, read Acephali. p. 26. line 20. For vs, ibid. line 22. to God Christ, or diume excellencies vnto man Christ. p. 46. line 19. Howsoeuer it be, p. 57. line 18. time, how in temporall.p. 58. line 2. Neapolitan.p. 61. line 25. disparity. page 62. line 22. no for concedat, comedat. page. 79. line 13. auaileable: page. 81. line 11. them all, page. 85. line 14. išhymsa page 87. line 11. read off. page 89. line 3. The. line 9. themselues confessing.p.91. l. 4. išhymsa. page 92. line 8. of it. It is, &c. p.93. l. 6. iust by faith liues.p. 95. l. 7. in forme: Vpon whomp. 102. l. 9. obtained.p. 107. line 9. Vniuersities.p. 109. line 23. bihis word. That commination.

P. 116.line z. the scale is corrupted, the Syllogisme beingthis. What image so ever doth not lively represent the nature of God, that may not be made to represent God. But no image doth set forth God as he is. Therefore &c. Ibid. line 12. Fetwixt the two: Angels being.p. 121.l. 15. for their, read the, p. 122. line 33. of God? p. 128.line 5.and 7.for revelation.read relation.p. 140. 1.7. for their, there.p. 147. line 7. none more having. Signes &c. line 27. God in.p. 153. line 1. vindictam p. 155. line 7 ill originall, p.156 l.z Herodotus p.157.l.z. variance. p. 160. l. 23. persequitur. p.164.1.34.for Pyrrhu, Kegulus. p.167.1.2. often burnes p.169.1. 12. implying first, p.173.1.23. for accepted, r. excepted.p. 182.1.4. of the Ministery is valavyfull, p. 183. l. 2. for we, r. he.p. 184. l. 24. is so busied.l. 30.moates.p. 193.l. 11.sor hauer. hauing.p. 195.l. 11.or faith he it not.l. 16. ouerladen.p. 196. l. 10. vindictam. p. 197. l. 17. for rules, rarues not p. 198.l.21. to this purpose, me thinks.p. 199. 1.29. life, p. 202. l. 22 23. point thus, had he not beene, in respect of his divine person, equal to his Father. ib. l. vlt. for primo equabilio, r. pramio aquabilia, p. 208. l.g. to life. To that &c.p. 21 1. l. 6. promifed aglorious &c. ibid.l. 21. for thy, r.they.p.213.l.6. for feeke to our selucs, r.secke our selues.p.215.l. 16.vnrighteouines ibid.l.19.sor contentions. r.contentious.p.218.l.2.for just man, r. wife man.p.

Cc 3

219.

219.1.5. meshadinas p. 221. 1. 5. for meanes, r. meannesse ib.1.18. thinke; ib.l. 21. libertinisme.p. 222 l. 22. the contrary. p. 225. l. 2. industrious. ib. 1.6. distanding. ib. 1.19. for divine light, r. dimme light.p. 226.l.5. Sidonians.p. 233.l. 26.r. dimme light p. 236.l. 23 r. isdex.ib.1.24. r. Syntereses p.227.1.8. for not, r.no. ib. 1. 32. for in it selfe, r.on it selfe. p. 229 1. 22. r. dinersified. p. 240 1. vlt. disclamed. 3.246.1.4. disceptation.p.249.1.1. for diune, r. dimme. p.250.1.29. or euen reuery page 253 line. 9. Coattestante, ibid line 15 milato. 259.1.15.r.obliquely.ib.1.32 for therer.third p.264.1.30. großest. ib.l. 22. put out (to) p. 265.l. 2. for is, r. in. p. 266.l. 5. r. analogie. p. 268.1.3 1. r. actuall. p. 269.1.6, v. homonymie. p. 271.1.15. for of, r. if. p.272.1.10.put out (to)ib.1.25.for thy, r. they. p.274.1. 19.1.panchresta.p.275.1.15. for this, r.thus. p.276. in Margin. for rotibus, r. votium, p. 279. l. penult. r. of God. p. 281. l. 18. r. deprecation. p. 282. .25. for priuy, r. prime. p. 284. l. vlt. for the in, r. their. p. 283.r. aila. page. 288.1.22. r. peruersitate prapositorum, ib. 7 1. r.legitimum. 2.2021.10.r.dilparityib.l.25.for in,r.on.p.299.1.4.5. for proposed, opposed.p. 300.1.27.r. appalled. ib.l. 29.r. wakened. p. 302.1.4. by this r. his ib.l. g. for first, v. that is ib.l. 13. put out (the) p. 306.1. 1 farraginem.ib.l. 1 ç.r. Kellifen.ib.l. 20.r.thefe Articles. p. 207.l. 29. for's, r.it.p. 211.l. 10.put out (in)ib.l. 22 for wounded, r. wound. p.3 14.1.5. for me, r. be. p. 3 15 1.5. for odious, r. obuious, p. 316.1. 11. firleast, r. best. p. 217. 1.8. for first, r. that is. p. 218. 1.29. 6 24. for firk, r. that is.



. (

,

